



Research Article

ISSN : 0975-7384
CODEN(USA) : JCPRC5

Some conclusions of the exchangeable random variables and the independent identical distribution random variables

Huang Zhaoxia

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Ankang University, Ankang, China

ABSTRACT

As the fundamental structure theorem of infinite exchangeable random variables sequences, the De Finetti's theorem does not work to finite exchangeable random variables sequences, it is therefore necessary to find other techniques to solve the approximate behavior problems of finite exchangeable random variables sequences. By using reverse martingale approach, some scholars have given some results. In this paper we do some researches about the similarity and difference of identically distributed random variables and exchangeable random variables sequences, mainly discuss the limit theory of exchangeable random variables.

Key words: Exchangeable random variable; Exchangeability; Law of large numbers

INTRODUCTION

Limit theory mainly study independent random variables, but in many practical problems, samples are not independent, or the function of independent sample is not independent, or the verification of independence is more difficult. So the concept of dependent random variables in probability and statistics is mentioned. Exchangeable random variables are major type of dependent random variables. If the replacement the joint distribution of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is unchanged, that is, for each replacement of $1, 2, \dots, n$ the joint distribution of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is the same with that of $X_{\pi(1)}, X_{\pi(2)}, \dots, X_{\pi(n)}$; then the random variable finite series X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is known as the exchangeable. Obviously, the independent identical distribution random variables are the simplest exchangeable random variables. The concept of exchangeable random variables is the first proposed by De Finetti 1930. The most famous property of exchangeable random variables is its basic structured theorem, called De Finetti theorem; that is, the infinite series of exchangeable random variables is independent identical distribution, if its tail is σ algebra. Some scholars have given some results about exchangeable random variables sequences ([1]-[5]). The aim of this paper is generalize the independent identical distribution variables [6] and [7] to the exchangeable random variables. As the selection method for truncated random variables is different when deal with random variables, so the prove method is more simple than that of [6] and [7].

THE DEFINITION AND THE LEMMA

Definition [8]. The positive valued function $l(x)$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ is called slowly changed, if for any $c > 0$, we

have $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l(cx)}{l(x)} = 1$. Suppose $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ is real positive series that satisfy $A_{\alpha, n}^\alpha = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^\alpha$ and

$$A_{\alpha, n}^\alpha = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^\alpha \quad (1)$$

Lemma [8]. Suppose $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ are exchangeable random variables, which satisfy $Cov(f_1(X_1), f_2(X_2)) \leq 0$

Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m be the disjoint non-empty subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $m \geq 2$, suppose $f_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ is a non-increase

(Non-decrease) function, then

$$(1) \text{ If } f_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ then } E\left(\prod_{i=1}^n f_i(X_j, j \in A_i)\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n E f_i(X_j, j \in A_i)$$

$$(2) \text{ Particularly, for any } x_i \in R, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \text{ we have } P(X_1 < x_1, \dots, X_m < x_m) \leq \prod_{i=1}^m P(X_i < x_i)$$

Subsequently, we will outline several lemmas, which will be used in the proof of the main theorems. If necessary, we will also give the proof.

Lemma2 Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are exchangeable random variables, that satisfy $Cov(f_1(X_1), f_2(X_2)) \leq 0$

$EX_k = 0, \sigma_k^2 = EX_k^2 < \infty (k = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, Suppose there exists a positive constant H such that

$$|EX_k^m| \leq \frac{m!}{2} \cdot \sigma_k^2 H^{m-2} (k = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$\text{then we have } P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq x\right) \leq \exp\left(-x^2/4 \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2\right), 0 \leq x \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2/H$$

$$P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \leq -x\right) \leq \exp\left(-x^2/4 \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2\right), 0 \leq x \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2/H$$

Proof. Based on Theorem 2.5 in[9] and Lemma 1 in [7], this Lemma is easy to prove.

Lemma 3. Suppose $\{X, X_n; n \geq 1\}$ are the exchangeable random variables and there exist

$h > 0, r > 0$, such that $E\left[\exp\left(h(x)^r\right)\right] < \infty$ (2) $\{X_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ are the exchangeable random variables that satisfy $Cov(f_1(X_{n1}), f_2(X_{n2})) \leq 0$

$EX_{ni} = 0, \{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ are real constant array that satisfy

$$(i) \text{ There exist } \beta, 0 < \beta \leq r \text{ with } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 0 \text{ and } \{u_n, n \geq 1\}, \text{ such that } |a_{ni} X_{ni}| \leq \frac{u_n |X_i|^\beta}{\log n} \quad a.s.$$

$$(ii) \text{ There exists } \delta > 0 \text{ and array } \{v_n, n \geq 1\}, \text{ that satisfy } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = 0, X_{ni}^2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}^2 \leq \frac{v_n |X_i|^\delta}{\log n} \quad a.s.$$

Proof. Based on Theorem 2.5 in [9] and Theorem 18 in [10], the lemma is easy to prove

Lemma 4. Suppose $\{X, X_n; n \geq 1\}$ are the exchangeable random variables and there exist

$$h > 0, r > 0, \text{ such that } E\left[\exp\left(h(x)^r\right)\right] < \infty$$

$\{X_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ are the exchangeable random variables that satisfy

$$Cov(f_1(X_{n1}), f_2(X_{n2})) \leq 0$$

$EX_{ni} = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1, \{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ are real constant array that satisfy

$$(1) E\left[\exp\left(h(x)^r\right)\right] < \infty$$

$$(2) \beta, 0 < \beta \leq r \text{ and constant } c > 0, \text{ such that } |a_{ni} X_{ni}| \leq \frac{c |X_i|^\beta}{\log n} \quad a.s.$$

(3) There exists $\delta > 0$ and array $\{v_n, n \geq 1\}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = 0$, such that $X_{ni}^2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}^2 \leq \frac{v_n |X_i|^\delta}{\log n}$ a.s.

then $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \rightarrow 0$ a.s. $n \rightarrow \infty$

THE MAIN RESULTS AND PROOF

Theorem 1. Suppose $\{X, X_n; n \geq 1\}$ are the exchangeable random variables that satisfy $Cov(f_1(X_1), f_2(X_2)) \leq 0$. Suppose $f_i, i = 1, 2$ are functions satisfy the above rule and non-decrease with $X_1, X_2, EX_1 = 0, \alpha p > 1, l(x) > 0$ is monotonous non-decrease function when $x \rightarrow +\infty, \{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ are real constant array with,

$$A_{\alpha,n}^\alpha = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^\alpha, \text{ Further, suppose } A_\alpha = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{\alpha,n}, n < \infty, E|X|^\beta < \infty, EX = 0$$

and $1 < \alpha, \beta < \infty, 1 < p < 2$, and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta}$, then

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. } (n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{3}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, for any $1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1$, suppose $a_{ni} > 0$, as $\{X, X_n; n \geq 1\}$ are the exchangeable random variables, and $a_{n1} X_1, a_{n2} X_2, \dots, a_{nn} X_n$ also satisfy

$$Cov(f_1(a_{n1} X_1), f_2(a_{n2} X_2)) \leq 0$$

and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta}$, Then $p < \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge 2$. from (1) we have

$$E \left| n^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \right|^{\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge 2} \leq C n^{-\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge 2/p} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^{\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge 2} E|X|^{\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge 2} \leq C n^{-\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge 2/p+1} A_{\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge 2, n}^{\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge 2} \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$$

then $n^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \xrightarrow{p} 0$ $n \rightarrow \infty$

From the symmetrized inequality proved in Lemma 14 in [10], we know that, in order to prove

$$n^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \xrightarrow{p} 0, n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ we just need to prove}$$

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/p}} a_{ni} X_i^S \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where X_i^S is the symmetrized form of X_n , From Lemma 3 in [11], we have the symmetrized series of $Cov(f_1(X_1), f_2(X_2)) \leq 0$

also satisfy the inequality, i.e. $Cov(f_1(X_1^S), f_2(X_2^S)) \leq 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ are the symmetrized exchangeable random variables that satisfy $Cov(f_1(X_1), f_2(X_2)) \leq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1$. Letting

$$\begin{aligned} X_i' &= X_i I(|X_i| \leq n^{1/\beta}) + n^{1/\beta} I(X_i > n^{1/\beta}) - n^{1/\beta} I(X_i < -n^{1/\beta}) \\ X_i'' &= X_i I(|X_i| > n^{1/\beta}) - n^{1/\beta} I(X_i > n^{1/\beta}) + n^{1/\beta} I(X_i < -n^{1/\beta}) \\ \bar{X}_i'' &= X_i I(|X_i| > n^{1/\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

$$a'_{ni} = a_{ni} I(|a_{ni}| \leq n^{1/\alpha})$$

$$a''_{ni} = a_{ni} - a'_{ni} = a_{ni} I(|a_{ni}| > n^{1/\alpha})$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a'_{ni} X'_i + \sum_{i=1}^n a''_{ni} X'_i + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X''_i \tag{4}$$

$$\text{As } \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta}, \beta = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \left\{ 1 + \beta \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right\}, |\bar{X}''_i| \leq |\bar{X}'_i|^{\beta(\alpha-1)/\alpha} n^{-(1-1/p)}$$

and $E|X|^\beta < \infty$ which is equivalent to $\sum_{n=1}^\infty P(|X|^\beta > n) < \infty$, then $\sum_{n=1}^\infty P(|X_n|^\beta > n) < \infty$,

From Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we have $P(|X_n|^\beta > n, i.o.) = 0$. hence

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\bar{X}''_i|^\beta \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. } (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

From Hölder inequality, $|X''_i| \leq |\bar{X}''_i|$ 及 $|\bar{X}''_i| \leq |\bar{X}'_i|^{\beta(\alpha-1)/\alpha} \cdot n^{-(1-1/p)}$ and

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\bar{X}''_i|^\beta \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. } (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-1/p} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X''_i \right| &\leq n^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}| |\bar{X}''_i| \leq n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}| |\bar{X}'_i|^{\beta(\alpha-1)/\alpha} \\ &\leq A_{\alpha,n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |X''_i|^\beta \right)^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. } (n \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$n^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X''_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. } (n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{5}$$

As $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta}$, $\alpha \vee \beta < 1$, then

$$1 + \frac{(2-\alpha)^+}{\alpha} + \frac{(2-\beta)^+}{\beta} = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\alpha \wedge \beta} & \text{if } \alpha \wedge \beta < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha \wedge \beta \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n E(a'_{ni} X'_i)^2 \leq Cn A_{\alpha \wedge \beta, n}^{\alpha \wedge \beta} \cdot n^{(2-\alpha)^+/\alpha + (2-\beta)^+/\beta} \|X\|_{\beta \wedge 2}^{\beta \wedge 2} = O(\max\{n^{2/\alpha}, n^{2/\beta}, n\})$

Moreover, for any $1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1$, we have $|n^{-1/p} a'_{ni} X'_i| \leq n^{1/\alpha} n^{1/\beta} n^{-1/p} = 1$, and

$\max\{n^{2/\alpha}, n^{2/\beta}, n\} = O(n^{2/p} \log^{-2} n)$, From

Lemma 2, for sufficient small ε and sufficient large n , we have

$$P\left(n^{1/p} \sum_{i=1}^n a'_{ni} X'_i > \varepsilon\right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-\varepsilon^2}{4n^{-2/p} O(\max\{n^{2/\alpha}, n^{2/\beta}, n\})}\right) \leq \exp(-\varepsilon^2 (\log n)^2)$$

By the same procedures, we can also prove that $P\left(n^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i X'_i < -\varepsilon\right) \leq \exp\left(-\varepsilon^2 (\log n)^2\right)$,

So $\sum_{i=1}^n P\left(n^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i X'_i > \varepsilon\right) < \infty$. then

$$n^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i X'_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. } (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (6)$$

For $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta}$, we have $n^{-1/p} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a''_i X'_i \right| \leq n^{-1/p} n^{1/\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}| I(a_{ni} > n^{1/\alpha})$

$$\leq n^{-1/p+1/\beta} n^{(1-\alpha)/\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^\alpha = A_{\alpha,n}^\alpha \quad (7)$$

Then from (4),(5),(6),(7), we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/p} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \right| \leq A_\alpha^\alpha \text{ a.s. } (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

By replacing X_i with tX_i we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/p} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \right| \leq \frac{A_\alpha^\alpha}{t} \text{ a.s. } (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Let $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i \rightarrow \infty \text{ a.s. } (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

the inequality (3) is true.

CONCLUSION

As the fundamental structure theorem of infinite exchangeable random variables sequences, the Definetti's theorem states that infinite exchangeable random variables sequences is independent and identically distributed with the condition of the tail σ -algebra. So some results about independent identically distributed random variables is similar to exchangeable random variables. As the fundamental structure theorem of infinite exchangeable random variables sequences, the Definetti's theorem does not work to finite exchangeable random variables sequences, it is therefore necessary to find other techniques to solve the approximate behavior problems of finite exchangeable random variables sequences. By using reverse martingale approach, some scholars have given some results. In this paper we do some researches about the similarity and difference of identically distributed random variables and exchangeable random variables sequences, mainly discuss the limit theory of exchangeable random variables.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fintti, B. De. *Funzione Caratteristica Di Unfenomeno Aleatorio*. Atti Accad. NaZ. Lincei Rend. cl. Sci. Fis.Mat. Nat.vol 4,pp. 86-133, **1930**
- [2] J. F. C. Kingman. *Uses of Exchangeability*, Ann. Prob, p. 183-197, June **1978**.
- [3] W. G. Mcginley, R. Sibson. *Dissociated Random Variables*. Math Proc Camb. Phil Soc, vol 77:pp.185-188, **1975**
- [4] R. F. Patterson, R. L. Taylor. *Strong Laws of Large Numbers for Triangular Arrays of Exchangeable Random Variables*. Stochastic Analysis and Applications, vol 3, pp. 171~187, **1985**.
- [5] A. Gut. *Precise A sympototics for Record Times and the Associated Counting Process*. Stoch Proc Appl, vol 101,pp. 233~239, **2002**.
- [6] Bai, Z., & D. Cheng, P. E. Marcinkiewicz *Strong Laws for Linear Statistics*. *Statist Probab Lett*, vol 46, pp.105-112, **2000**
- [7] Sung, S. H. *Strong Laws for weighted suns of i.i.d. random variables*. *Statist Probab Lett*, vol 52, pp. 413-419, **2001**
- [8] Wu Qunying. *Probability limit theory of mixing sequences*. Science Press, pp. 132-133, **2006**
- [9] Taylor, R. L. & Patterson, R. F. *A strong laws of large numbers for arrays of row wise negatively dependent random variables*. *Stochastic Anal.* .vol20, pp. 643-65.,**2002**
- [10] Petrov, B. *Sums of Independent Random Variable*. Hefei: USTC Press, pp.83-84, **2002**

[11]Chi, X., & Su, C. *A weak law of large number of identical distribution NA series. Applied Probab and Statist*,vol 13,pp.199-203,**1997**