Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2014, 6(1):434-438



Research Article

ISSN : 0975-7384 CODEN(USA) : JCPRC5

Simulation of coordination between human and exoskeleton

Zhengdong Yang¹, Rencheng Wang¹, Ming Wu², Xinyu Guan¹ and Run Ji³

¹Department of Mechanical and Engineering Tsinghua University, China ²Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, USA ³National Research Center for Rehabilitation Technical Aids, China

ABSTRACT

The current available exoskeleton robots used fixed connection with human, which may result in discomfort or even cause injury to human during walking due to the strong constraints. To overcome these disadvantages, this paper introduces a novel human-machine interaction model by increasing connection joints between the exoskeleton and human at the location of thigh and shank. The process that the human walk on the ground with the assistance of exoskeleton robot and crutches was simulated using ADAMS. In addition, the impact of gait patterns and knee joint configuration on the human-machine coordination was analyzed. Results of this simulation analysis may be useful for the mechanical design and the clinical application of exoskeleton.

Key words: Coordination, Human, Exoskeleton, Simulation.

INTRODUCTION

Exoskeleton is a robor worn by the human in the lower limb. The powered mechanical joints will drive the corresponding joints of patients with motor dysfunction in their lower limb to enhance endurance or to assist walking. Too strong constraints between human and exoskeleton may bring discomfort and even causes injury to the human. Current exoskeleton robots use single-axis knee joint in structure and use bundles to accomplish a fixed connection, such as HAL-5[1], Elegs[2] and Rewalk[3]. The exoskeletons with single-axis knee joint have advantages in simple structure and easy control, and the advantages of fixed connection are simple design and easy to wear. However, there are still many disadvantages in such exoskeletons, for example, they did not consider the effects of the difference between human joint and exoskeleton joint and the way of human-machine connection on the human-machine coordination.

Recent years, the coordination problem between human and exoskeleton has been investigated, but most of the studies focused on the upper limb exoskeletons. For example, Schiele A al. studied the effects of the movement of the upper limb joint on the coordination[4]. Gopura R studied the effects of the movements of the shoulder, elbow and wrist joint on the coordination, respectively[5].

Jianfeng Li transferred the upper limb exoskeleton coordination study to the weight support rehabilitation robot. However, his study did not explore the human-machine coordination when the human walked on the ground with the assists of the exoskeleton and crutches[6]. It is necessary to consider the case that human walk with assist of the exoskeleton and crutches because most of the existing exoskeletons(e.g. Elegs and Rewalk) are used in combination with crutches.

In this paper, we developed a novel human-machine interaction model, which increased connection joints between

the exoskeleton and human in thigh and shank. Then by analyzing the movement deviation exremum, the impacts of gait patterns and configurations of knee joint on the human-machine coordination were explored.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Connection model between human and exoskeleton

The human-machine connection model is divided into two parts, namely connection in thigh and connection in shank. The connection joints in thigh consist of Translational Joint 1 and Spherical Joint 1, and the connection joints in shank consist of Translational Joint 2, Translational Joint 3 and Spherical Joint 2. Fig.1(a) and Fig.1(b) are the connection models between human and exoskeleton that has single-axis knee joint and four-bar linkage multi-axis knee joint, respectively.



Fig. 1: (a) Connection model between human and exoskeleton that has single-axis knee joint. (b) Connection model between human and exoskeleton that has four- bar linkage multi-axis knee joint

Crutch gait analysis experiments

In order to get the gait data that drive the simulation model in ADAMS, we conducted crutch gait experiments. Common crutch gait includes four-point gait (e.gelegs) and three point gait(e.g. Rewalk). During the experiments, the subject was a healthy man without ambulation difficulty and walked with four-point gait(Fig. 2) and three-point gait(Fig. 3), respectively. VICON motion capture system was used to obtain the gait data of the subject, the angular trajectory of the hip joint and knee joint in a single gait cycle that are computed according to the experiment data and were used to drive the simulation model in the following text.



Fig. 2: Four-point gait experiment



Fig. 3: Three-point gait experiment

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Simulation of coordination between human and exoskeleton

Firstly, the four-point gait was simulated in ADAMS to analyze the human-machine connection model. As the left leg and right leg theoretically have identical movement pattern excepta half-gait-cycle difference, this paper only had a simulation of a half-gait-cycle. The simulation process of a half-gait-cycle in ADAMS is shown in Fig.4.



Fig. 4: Simulation process of a half -gait-cycle

Factors affecting the human-machine coordination

(a) Impact of Gait Pattern: The process of three-point gait was simulated as the same method used in the four-point gait. The movement deviation extremums in different gait patterns are shown in Tab.1.

Comparing the movement deviation extremums in two gait patterns, there are not significant difference, which demonstrated that both two gait patterns are feasible in the practical application.

(b)Impact of Configurations of Knee Joint

Most researchers simplified the knee joint to a single-axis joint, which was obviously different from the actual knee joint both in structure and movement. Thus, it was necessary to study the impact of configuration of knee joint on coordination between human and exoskeleton. This study was done by replacing the single-axis knee joint with four-bar linkage multi-axis knee joint without changing other settings. Subsequently, the new model was simulated in ADAMS. Movement deviation extremums in different configurations of knee joint are shown in Tab.2. In the table, 'X' means sarittal axis, 'Y' means vertical axis and 'Z' means frontal axis.

Comparing the simulation results of two conditions, it shows that there are no significant differences in the movement deviation of translational joint 1 and spherical joint 1, translational joint 3, spherical joint 2(X) and spherical joint 2(Y). It should be highlighted that in the single-axis knee joint exoskeleton simulation model, the movement deviation extremums of spherical joint 2(Z) and translational joint 2 were 3.7° and 13.6mm, respectively while in the multi-axis knee joint exoskeleton simulation model, they were 0.45° and 2.9mm respectively, which proved that multi-axis knee joint exoskeleton has better coordination with human.

Movement Deviation Exremum.				
Joint Fo	Four-Point Three-Point .			
	Gait	Gait		
Translational Joint 1 [mm]	0	0		
Spherical Joint 1(X) [deg]	1.4	1.5		
Spherical Joint 1(Y) [deg]	5.7	5.9		
Spherical Joint 1(Z) [deg]	0.1	0.2		
Translational Joint 2[mm]	13.6	14.1		
Translational Joint 3[mm]	1.6	1.3		
Spherical Joint 2(X) [deg]	8.3	9.2		
Spherical Joint 2(Y) [deg]	4.2	4.6		
Spherical Joint 2(Z) [deg]	3.7	4.5		

Table 1: Movement deviation in two Gait patterns

Moveme	ent	Deviation	Exremum.
Joint	Sin	igle-Axis	Multi-Axis.
	Kne	ee Joint	Knee Joint
Translational Joint 1[mr	n]	0	0.,
Spherical Joint 1(x)[de	g]	1.4	1.3.
Spherical Joint 1(y)[de	g]	5.7	5.5.
Spherical Joint 1(z) [de	<u>g]</u>	0.1	0.2.,
Translational Joint 2[mr	n]	13.6	2.9.
Translational Joint 3[mr	n]	1.6	1.5.
Spherical Joint 2(x) [de	g]	8.3	7.9.
Spherical Joint 2(y) [de	<u>g]</u>	4.2	3.8.
Spherical Joint 2(z) [de	<u>g]</u>	3.7	0.45.

CONCLUSION

According to the above simulation results, the main conclusions can be drawn as follows:

(1) Movement deviation extremums in connection joints in four-point gait are smaller than those in three-point gait, however, they are not significant. Thus, two gait patterns are feasible in the practical application;

(2) Compared to the single-axis knee joint exoskeleton, the multi-axis knee joint exoskeleton has better coordination with human;

This paper only includes some kinematic analysis about coordination between human and exoskeleton. Dynamic analysis should be explored in the future research.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the financial support by the Beijing Science and Technology Program (D121104002812001), National Natural Science Foundation of China (51175289), National Science and Technology Support Program (2012BAI34B02) and Tribology Science Fund of SKLT.

REFERENCES

[1]Tsukahara A, Kawanishi R, Hasegawa Y, et al. Advanced robotics, 2010, 24(11): 1615-1638.

[2]Strausser K A, Kazerooni H. Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), IEEE/RSJ International Conference, 2011, pp: 4911-4916.

[3]Zeilig G, Weingarden H, Zwecker M, et al. *The journal of spinal cord medicine*, **2012**, 35(2): 96-101.

[4]Schiele A, van der Helm F C T. *Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 2006, 14(4): 456-469.
[5]Gopura R, Kiguchi K. *ICORR International Conference*, 2009, pp: 178-187.
[6]Li Jianfeng, Wu Xi-Yao, Deng Chuhui etc. *Chinese Journal of Biomedical Engineering*, 2012, 5(10): 720-728.