## Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2015, 7(1):886-891



**Research Article** 

ISSN: 0975-7384 CODEN(USA): JCPRC5

# Refractive indices, ultrasonic velocities, surface tension and thermo acoustical parameters of toluene + chlorobenzene at 303.15 K using jouyban acree model

Golamari Siva Reddy<sup>1</sup> and Mallu Maheswara Reddy<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Petroleum Engineering, K L University, Vaddeswaram, Guntur, A.P, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Biotechnology, K L University, Vaddeswaram, Guntur, A.P, India

## ABSTRACT

The investigations of ultrasonic velocity, refractive indices and surface tension are, no doubt progressively utilized as devices for examination of the properties of unadulterated segments and the nature of intermolecular cooperation between the fluid mixture constituents. Refractive indices  $(n_D)$ , ultrasonic velocity (U) and surface tension  $(\sigma)$  have been measured for the double fluid mixture of Toluene with Chlorobenzene over the whole organization range at 303.15 K. This study includes the assessment of distinctive thermo acoustical parameters alongside the abundance properties. The Jouyban Acree model was utilized to relate the measured properties. It was discovered that taking all things together cases, the exploratory information acquired fitted with the qualities associated by the relating demonstrates extremely well. The sub-atomic connections existing between the segments were likewise examined.

Keywords: Chlorobenzene; refractive indices; Toluene; ultrasonic velocity; thermo acoustical; surface tension.

## INTRODUCTION

Twofold fluid mixtures because of their abnormal conduct have pulled in extensive consideration [1]. Information on a percentage of the properties related with the fluids and fluid mixtures like refractive file, ultrasonic speed and surface strain find broad application in compound building procedure re-enactment, result hypothesis and subatomic flow [2]. These properties are imperative from handy what's more hypothetical perspective to get it fluid hypothesis. The audit of writing on acoustical investigations of results uncovers that ultrasonic estimations are utilized to gauge the diverse flexible properties of the particle from which the kind of sub-atomic associations could be extremely well caught on. Ultrasonic speed has turned out to be valuable in understanding the physico-compound conduct of the specific framework. Ultrasonic velocity have been generally used to study twofold fluid mixtures [3].Toluene is a clear, water-insoluble liquid with the typical smell of paint thinners and It is an aromatic hydrocarbon that is widely used as an industrial feedstock and as a solvent. Like different solvents, toluene is now and again additionally utilized as an inhalant medication for its inebriating properties; nonetheless, breathing in toluene can possibly cause serious neurological mischief. Toluene is a paramount natural dissolvable, however is likewise equipped for dissolving various outstanding inorganic chemicals. Toluene and chlorobenzene mixture is used as bug splashes, including gel plans for vapour conveying structures. This mixture is likewise utilized within the titration calorimetry furthermore response calorimetry. We have reported refractive indices, ultrasonic velocities and surface tension of pure Toluene and chlorobenzene as well as for the binary system constituted by these two chemicals at temperatures of 303.15K. From these experimental results acoustical impedance(Z), isentropic compressibility ( $\beta_s$ ), intermolecular free length ( $L_f$ ), degree of intermolecular attraction ( $\alpha$ ), molar sound velocity (R), molar compressibility or Wada's constant (W), refractive indices deviation ( $\delta n_D$ ), ultrasonic velocity deviation ( $\delta u$ ), intermolecular free length deviation ( $\delta L_f$ ), acoustical impedance deviation ( $\delta Z$ ), and isentropic compressibility deviation ( $\delta\beta_s$ ) were derived over the entire mole fraction range. The values have been fitted to Jouyban Acree type [4] equation. Literature survey showed that no measurements have been previously reported for the mixture studied in this paper.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

The chemicals utilized were of explanatory review and acquired from NSM chemicals. All the Components were dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate and partially refined [5]. A thermostatically controlled decently blended water shower whose temperature was controlled to  $\pm 0.01$  K exactness was utilized for all the estimations. All the estimations were carried out by utilizing electronic parity Shimadzu Corporation Japan Type BL 2205 faultless to 0.01 g. The conceivable instability in the mole division was evaluated to be short of what  $\pm 0.0001$ .

#### **Refractive indices**

Refractive indices were measured utilizing thermostatically controlled Abbe refractometer (Atago 3t) with precision not exactly 0.0001units.a base of three autonomous readings were taken for every arrangement and the normal worth was considered in all the figurings. Water was coursed into the crystal of the refractometer by a flow pump associated with an outside thermostated water shower. Adjustment was performed by measuring the refractive lists of doubly refined water and propyl liquor at characterized temperatures. The specimen mixture was specifically infused into the crystal gathering of the instrument utilizing a syringe. The results were prethermostated at the temperature of the experience before the trials to accomplish a snappy warm balance. The change of refractive file over the piece extent was acquired by

$$\delta n_{\rm D} = n_{\rm D} - (X_1 \, n_{\rm D1} + X_2 \, n_{\rm D2} \,)$$

Where  $n_D$  is the refractive indices of the mixture and  $n_{D1}$  and  $n_{D2}$  are the refractive indices of the pure compounds.

#### Ultrasonic velocity

Pace of sound was measured by utilizing a variable way, single precious stone interferometer.

(Mittal Enterprises New Delhi). The interferometer was balanced utilizing toluene. The interferometer cell was loaded with the test fluid, and water was circled around the measuring cell from an indoor regulator. The vulnerability was assessed to be  $0.1 \text{ms}^{-1}$  the change of pace of sound on blending were ascertained by the comparison

$$\delta \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{X}_1 \boldsymbol{u}_1 + \boldsymbol{X}_2 \boldsymbol{u}_2)$$

Where u is the velocity of sound of the mixture and  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are the speed of the sound of the pure compounds. The acoustical impedance (Z) was calculated by the equation,

$$Z = \rho u \tag{3}$$

Where  $\rho$  is the density of mixture and u is the ultrasonic velocity of the mixture. The isentropic compressibility ( $\beta$ s) was calculated by the equation

$$\beta_{\rm s} = \frac{1}{\rho u^2} \tag{4}$$

Where  $\rho$  is the density of mixture and u is the ultrasonic velocity of the mixture. The molar compressibility or Wada's constant (W), was calculated by the equation

$$W = \frac{M}{\rho} \beta_s^{-\frac{1}{7}}$$
(5)

Where M is the relative molar mass and  $\beta s$  is the isentropic compressibility. The molar sound velocity (R) was calculated by the equation

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{M}{\rho} \mathbf{u} \tag{6}$$

Where u is the ultrasonic velocity of the mixture. The intermolecular free length (Lf) was calculated by the equation  $L_{f} = K \beta_{s}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (7)

(1)

(2)

Where K is the Jacobson constant [6]. The degree of intermolecular attraction ( $\alpha$ ) was calculated by the equation

$$\alpha = \left(\frac{u^2}{u_{\rm im}^2}\right) - 1 \tag{8}$$

Where  $\mathbf{u_{im}^2} = \frac{1}{(X_1 M_1 + X_2 M_2) \left(\frac{X_1}{M_1 u_1^2} + \frac{X_2}{M_2 u_2^2}\right)}$ 

The intermolecular free length deviation ( $\delta L_f$ ), acoustical impudence deviation ( $\delta Z$ ), and isentropic compressibility deviation ( $\delta \beta s$ ) were derived over the entire mole fraction range by using the general equation

$$A^{E} = A - (X_{i}A_{1} + (1 - X_{i})A_{2})$$
(9)

Where A is the corresponding parameters (Lf, Z and  $\beta$ s ) of binary mixture and A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub> are the corresponding pure component values. The experimentally determined data's for the double arrangement of this examination have been connected utilizing jouyban comparison by the method of least square.

$$\ln v_m = X_1 \ln v_1 + X_2 \ln v_2 + \left(\frac{X_1 X_2}{T}\right) \sum a_i \left(X_1 - X_2\right)^i$$
(10)

Where  $v_m$ ,  $v_1$ , and  $v_2$  are the viscosities of the mixture and solvents 1 and 2 at temperature T, respectively,  $a_i$ , are the model constants. In each case the optimum number of coefficients is ascertained from an examination of the variation in standard deviation (S). Standard deviation was calculated using the relation

$$\mathbf{S} = \left\{ \sum \frac{(\mathbf{A}_{\exp} - \mathbf{A}_{cal})}{(\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{n})} \right\}^{\overline{2}}$$
(11)

Where N is the amount of information focuses and n is the amount of coefficients.

#### Surface tension

Surface tension was resolved utilizing drop volume tensiometer portrayed in point of interest [7]

this additionally examined system and taking care of information. The exactness narrow is joined to a dosing framework. It is spotted in one of the two fluid stages included and strengths the second fluid stage into first fluid stage through the slender. From the stream rate and number of drops, surface pressure of each one drop is figured for immaculate fluid and the paired mixture over the entire creation range. All the specimens were equilibrated to 303.15 K under environmental weight. It was aligned with refined water. The exactness of the surface strain estimation was assessed to be 0.1mnm-1. This could be computed as

$$\sigma = V drop(\rho_{\rm H} - \rho_{\rm L}) \frac{g}{\pi d}$$
(12)

 $\sigma$  = Surface tension, V= Volume of drop, d= Dia of capillary g = Acceleration due to gravity.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 summarizes the comparison of density, refractive indices and ultrasonic velocity data for liquids at 303.15K with the literature. Table 2 lists the measured, Density ( $\rho$ ), refractive indices ( $n_D$ ), ultrasonic velocities (u) and surface tension ( $\sigma$ ) for the binary liquid mixture of Toluene with Chlorobenzene over the entire composition range at 303.15 K with the corresponding Refractive indices deviation ( $\delta n_D$ ) and ultrasonic velocity deviation ( $\delta u$ ). Table 3 lists Acoustical impedance (Z), isentropic compressibility ( $\beta$ s), molar compressibility (W), molar sound velocity (R), intermolecular free length ( $L_f$ ), degree of intermolecular attraction ( $\alpha$ ), intermolecular free length deviation  $(\delta L_f)$ , acoustical impedance deviation  $(\delta Z)$ , and isentropic compressibility deviation  $(\delta \beta s)$  of Toluene with chlorobenzene mixture at 303.15 K. Jouyban Acree Constants evaluated from the least square fit for the deviations of refractive indices, ultrasonic velocity intermolecular free length, acoustical impedance and isentropic compressibility have been presented in Table 4. A itemized perception of the Table 2 demonstrates that the surface pressure of the mixture builds with the mole portion. As indicated by Karla Granados [8] solid collaboration in the fluid mixture diminishes the  $\sigma$  estimation of the mixture. This implies that collaborations in the mixture are not solid and consequently climb in the  $\sigma$  esteem was watched when mole portion builds. It has been watched that ultrasonic speed increments with mole portion. This implies that cooperation in the mixture is not solid and thus expands with mole portion. Ultrasound waves are high recurrence mechanical waves. Their speed in a medium depends contrarily on thickness and the compressibility of the medium. All the more over the variety in ultrasonic speed relies on upon the intermolecular free length on blending. On the premise of a model for sound engendering proposed by Eyring and Kincaid [9], ultrasonic speed expands on reduction of intermolecular free length and the other way around. It has been watched that intermolecular free length diminishes for the framework considered. It has likewise been watched that the refractive record deviation shows positive qualities for the whole mole part. It may be noted that such values are because of the electronic bother of the single pers atoms amid blending and along these lines depend truly on the way of the blending atoms. Table 3 demonstrates the intermolecular free length  $(L_f)$  quality diminishes with mole division. Diminish in intermolecular free lengths prompts positive deviation in sound speed and negative deviation in compressibility. This shows that the particles are closer in the framework. The molar sound speed (R) acoustical impedance (Z) and molar compressibility (W) were expanding directly with mole division showing solute-dissolvable associations [10] may happen in the framework. As seen in figure 1, the estimations of  $\delta z$  and  $\delta \beta s$ were negative over the whole scope of mole division furthermore the bends are symmetrical in nature. The estimations of  $\delta l_{f_1} \delta n_D$  and  $\delta u$  were certain over the whole scope of mole division for Toluene with Chlorobenzene mixture at 303.15 K. Comparative pattern of positive ultrasonic speed deviation has been accounted for cyclohexanone +benzene [11]. It could be abridged that abundance qualities may be influenced by three elements. The main element is the particular constrains between particles, for example, hydrogen bonds, charge exchange edifices, breaking of hydrogen bonds and edifices bringing negative overabundance values [12]. The second element is the physical intermolecular powers, including electrostatic constrains between charged particles also between a changeless dipole etcetera actuation compels between a perpetual dipole also an actuated dipole and strengths of fascination also repugnance between non polar atoms. Physical intermolecular strengths are feeble and the indication of abundance worth may be certain and negative. Third element is the structural qualities of the segment emerging from geometrical fitting of one segment into other structure because of the contrasts fit as a fiddle furthermore size of the parts and free volume. Our study demonstrates that Toluene with Chlorobenzene framework takes after the aforementioned second variable and henceforth physical intermolecular strengths are feeble and the indication of overabundance qualities are sure or negative. In the present examination the conduct of these frameworks been deciphered qualitatively. In this twofold mixture, it is accepted that on expansion of Chlorobenzene to p-Toluene, particles might soften up to a few dipoles which thusly might impel dipole minute in the neighbouring sweetsmelling hydrocarbons framing the sub-atomic affiliation. The way of  $\delta z$  and  $\delta l_f$  play fundamental part in evaluating the smallness because of sub-atomic reworking .The sub-atomic connections in fluid mixture might likewise be expected to interstitial convenience [13] prompting more reduced structure making  $\delta z$  and  $\delta \beta s$  negative. The positive deviation of  $\delta l_f$ ,  $\delta n_D$  what's more  $\delta u$  is a characteristic of feeble cooperation including scattering powers [14]. The degree of intermolecular fascination ( $\alpha$ ) has likewise been assessed to study the structural varieties what's more the way of cooperation happening in the framework. It has been watched that the greatest estimation of  $\alpha$  happens at almost mole part of 0.6. This proposes the vicinity of intermolecular connection in the system



Figure 1: Excess values at 303.15 K. (a) $\delta Z \ge 10^{1}$  (b)  $\circ \delta \beta \ge 10^{6}$  (c)  $\Delta \delta Lf \ge 10^{9}$  (d)  $\times \delta n_{D} \ge 10^{4}$  (e)  $* \delta u_{D} \ge 10^{4}$ 

Table 1: Comparisons of density, refractive indices and ultrasonic velocity data for liquids at 303.15K with the literature

Duna liquida	Density, g/cc		Refractiv	ve indices	Ultrasonic Velocity		
r ure nquius	Exptl	Lit	Exptl	Lit	Exptl	Lit	
Toluene	0.3559	0.3558	1.4969	1.4969	1306	1270	
Chlorobenzene	0.8671	0.867	1.5248	1.5248	1270	1270	

 $Table 2: Experimental Density (\rho), refractive indices (n_D), ultrasonic velocities (u), surface tension (\sigma), refractive indices deviation (\delta n_D) \\ and ultrasonic velocity deviation (\delta u) of Toluene with Chlorobenzene mixture at 303.15 K \\$ 

Ž	ζ1	ρ,g/cc	n <sub>D</sub>	u	σ	δu	δn <sub>D</sub>
(	0	0.3559	1.4969	1270	28.42	0	0
0.1	087	0.456	1.4972	1275	28.45	2	0.0001
0.2	125	0.5121	1.4975	1280	28.53	3	0.0002
0.3	278	0.5349	1.4978	1283	28.98	4	0.0003
0.4	392	0.6028	1.4983	1289	29.01	5	0.0004
0.5	465	0.6231	1.4985	1290	29.05	6	0.0003
0.6	563	0.6981	1.499	1295	29.99	6	0.0002
0.7	635	0.7089	1.4995	1297	30.09	5	0.0002
0.8	729	0.7345	1.5018	1299	31.38	3	0.0001
0.9	854	0.8084	1.5132	1300	32.99	1	0.0001
	1	0.8671	1.5248	1306	33.6	0	0

Table 3: Acoustical impedance (Z), isentropic compressibility ( $\beta$ s), molar compressibility (W), molar sound velocity (R), intermolecular free length (L<sub>t</sub>), degree of intermolecular attraction ( $\alpha$ ), intermolecular free length deviation ( $\delta$ L<sub>t</sub>), acoustical impedance deviation ( $\delta$ Z), and isentropic compressibility deviation ( $\delta$ S) of Toluene with Chlorobenzene mixture at 303.15 K

X <sub>1</sub>	Z	β <sub>s</sub> x10 <sup>6</sup>	W	R	L <sub>f</sub> x 10 <sup>9</sup>	αm	$\delta L_f \ge 10^9$	δZX10 <sup>1</sup>	δβs x10 <sup>6</sup>
0	409	8.22	565	630	2.99	0	0	0	0
0.1087	522	6.58	605	789	2.86	0.89	2.56	-40	-2.86
0.2125	598	5.64	789	806	2.01	1.69	2.43	-55	-4.58
0.3278	633	4.12	855	855	1.99	2.98	2.02	-61	-4.43
0.4392	687	2.05	966	903	1.82	3.02	1.98	-65	-4.01
0.5465	752	1.69	1023	999	1.75	3.56	1.82	-72	-3.13
0.6563	789	1.01	1089	1055	1.69	4.22	1.63	-41	-2.89
0.7635	795	0.95	1101	1100	1.51	4.55	1.51	-38	-2.03
0.8729	899	0.8	1150	1188	1.25	4.01	1.06	-31	-1.98
0.9854	1010	0.71	1206	1299	1.09	1.46	0.98	-25	0.003
1	1205	0.68	1289	1309	0.98	0	0	0	0

Table 4: Jouyban-Acree Constants for the deviations of refractive indices, ultrasonic velocity intermolecular free length, acoustical impedance and isentropic compressibility of Toluene with Chlorobenzene at 303.15 K

<b>Excess Parameters</b>	$A_1$	$A_2$	A <sub>3</sub>	S
δn <sub>D</sub>	0.008213	-0.013524	0.07279	0.97
δυ	19.9025	12.36	-9.58	0.78
$\delta L_{f}$	108	-77	55	1.22
δΖ	-302.15	-258.25	106.99	1.99
δβs	-2.52	-28.35	20.85	0.66

#### CONCLUSION

Test information of the Density, refractive indices, ultrasonic velocity and surface tension of Toluene and Chlorobenzene mixture has been measured at 303.15 K. This information has been utilized to figure the overabundance properties of the framework. Negative deviations were watched for  $\delta z$  and  $\delta \beta s$ . The positive deviations were watched for  $\delta l_f$ ,  $\delta n_D$  and  $\delta u$ . It may be inferred that the communications bringing about the interstitial convenience of Chlorobenzene into Toluene are the overwhelming variable over dipole–dipole and dipole induced–dipole cooperation. The intermolecular cooperation's in the middle of Toluene and Chlorobenzene mixture prompts feeble dispersive sort. It is clear that Jouyban Acree mathematical statement can speak to the refractive indices deviation ( $\delta n_D$ ), ultrasonic velocity deviation ( $\delta u$ ) intermolecular free length deviation ( $\delta l_f$ ), acoustical impedance deviation ( $\delta z$ ), and isentropic compressibility deviation ( $\delta \beta s$ ) exceptionally well by standard deviation values.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Ewing, M. B., Levian, B. J., and Marsh, K. N. 1970. Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics, 2: 689-691.
- [2] Mchaweh, A., Alsaygh, A., and Moshfeghian, M. A. 2004. Fluid phase equilibria, 224:157-167.

[3] Yadava, S. S., and Anirudh, Y. 2005. Ultrasonics, 43: 732-735.

[4] Jouyban, A., Khoubnasabjafari., M.: Vaezgharamaleki. Z,, Fekari. Z and Acree, W.E. Jr. 2005. *Chem. Pharm. Bull*, 53: 519-523.

- [5] Kamila, S., Chakravarthy, V., and Jena, S. 2004. Journal of Solution Chemical, 33: 365-380.
- [6] Jacobson, B. 1952. Acta Chemica Scandinavica, 6:485-487.

[7] Pineiro, A., Brocas, P., Amigo, A., Pinto, M and Bravo, R. **1999**. *Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics*,31:931-942.

[8] Karla Granados and Jesus Gracia Fredrique. 2006. Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data, 51:1473-1478.

- [9] Eyring, H., and Kincaid, J. F. 1938. Journal of Chemical Physics, 6: 620-625.
- [10] Baluja, S., and Oja, S. 2001. Fluid phase equilibria, 178:233-238.
- [11] Aralaguppi, M., I, Jadar, C. V., and Aminabhavi, T. M. **1999**. *Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data*, 44: 446-450.
- [12] Kiyohara, O., and Benson, G. C. 1979. Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics, 11:861-873.
- [13] Yang, C., Lal, H., and Ma, P. 2006. Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data, 51:1345-1358.
- [14] Susmita, K., Satyaban, J and Bipin, B. S.2005. Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics, 37: 820-825.
- [15] Kyu, J. H., Jong, H. O., and So-Jin, P.2006. Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data, 51: 1339-1344.
- [16] Viswanathan, S., Rao, M. A., and Prasad, D. H. L. 2000. *Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data*, 45:764-770.