



## Pretreatment of Rice Straw for Bio-Ethanol Production: A Review

Nitika Dhap and Himanshu Singh\*

Department of Biotechnology, School of Bioengineering and Biosciences, Lovely Professional University, Punjab, India

---

### ABSTRACT

Bioethanol is considered as one of the most likely next generation fuel for automobiles because it is neutral in carbon content and can be produced from the renewable resources like the lignocellulosic biomass that is obtained from rice which is a major by-product of agriculture and is produced in a large amount in India. There are many technological barriers like pretreatment, hydrolysis and fermentation of the reducible sugars which are needed for efficient conversion of bioethanol from lignocellulosic biomass. In this review various pretreatment processes used for the extraction of bioethanol and resolving the technological challenges to develop a low-cost as well as the efficient commercial process.

**Keywords:** Bioethanol; Lignocellulose; Pretreatment; Lignin

---

### INTRODUCTION

The inescapable reduction of petroleum supply from the world and the enlarging greenhouse effect has increased the demand of nonpetroleum source of energy. Use of ethanol has reduced carbon dioxide emission from the atmosphere [1]. Production of ethanol from the cellulosic material has the solution for some of the recent problem of environment, economic and energy that the world is facing today [2]. Bioethanol has been recognized as the most propitious renewable source of energy, especially as a transport fuel. It is a resource that does not add CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere but on combustion it releases volatile organic compound, nitrogen oxide and carbon monoxide in low concentration [3]. Rice straw can be used for the bioethanol production as it is one of the largest available biomass feedstock in the world and has about 90% annual global production. Being one of the staple crops of the world's population and having annual global production of about 465.078 MT [4]. Lignocellulose that is present in the rice straw has a very complex structure as it mainly comprises of cellulose (35-50%), hemicellulose (25-30%), and lignin (25-30%) [5]. It is resistant towards degradation because of the presence of lignin which negatively affects the conversion step and limits the ethanol production [2,6]. Cellulose being the major component of the plants cell wall is a glucan polysaccharide which has a large source of energy affording great potential to convert into biofuel [2]. There are three main steps for the extraction of bioethanol from the raw material first is the pretreatment where lignin is removed and converted to monomeric sugar that is pentose and hexose. Second is the hydrolysis which mainly engages in clearing of the polymers of cellulose and hemicelluloses using certain enzymes for producing glucose monomer [7]. Finally third is fermentation process that is used for the conversion of glucose to bioethanol [8].

**Physical Pretreatment****Milling pretreatment:**

In the milling pretreatment rice straw was grinded and put into Erlenmeyer flask. Then it was moistened using distilled water. Further it was incubated for about 2 hours and he finally it was mixed so that reducing sugar can be extracted. The yield of Total reducing sugar after milling pretreatment was 1.44 g/L [3].

**Milling and autoclaving pretreatment:**

According to Biswas the rice straw was dried using the forced-air oven at 55°C for about 24 h and then passed through hammer mill for milling to reduce the size to 1.27 mm. This milled rice straw (15% w/v) was then mixed with 1% v/v H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and treated in autoclave at 121°C for 1 h. There was about 35% conversion of the cellulose present in the rice straw to reducible sugar [9]. The grinded rice straw was put into Erlenmeyer flask, moistened and then treated with stem using autoclave at temperature of 121°C and 1.5 bar pressure for about 20 min. The yield of total reducing sugar was found to be 6.35 g/L at the end to this process [3].

**Milling and gamma  $\gamma$  irradiation pretreatment:**

In the pretreatment done by milling and irradiation, grinded rice straw was given various doses (50 and 70 Mrad) of this  $\gamma$  radiation. Then this radiated rice was put in Erlenmeyer flask and further moistened with distilled water. After incubation of 2 h the sugar is extracted by filtration. 6.62 g/L was found to be the yield of the total reducing sugar at the end of this pretreatment [3].

**Milling,  $\gamma$  irradiation and autoclaving pretreatment:**

In the combination of milling, irradiation and autoclaving grinded rice straw was given  $\gamma$  radiation of various frequency and after moistened with distilled water put into Erlenmeyer flask, then autoclaved at 121°C and 15 bars for 20 min. After autoclaving the content was extracted. The yield of reducing sugar was found to be 5.03 g/L at the end of this pretreatment [3].

**Ultrasonic pretreatment:**

According to the studied conducted by the Ultrasonic wave can also be used to remove the lignin content of the rice straw. The waves were used for 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 min at 250 W, 40 KHz [10].

**Chemical Pretreatment****Sodium chlorite and sodium hydroxide treatment:**

In the chemical treatment that was done using sodium chlorite and sodium hydroxide powdered rice straw was dried and was treated with sodium hydroxide (1-5%) concentration and sodium chlorite (5%) concentration. Further it was washed with deionised water several times then dried by using hot air oven for about 24 hrs at 70°C. The cake was then processed for microbial saccharification. Maximum yield was obtained with 5% NaOH and 5% NaCl [2].

**Alkaline hydrogen peroxide:**

In the treatment that was done using hydrogen peroxide rice straw was grinded and then treated with 2.5% concentration of alkaline hydrogen peroxide (NaOH + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) which is at a pH of 4.5 [11]. 20 gm of rice straw was taken after cutting and then suspended 160 ml of 1% NaOH aqueous solution. Then it was kept for boiling for 15 min to 2 h. Further the residue was collected and washed with tap water so that the pH is neutralized and then dried. The cellulose and carbohydrate yield at the end of the treatment was found to be 99 ± 0.4% and 80 ± 0.6% respectively after 70 min [12]. In the study done by Cheng were he used NaOH by first dissolving it in DI water and then incubating at 55°C and then the solution is mixed with the rice straw [13].

**Physio-chemical Pretreatment****Phosphoric acid pretreatment:**

In the phosphoric acid pretreatment 50 g of dried material was taken and mixed well with 400 ml of concentrated phosphoric acid. It was then incubated in rotary air bath at 120 rpm and temperature of 50°C for about 1 h. After the reaction has occurred the solution was poured in 1.2 L of pre-cold acetone and then mixed. Further the mixture is centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 10 min, the supernatant is taken and suspended in 1.2 L of acetone and then centrifuged three times. The residue was again washed with distilled water and centrifuged three times. During the last step the pH was adjusted to 5.0-6.0 with the help of 10 M NaOH and then finally the pretreated material was collected [1].

**Aqueous-ammonia soaking treatment:**

In the pretreatment that was one using aqueous-ammonia soaking method 10 g of rice was soaked in the aqueous-ammonia solution. The solids were then separated from the solution by using filtration cloth and it was washed with 2 L of distilled water till the pH of the solution reached 6.5-7.0 further dried in vacuum-drying-oven at 45°C for 3 days [14].

**Sulfuric acid pretreatment:**

In the sulfuric acid treatment the rice straw was grinded to about 833 µm in size and then 600 g was soaked in 41 of 0.5% sulfuric acid solution for about 20 h. This mixture was added into 101 reactor, where it was steam heated for 1.5 min till 15 bar pressure is achieved. Then this pressure is remained for 10 min. Further the solution is cooled within 3 min to achieve 2 bar pressure, the material is collected and washed five times with tap water and finally filtered [8].

**Sodium hydroxide pretreatment:**

During the sodium hydroxide treatment the rice straw was grinded and dried in the hot-air oven at 70°C. This dried rice was treated by 1% sodium hydroxide (NaOH) at solid-to-liquid ratio of 10% (w/v). The residue is collected by filtration and then washed with distilled water so that the pH can be neutralized [15]. According to pretreatment performed by Wei powdered rice straw was taken and first treated with dilute sulfuric acid (0.25~1.5% v/v) at a temperature of (100~160°C) for about 10 min to 1 h. then the slurry was filtered and the filter cake was collected and suspended in a solution of dilute sodium hydroxide and placed in autoclave with a pressure ranging from 0.0 to 1.0 Mpa at 120~160°C. For the neutralization the residue was washed with tap water. The yield of reducing sugar obtained after the pretreatment was 46% [16].

**Wet air oxidation pretreatment:**

Wet Air Oxidation Reactor of 1.8 L volume was taken in the wet air oxidation treatment method and 30 g of dried rice straw mixed with 500 g of water and 1 g of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was added in the WHO reactor. The suspension was then mixed and sealed so that there is no leakage. Pressure of the air (at 0.5 and 1.0 MPa, corresponding to 0.05 and 0.11 mol of O<sub>2</sub> respectively) was applied and then the solution was heated. During heating the temperature was kept ± 5°C and a constant stirring of 100 rpm. The suspension was then left for the reaction to occur and finally the pretreated slurry was cooled and filtrated, giving a cake that is rice in cellulose and hemicelluloses [6].

**Pretreatment using PCS (peptone cellulose solution) medium:**

In the PCS pretreatment method 5 g of the sample was taken in a 200 ml of flask which contain 100 ml of autoclaved PCS medium (0.1% yeast extract, 0.5% peptone, 0.2% CaCO<sub>3</sub>, 0.5% NaCl, 0.5% filter paper, pH 7.0). This culture was incubated at 50°C under the optimum conditions. Once the paper strip was degraded and rice straw had become soft, 5 ml of culture is transferred into fresh enrichment medium. This process was then repeated 10 times. The remaining culture as stored and kept in cold [5].

**Microwave/ alkali pretreatment:**

Domestic microwave oven was used with the microwave frequency was 2450 MHz. 20 g of rice straw grinded and then suspended in 160 ml of 1% NaOH aqueous solution in a beaker and then it was placed at the center of rotating circular glass plate inside the microwave oven and the microwave treatment was given for 15 min to 2 h. Yield of about 99 ± 0.6% and 75 ± 1.2% for cellulose and carbohydrate respectively was found [12].

**Lime pretreatment:**

The rice straw according to Saha was milled and 15.0% w/v was taken along with 1.5% w/v of lime and slurry was made. The slurry was further autoclaved at 121°C for 1 h. The pH of the pretreated rice straw was then adjusted to 5.0 using concentrated solution of HCl. The yield of the reducing sugar was increased with the increased doses of lime and found that at 100 mg lime g<sup>-1</sup> the yield was 126 ± 1 mg [17].

**AFEX (ammonia fiber explosion) treatment:**

In AFEX pretreatment liquid ammonia was given to 1-2 kg of biomass at a temperature of 90°C [18,19]. 75% of the glucose was released after 24 h of hydrolysis [20]. Ethanol has a yield of about 83% at the end of the pretreatment [21].

**Biological Pretreatment**

Biological treatment was carried out with fugal *Trichoderma reesei* [2]. Different fungal strain spores such as F66, F94 or F98 (F94 and F98 were strains of *Trichoderma viride* and *Aspergillus terreus* respectively) can also be used by inoculating them in the grinded rice husk and incubating them for 7 days at a temperature of 30°C [3]. Studies that have been conducted show that white-rot fungi are the most effective microorganism used for the pretreatment of lignocellulose that is present in agricultural waste [22]. Many fungi produce hydrogen peroxide with the help of certain enzymes like glyoxal oxidase, ary- alcohol oxidase and pyranose-2 oxidase which degrade the lignin content of the rice straw [23].

**CONCLUSION**

Bioethanol serves as the best alternative for the renewable energy that can be extracted from agricultural waste. There as many hindrance that occur during the extraction process, this is due to the complex structure of the lignocellulose content of the biomass. Various pretreatment processes are used for the removal of this lignin content and the exposure of the glucose so that it can be hydrolyzed and fertilized to yield bioethanol. The pretreatment processes may be physical, chemical, biological or a combination of physical and chemical treatments. It was found that out of the different physical pretreatment performed the combination or milling,  $\gamma$ -irradiation and autoclaving has a better yield. In chemical pretreatment alkaline hydrolysis was found to have higher yield as compared to other chemicals.

Therefore, a combination to the two physio-chemical pretreatment was used, and there was comparatively higher yield. Biological pretreatment was also done with help of some fungal species. The main aim is to find out the most cost-effective and high yielding treatment process.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] Li Hui; NJ Kim; M Jiang; WJCNH Kang Won Jong. *Bioresour Technol.* **2009**, 3245-3251.
- [2] AJ Srivastava; P Agrawal; A Rahiman. *Int J Innovative Resin Sci Eng Technol.* **2014**.
- [3] ASA Marvate; AME Ragab; ELG Nour sh; LA Farahat; HR Madian. *Soft.* **2014**, 3, 19-29.
- [4] R Potumarthi; RR Baadhe; P Nayak; A Jetty. *Bioresour Technol.* **2013**, 113-117.
- [5] W Wang; L Yan; Z Cui; Y Gao; Y Wang; R Jing. *Bioresour Technol.* **2011**,102, 9321-9324.
- [6] S Banerjee; R Sen; RA Pandey; T Chakrabarti; D Satpute; BS Giri; S Mudliar. *Biomass Bioenerg.* **2009**, 33, 1680-1686.
- [7] S Wongwilaiwalin; U Rattanachomsri; T Laothanachareon; L Eurwilaichitr; Y Igarashi; V Champreda. *Enzyme Microb Tech.* **2010**, 47, 283-290.
- [8] K Karimi; G Emtiazi; MJ Taherzadeh. *Enzyme Microb Tech.* **2006**, 40, 138-144.
- [9] A Biswas; BC Saha; JW Lawton; RL Shogren; JL Willett. *Carbohydr Polym.* **2006**, 64, 134-137.
- [10] J Yu; J Zhang; J He; Z Liu; Z Yu. *Bioresour Technol.* **2009**, 100, 903-908.
- [11] SH Krishna; TJ Reddy; GV Chowdary. *Bioresour Technol.* **2001**, 77, 193-196.
- [12] S Zhu; Y Wu; Z Yu; J Liao; Y Zhang. *Process Biochem.* **2005**, 40, 3082-3086.
- [13] YS Cheng; Y Zheng; CW Yu; TM Dooley; BM Jenkins; JS Vander Gheynst. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol.* **2010**, 162, 1768-1784.
- [14] KJ Kyong; JS Bak, WJ Min; HJ Lee; IC Geol; TH Kim; KH Ki. *Bioresour Technol.* **2009**, 100, 4374-4380.
- [15] SK Sandhu; HS Oberoi; N Babbar; K Miglani; BS Chadha; DK Nanda. *J Agri Food Chem.* **2013**, 61, 12653-12661.
- [16] GY Wei; W Gao; IH Jin; SY Yoo; JH Lee; CH Chung; JW Lee. *Sugars, Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng.* **2009**, 14, 828-834.
- [17] BC Saha; MA Cotta. *Biomass Bioenerg.* **2008**, 32, 971-977.
- [18] SP Chundawat; B Venkatesh; BE Dale. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* **2007**, 96(2), 219-231.
- [19] MC Thomsen MC; A Belinda. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol.* **2007**, 82(2), 174-181.

- [20] Y Sun; J Cheng. *Bioresour Technol.* **2002**, 83(1), 1-11.
- [21] T Jeoh; FA Agblevor. *Biomass Bioenerg.* **2001**, 21(2), 109-120.
- [22] DE Akin; LL Rigsby; A Sethuraman; WH Morrison; GR Gamble; KEL Eriksson. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* **1995**, 61, 1591-1598.
- [23] AT Martinez; FJD Ruiz; MJ Martinez; JCR Del; A Gutierrez. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* **2009**, 20(3), 348-357.