Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2014, 6(5):1286-1294



Research Article

ISSN: 0975-7384 CODEN(USA): JCPRC5

Preparation, characterization, and antibacterial properties of mixed ligand complexes of L-aspargine and sulfamethoxazole(antibiotic) with Mn(II), Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II) and Hg(II) ions

*Taghreed H. Al-Noor, **RaheemTaher Mahdi and ***Ahmed H. Ismael

Chemistry Department, Ibn -AI-Haithem College of Education, University of Baghdad, Iraq Chemistry Department, College of Science, Al-Mustansiriyah University, Iraq

ABSTRACT

The research includes the synthesis and identification of the mixed ligands complexes of M^{+2} ions in general composition[$M(Asn)_2(SMX)$] Where L- Aspargine ($C_4H_8N_2O_3$)symbolized (AsnH) as a primary ligand and Sulfamethoxazole($C_{10}H_{11}N_3O_3S$) symbolized (SMX) as a secondary ligand. The ligands and the metal chlorides were brought in to reaction at room temperature in(v/v) ethanol /water as solvent containing NaOH. The reaction required the following [(metal: $2(Na^+Asn^-)$: (SMX)] molar ratios with M(II) ions, Where: M(II)=Mn(II), Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II) and Hg(II). The UV–Vis and magnetic moment data revealed an octahedral geometry around M(II), The conductivity data show a non-electrolytic nature of the complexes. The antimicrobial activities of ligands and their mixed ligand complexes were screened by disc diffusion method.

Keywords: Sulfamethoxazole, L- Aspargine, Mixed ligand, Metal complexes, Antimicrobial activity.

INTRODUCTION

Chemistry of drugs attracts many researchers because of its application in medicinal study. The metal complexes of drugs play an important role in drug action and metabolism. Metal complexes are widely used in various fields, such as biological processes, pharmaceuticals, separation techniques, analytical processes etc.Survey of literature reveals that no systematic study of complexes of metal ion with antibacterial drugs and amino acids had been reported[1-4].

Asparagine(figure 1- Formula 1) is one of the 20 most common natural amino acids. It has carboximide as the side chain's functional group. Its molecular formula is $C_4H_8N_2O_3$. The nervous system requires asparagine. It also plays an important role in the synthesis of ammonia.[1-2]

During the recent years , there has been significant interest in the coordination chemistry , the structural properties and the reactivity of metal complexes of amino acids .[3-.4]

Rey and Co-worker. [5]investigated the complexation equilibrium of L-Serine and L-Leucine with Ca(ll), Mg(ll), Co(ll), Ni(ll), Cu(ll), Zn(ll),Cd(ll) and Pb(ll) at 25°C, I=0.1M KNO₃ in various ethanol-water media. The equilibrium constants of the complexes formed were discussed in terms of the acid-base characteristics of the amino acids and the properties of the cationsconcerned.[2-5].The derivatives of some amino acids function as drugs. L-Levodopa, a laevorotatory isomer of 3-(3,4-dihyroxy phenyl)L-alanine, is well known for its involvement in neurotransmission process and in the treatment of Parkinson''s Disease.[6].Sulfamethoxazole ($C_{10}H_{11}N_3O_3S$) (Systematic (IUPAC) name= 4-amino-N-(5-methylisoxazol-3-yl)-benzenesulfonamide(figure 1- Formula 2) is a sulfonamide bacteriostatic antibiotic. A complex of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) and hydroxypropyl- β -cyclodextrin

(HP- β -CD) was developed and characterized in order to investigate their interactions in aqueous solution and the solid state. [7]



The synthesis, characterization and comparative biological study of a series of antibacterial Mn(II),Co(II),Ni(II),Cu(II),Zn(II),Cd(II) and Hg(II)complexes with heterocyclic sulfamethoxazole and amino acids(L-leucine) were reported. [8]Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole(TMP-SMX) has the most potent and reliable *in vitro* activity

against*S. maltophilia*[9,10].Co-trimoxazole exhibiting more than 90% susceptibility *in vitro*hence it remains the most effective agent against *S. maltophilia*infections, [9]. The mechanisms of resistance to TMP-SMX is not well understood. These isolates were resistant*in vitro* to imipenem and aminoglycoside and β -lactam antibiotics [11].

Literature survey shows that no studies on the synthesis and characterization of mixed ligand complexes of L-Aspargine and Sulfamethoxazole(antibiotic) have been reported. In this paper we present the synthesis and study of Mn(II),Co(II),Ni(II),Cu(II),Zn(II),Cd(II) and Hg(II)complexes with amino acid (L-Aspargine) as a primary ligand andSulfamethoxazole(antibiotic) as a secondary ligand.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials and instruments

a-Allchemicals were purchased from Merck / Aldrich. The reagents were used without further purification .Double distilled water was used. b- Instruments: FT-I.R spectra were recorded as KBr discs using Fourier transform Infrared Spectrophotometer Shimadzu 24 FT-I.R 8400s. Electronic spectra of the prepared complexes were measured in the region (200- 1100) nm for 10^{-3} M solutions in N, N-dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) at 25°C using shimadzu-U.V-160.A UltraVioletVisible- Spectrophotometer with 1.000 \pm 0.001 cm matched quartz cell. While percentage of the metal in the complexes were determined by Atomic Absorption(A.A)Technique using Japan A.A-67GShimadzu. Electrical conductivity measurements of the complexes were recorded at at room temperature for 10^{-3} M solutions of the samples in (DMSO) using pw9527 Digital conductivity meter (Philips). Melting points were recorded by using Stuart melting point apparatus.,Magnetic susceptibility measurements were measured using Bruker magnet BM6 instrument at 298°K following the Farady's method. The proposed molecular structure of the complexes weredrawing by using chem. office program, 3DX (2006).

2.2. Preparation of Complexes :

The complexes of the series [M (SMX) (Asn)₂]. were prepared by the following general method

(A)potassiumasparginate (Na⁺Asn⁻):

The amino acid L- Asparagine monohydrate[0.34 gm, 2 m mol] was dissolved in 10 ml H₂O/ethanol (50%) mixture containing KOH (0.112 g, 2 m mol) in a flask and stirred at room temperature (20 °C), the solution was deprotonated according to the Scheme (1).



Scheme (1) : Schematic representation Preparation of the potassium asparaginate

(B) General method for Preparation of the mixed ligand metal (II) complexes:

A metal(II) chloride [(0.197g, MnCl₂.4H₂O, CoCl₂.6H₂O(0.237g,1mmol), NiCl₂.6H₂O (0.237g, 1mmol), CuCl₂.2H₂O(0.176g, 1mmol), ZnCl₂(0.136g, 1mmol), CdCl₂ (0.183g, 1mmol), and (0.271g, 1mmol), HgCl₂(0.271g,1mmol)] dissolved in in ethanol: water (1:1) 25ml respectively was added gradually with stirring to solution of potasium*aspargin*ate (K⁺Asn⁻), (0.253gm,1mmole) of Sulfamethoxazole (SMX) was added to the mixture in each case by using stoichiometric amount [(1:2:1) [(metal: $2(K^+ Asp^-)$: (SMX)] molar ratios, the above reaction mixture to raise the pH upto ~6.0 and the mixture was stirred for (20 -30mint)at room temperature. After one day a colored microcrystalline solid was obtained which was filtered and washed with ethanol. The solid was recrystallized from a H₂O/ethanol (50%) mixture. and dried in vacuum over anhydrous CaCl₂.See Scheme (1). The yields range from 65 to 90 %. The decomposition temperatures range from: 216-340 °C.

The solubility of the metal complexes were tested using various polar solvents like water, methanol, ethanol, acetone ,propanol ,DMF. DMSO and nonpolar solvents like benzene and di ethyl ether ,carbon tetrachloride.(10 mg of metal complex was taken and dissolved into (1-2) ml of corresponding solvent and checked the solubility.





RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study has provided an opportunity to compare the spectroscopic and other physical properties of all the complexes by using data obtained under the similar set of experimental conditions. The metal (II) complexes (1–7) were prepared in a stoichiometric[(metal: $2(K^{+}Asn^{-})$: (SMX)]of 1:2:1. of molar ratios with M(II) ions, Were M(II)=Mn(II), Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Cd(II) and Hg(II). Wereused as chlorides and obtained in fairly good yield (Scheme-2). The physical properties of the complexes are shown in (Table 1).

Color Determination:

Color of the metal complexes were determined by the visual observation. All the complexes are colored, non-hygroscopic.

Melting Point and Solubility :

The complexes are thermally stable and decomposed at high temperature on heating. These are insoluble in water or most of the organic solvents like methanol, benzene and carbon tetrachloride, DMF but soluble in DMSO.

Molar conductance:

Molar conductance's (Λ_m) of 10⁻³ solutions of the complexes in DMSO lie in very low range (1-11.4) Ω^{-1} cm²mol⁻¹ supporting their non-electrolytic behavior. [11]

Metal Analysis (AAS) and chloride ion content [12]:

Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) analysis of the complexes was carried out by direct method which gave total metal content. The experimental percentage of metal in the complexes was obtained from the AAS data using the following formula:

$$M(\%) = Absorbance(A, ppm) \times \frac{volume of metal solution}{weight of complex} \times \frac{100}{1000}$$

The calculated and experimental values of metal percentage in each complex are in fair agreement. These results are very supportive of the proposed formulae of the complexes (Table1).

The atomicabsorption measurements (Table-1) and chloride ion content(Cl % =Nill) for all complexes gave approximated values for theoretical values. In conclusion, our investigation this suggest that the ligands L-Aspargine and Sulfamethoxazole(antibiotic)coordinate with M (II) forming octahedral geometry.

Fourier-transform infrared spectra and mode of coordination :

The important infrared frequencies exhibited by the ligands (AsnH) and (SMX) and their mixed ligand complexes are given in the Tables (2,3 and 4).

The relevant vibration bands of the free ligands and the complexes are in the region $4000-400 \text{ cm}^{-1}[8-15]$. The most important infrared spectral bands that provide conclusive structural evidence for the coordination of the ligands to the central metal ions .

As regards the chelation of amino acids, the IR spectra exhibited significant features in νNH_2 , $\nu COO-$ regions. It is worth while mentioning here that the free amino acids exist as zwitterions ($NH_3^+Asn H. COO^-$) and the IR spectra of these cannot be compared entirely with those of metal complexes as amino acids in metal complexes do not exist as zwitterions.[10-12] see Scheme (3).



Scheme (3): zwitterions of L-Aspargine

In the ligand spectra the v(N-H) stretching vibration appears at **3115**cm⁻¹ is shifted at 3244 cm⁻¹, 3196 cm⁻¹, 3182cm⁻¹, 3184cm⁻¹, 3184cm⁻¹, 3188cm^{-1.3}190cm⁻¹and 3191 cm⁻¹ (in the Mn (II), Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II), and Hg(II) respectively, spectra proving the involvement of the $-NH_2$ - group in the complex formation [13-14]. Table (2), displays the (FT-IR) spectrum for the (Asn H)exhibited a band around v(3452) cm⁻¹ that corresponds to the stretching vibration of v(N-H) + v (O-H), while another strong absorption band at v (3115)cm⁻¹ is due to the $v(N-H_2)_{sym}$ while the bands at (**1557**) cm⁻¹ and (**1431**) cm⁻¹ were assigned to the $v(-COO)_{asy}$ and $v(-COO)_{sym}$ respectively. $v\Delta$ (-COO)asy-sym=126 cm⁻¹. [8-13].

A general tendency in the relationship between v (COO⁻) (the difference between the wavenumbers of the asymmetric(v_{asym}) and the symmetric (vsym) stretches of carboxylate group from the FT-IR spectra) and the types of coordination of the (COO⁻) group to metal ions by examining the structures.[13-14].

In case of (SMX) molecule the $\upsilon \delta$ (N–H) vibrations of –NH₂(aromatic sec. amine) occur at (3468 and 3378) cm⁻¹ for free (SMX) due to υ as (NH₂) and υ s (NH₂), respectively. The hypochromic effect (decreasing in the intensity of υ (NH) vibrations in case of mixed ligand complexes rather than (SMX) alone as well as the blue shifted in the

wave numbers from 3299 cm⁻¹to range (3149 cm⁻¹) (mixed complex). [8,12, 13] Such these changes clearly indicate that the lone pair of electron of NH_2 and in sulfamethoxazole donor is participated in the complexation process with metals. acting as bidentate ligand. [8] .Some new bands of weak intensity observed in the regions around (513-663) cm⁻¹ and (437-574) cm⁻¹ may be ascribed to M-N and M-O vibrations, respectively [15-16].It may be noted that, these vibrational bands are absent in the spectra of the ligands.

Electronic spectra and Magnetic moment:

The electronic spectral studies of Mixed Ligand Complexes of Mn(II), Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd (II) and Hg (II) with (AsnH) and (SMX) were carried out in DMSO solution. The values of band positions (nm) and molar absorptivity's ($\epsilon_{max}L$ cm⁻¹ mol⁻¹) with the magnetic moment values for complexes are listed in Table 5 were calculated from the measured magnetic susceptibilities after employing diamagnetic corrections. And together with the proposed assignments and suggested geometries. The results obtained are in good agreement with other spectra and the literatures. [17-24]

$[Mn(SMX)(Asn)_2]$

The (U.V- Vis) spectrum, exhibits two peaks, the first weak peak at (536 nm) (18656 cm⁻¹)(ϵ_{max} =64 molar⁻¹.cm⁻¹), which assigned tod-d transitions ($6A_{1g}(S) \rightarrow 4T_{2g}(G)$), while the second high intense peak with shoulder at(260 nm)(36630cm⁻¹)(ϵ_{max} =1492molar⁻¹.cm⁻¹)is due to the (C.T) and µeff = 6.191B.M, which suggests a high spin octahedral geometry around the central metal ion,[17].

$[Co(SMX)(Asn)_2]$

The (U.V- Vis) spectrum, exhibits five peaks , the first three weak peaks are typical of Co(II) ground state . The assignment of the electronic spectral bands, their positions, and the spectral parameters for Co(II) 4T1g(F) \rightarrow 4T2g(F) υ 1= (895 n nm) 11173 cm⁻¹, (ε_{max} =86molar⁻¹.cm⁻¹), 4T_{1g}(F) \rightarrow 4A_{2g}, υ 2= (868nm) 11520 cm⁻¹, (ε_{max} =74 molar⁻¹.cm⁻¹), 3A2g(F) \rightarrow 4T1g, υ 3= (534 nm) 18726 cm⁻¹, (ε_{max} =68 molar-1.cm⁻¹), υ 2/ υ 1 =1.03, υ 1/ υ 2 = 0.96, υ 3/ υ 2 =1.62,

In this case first transition equal splitting energy $\Delta o(10 \text{ Dq}) = 11520 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, LFSE=24.86 kcol.mol⁻¹, B=971 , and the fourthpeak (350 nm)(38610 cm⁻¹)(ε_{max} =95 molar⁻¹.cm⁻¹) and fifth intense maxima peak at(259 nm)(28571 cm⁻¹)(ε_{max} =987 molar⁻¹.cm⁻¹) due to (C.T) intra ligand transitions of the organic moiety. The room temperature magnetic moment (µeff= 4.952.BM) which lie in range (4.82-5.5)BM corresponded to a high spin octahedral geometry. [19,20]

$[Ni(SMX)(Asn)_2]$

The (U.V- Vis) spectrum, exhibits four peaks , the firstweak peaks at (860 nm)(11627 cm⁻¹)(ϵ_{max} =45 molar⁻¹.cm⁻¹) which assigned to³A₂g^(F) \rightarrow ³T₁g^(f) (v1) (d–d), while the second and thirdpeaks , (584 m)(18248cm⁻¹)(ϵ_{max} =41 molar⁻¹.cm⁻¹), which assigned to³A₂g^(F) \rightarrow ³T₁g^(P) (v2) (d–d), and (355 nm)(28196 cm⁻¹)(ϵ_{max} =75 molar⁻¹.cm⁻¹), which assigned to ³A₂g^(F) \rightarrow ³T₁g^(P) (v3)(d–d), respectively and high peak at (290nm)(34482 cm⁻¹)(ϵ_{max} =1241 molar⁻¹.cm⁻¹) is due to the(C.T)transition. the complex exhibited a value of μ eff = 2.262B.M, which suggests a high spin 11627cm-1, LFSE=26.17 kcol.mol-1, B=1040cm-1, The v2/v1 ratio is 1.56, which is in the usual range reportedoctahedral geometry around the central metal ion.[19,20]

The spectral parameters of the Ni(II)complex are as follows [21] : $\upsilon 1/\upsilon 2$ ratio is 0.637, Dq = for an octahedral Ni(II) complexes [12-22].

$[Cu(SMX)(Asn)_2]$

The (U.V- Vis) spectrum, exhibits two peaks , the first weak peaks at (644nm)(15527 cm⁻¹) ($\varepsilon_{max} = 104 \text{ molar}^{-1}$.cm⁻¹),mainly due to (${}^{2}\text{Eg} \rightarrow {}^{2}\text{T}_{2}\text{g}$), transition suggesting the distorted octahedral geometry [16,].while the second high broad peak at (262 nm)(38167 cm⁻¹)($\varepsilon_{max} = 1206 \text{ molar}^{-1}$.cm⁻¹) may be due to ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT) which is a characteristic of copper(II) complexes with amines.[23-24]Cu(II) complex exhibited a value of $\mu eff=1.638\mu$ B, [2,21,25]. The observed magnetic moments of Cu(II) lie in the range 1.80- 1.87 BM showing one unpaired electron with paramagnetic nature and suggested a high spin distorted octahedral geometry in teems of Jahn-Teller effect.[19-21]

[Zn(SMX)(Asn)₂], [Cd (SMX)(Asn)₂]and [Hg (SMX)(Asn)₂]

The Zn(II),Cd(II) and Hg(II) complexes did not display any peak in the visible region, no ligandfield absorptions band was observed, therefore the bands appeared in the spectra of three complexes could be attributed to charge transfer transition. in fact this result is a good agreement with previous work of octahedral geometry and magnetic susceptibility measurements for Zn(II),Cd(II) and Hg(II) (d^{10})(white complexes) showed diamagnetic as expected from their electronic configuration . [15,16].

Antibacterial Activity of Metal Complexes, L-Aspargine and Commercial Drug Sulfamethoxazole(antibiotic)

Table 5 reveal that the synthesized compounds were potent as bacteriostatic agents. The synthesized metal complexes were screened for their antimicrobial activity by well plate method in nutrient agar .The plates were incubated in incubator at 37°C for 24 hours. In order to enure that solvent had no effect on bacteria, a control test was performed with DMSO and found inactive in culture medium. Antibacterial activities were evaluated by measuring inhibition zone diameters. (IZ)and compared with the standard DMSO (as control).[24-26].The zones of inhibition was formed by these complexes was recorded in mm by scale figure -2-complexes have been tested for their antibacterial activity 38, against *E. coli, staphylococcus, Psedomonas* and *S. aureus* and Acineto. The comparison of the biological activities of the synthesized complexes and known antibiotic shown the following results:

1-The prepared [Hg (SMX)(ASN)₂] complexes show positive effect towards four organisms have exhibited very good activity with the zone of inhibition 25-30 mm and the complexes also show higher activity than the ligand. [24-25].

2-The prepared [Mn (SMX)(ASN)2] complex show negative towards 3- organisms except Acineto

3- The prepared [Cu(SMX)(ASN)₂] complex shows weakly active with the zone of inhibition 12 mm. [26-27].

4-The prepared $[Cd(SMX)(ASN)_2]$ and $[Co (SMX)(ASN)_2]$ and $[Mn(SMX)(ASN)_2]$ complexes show negative towards *E-coli*.

5-The rate of inhibition diameter was varied according to the variation in the complexes ,ligands type and Bacterial type.[27].The antibacterial activity is found to be in the order;

Acineto>staphylococcus>Pseudomonas> E-coli Ligand (Drug)SMX>Ligand(amino acid)ASN

Table 1 : Analytical and some physical data of the complexes

Ligand / Complex, Molecular Formula	Color	Yield %	M.P / decomp. Temp. °C	M% Theory (exp)	$\begin{array}{c} \Lambda m\\ \Omega^{\text{-1}}.Cm^2.mole^{\text{-1}} \end{array}$
[Mn(SMX) (Asn) ₂] C18H25MnN7O9S	light-Brown	70	217 -220	9.63 (8.22)	2.2
[Co(SMX)(Asn) ₂] C18H25CoN7O9S	Red- brown	65	230 D	10.26 (8.28)	9.3
[Ni(SMX)(Asn) ₂] C18H25NiN7O9S	Green	72	245D	10.23 (9.21)	5.2
[Cu(SMX)(Asn) ₂] C18H25CuN7O9S	Blue	81	269D	10.97 (9.31)	1
[Zn(SMX)(Asn) ₂] C18H25ZnN7O9S	White	73	201D	11.26 (10.33)	11.4
[Cd(SMX)(Asn 2] C18H25CdN7O9S	White	78	289D	17.91 (16.11)	5.9
[Hg(SMX)(Asn) ₂] C18H25HgN7O9S	White	90	315D	28.03 (26.63)	2.7

^a Calculatea	l values	in	parentheses
-------------------------	----------	----	-------------

Table 2-FT-R spectral data of the L-Aspargine										
υ(N-H)+	N(N H) sym	υ (C–H) +	v(C, C)	υ	v(COO)sym	υΔ				
υ (O-H)	$0(1N-H_2)$ sylli	; CH3	0(C-C)	(-COO)asy	0(-COO)sym	(-COO)asy-sym				
3452vs	31156	2066: 2040:	1350we	155716	1/131 100	126				
3452vs	51158	29008,29498	155948	155778	145178	120				

	Table 3-FT-R spectral data of Sulfamethoxazole												
vas (N-H); -vs NH ₂ & - NH	v (C–H); aromatic	υ (C- H) + CH ₃	υ(C=C)	υδof (N–H) Ring breathing band	υ C–H deformation	v SO₂asy	。 (C− N)	υ (C- Ο)	v(SO ₂) sy	ບ (S- N)	υ (C- S)	υ (C– H) bend δrock; NH	CNC deformation
vas 3468 s vs 3 300 vs	3378 vs, , 3143 s	2929 w, 2858 w	1622vs	1597vs	1504s 1469s	1365s	1309s	1266 ms	1157vs 1143s 1091s	987w	831 vs	927 ms	547s

	Table 4-FT-R spectral data of mixed ligand complexes												
No.	vas (N–H); vs NH ₂ & – NH	υ (C–H); aromaticy	υ (C– H) + ; CH ₃	Stretch grouping of v(C=C)	υδdef h(N–H) Ring breathing bands	υ C–H deformation	υ(SO ₂) asy	v (- COO) _{asy}	v (- COO) _{sym}	υ(C- N)	v(SO ₂) sy	M-N	M-O
1 Mn	3437m 3244s	3317 3244	2960 s, 2920m	1680w	1550 vs	1456	1367s	1415s	1301	1307	1180 1159 1026	513 544	437 457
2 Co	3408s 3196s	-	2933w	1651vs	1550 s	1516m	1338w	1462m	1303m	1303m	1170 1134 1095m	663 582	459
3 Ni	3385vs 3182s	3348 3273	2953vs 2929s	1656vs	1593vs	1527mw	1359s	1475s	13459s	1313w	1170 1149 1062m	603m 584m	507 493w
4 Cu	3387vs 3184vs	3333vs 3295vs	2928m ,2362m	1689 vs	1633vs	1587vs 1441s	1361vs	1411s	1361s	1309s	1170vs 1132vs 1099m	605 586	526 451w
5 Zn	3363vs 3188	3364vs 3271v	2964m ,2933m 2870w	1658	1624s	1585vs 1550	1383 Vs	1415vs	1354vs	1317m	1155m 1116vs 1078vs	642 586	574 455
6 Cd	3400vs 3190	3265s 3236	2956w	1660vs	1591vs	1591vs	1388 Vs	1550 s	1388s	1315m	1151m 1126s 1091m	642w 611m	528 462
7 Hg	3470s 3149s	3373 3242s	2929m	1631	1531vs	1599vs	1433	1473s	1363 m	1307	1168m 1136vs 1087vs	657 547	528 503

Table 5- Electronic Spectral data in DMSO, magnetic moment, of the studied compounds									
Comp.	λnm	ABS	$v' (cm^{-1})$	Assignments	µ <i>eff</i> B.M				
$C_6H_{13}NO_2(Asn)$	297	1.934	33670	n→π*	-				
SMX	275	0.086	36363	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	-				
[Mn(SMX) (Asn)-]	536	0.064	18656	${}^{6}A_{1}g^{(S)} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2}g^{(G)}$	6 1 9				
	260	1.492	36630	C.T	0.17				
	895	0.086	11173	${}^{4}T_{1}g \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{1}g^{(f)}$					
	868	0.074	11520	${}^{4}T_{1}g \rightarrow {}^{4}A_{2}g^{(f)}$					
[Co(SMX) (Asn) ₂]	534	0.068	18726	${}^{4}T_{1}g \rightarrow T_{1}g^{(p)}$	4.95				
	350	0.095	28571	C.T					
	259	0.987	38610	C.T					
	860	0.045	11627	${}^{3}A_{2}g^{(F)} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1}g^{(f)}$					
[Ni(SMX) (Asn)2]	584	0.041	18248	$^{3}A_{2}g^{(F)} \rightarrow ^{3}T_{2}g^{(f)}$	2.26				
	355	0.075	28196	${}^{3}A_{2}g^{(F)} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1}g^{(p)}$	2.20				
	290	1.241	34482	C.T					
$[C_{\rm H}({\rm SMV})(\Lambda_{\rm op})]$	644	0.104	15527	$^{2}Eg \rightarrow ^{2}T_{2}g$	1.62				
[Cu(SWIX) (ASII) ₂]	262	1.206	38167	C.T	1.05				
[Zn(SMX)(Asn) ₂]	294	1.297	34013	C.T	Diamagnetic				
[Cd(SMX) (Asn) ₂]	265	1.803	37735	C.T	Diamagnetic				
[Hg(SMX) (Asn) ₂]	272	2.195	36764	C.T	Diamagnetic				

Table6. Antimicrobial activity data of the ligands (SMX) , (Asn H) and their mixed ligand complexes. (Zone of inhibition (mm)									
Comp.	E-coli.	staphylococcus	Pseudomonas	Acineto					
(SMX)	0	40	27	45					
(ASN)	0	26	11	35					
[Mn(SMX)(ASN) ₂]	0	0	0	12					
[Co(SMX)(ASN) ₂]	0	25	30	25					
[Ni(SMX)(ASN) ₂]	13	18	16	19					
[Cu(SMX)(ASN) ₂]	11	12	15	15					
[Zn(SMX)(ASN) ₂]	12	18	11	23					
[Cd(SMX)(ASN) ₂]	0	25	10	17					
[Hg(SMX)(ASN) ₂]	25	30	25	33					



Figure. 3 Chart of biological effects of some of the studied compounds

CONCLUSION

We have successfully synthesized the mixed ligand complex of M (II)=Mn(II),Co(II),Ni(II),Cu(II),Zn(II),Cd(II) and Hg(II) containing O-N donor ligands. The complex was also characterized by molar conductance, magnetic susceptibility measurement and also by FT- IR, UV- visible spectroscopy. The UV–Vis and magnetic moment data revealed an octahedral geometry around M(II),as proposed. (schem1).All the complexes are non-electrolyte.Based on the reported data, it may be concluded that L-Aspargineand sulfamethoxazole,coordinate to metal ions as bidentate ligand through oxygen atom of carboxylate group (COO-) and nitrogen atom of amine group (NH2 in L-Asparginewhile In sulfamethoxazole, coordination of the metal ion occur through the oxygen of the sulphone group and nitrogen of the amine group.The complexes are biologically active and exhibit enhanced antibacterial activities as compared to their parent ligands, hence further study of these complexes could lead to interesting results.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to university of Baghdad, IRAQ, for providing laboratory facilities.

REFERENCES

[1]B.C. Khade, Deore P.M. and Arbad B.R., Int.J. Chem. Tech Res., 2010, 2 (2), 1036-1041

[2]B.K.Magareand M.B.Ubale, J Chem Bio and Phy.Sci., 2012, 2(1), 108-113

[3] G Kumari, Chemistry, 2011, 20, (1.).

[4]K.Radha, , M.S. Mohan, Y L. Kumari, K.B.S. Sudha, P.J. J. Chem. Sci.2006, 4, 635-648.

[5] F. Rey; J. M Antelo, F. Arce, & F.Penedo; J. Polyhedron, 1990, 9(5), 665

[6] A. G.Gilman, J. G. Hardman, and L. E.Limbird,: *The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics:* McGraw-Hill, New York, 9th ed. **1996**, 1905.

[7]A.Verma,; J. M.Simard,; J.W. E. Wora, and V. M.Rotello,; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004.126, 13987.

[8]RaheemTaher Mahdi, Taghreed H. Al-Noor, Ahmed H Ismael Advances in Physics Theories and Applications, 2014, 27, 8-19

[9]. Betriu C, Sanchez A, Palau ML, Gomez M and Picazo JJ. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, **2001**; 48: 152–4.

[10]EsinSenol, Jeffrey DesJardin, Paul C. Stark, Laurie Barefoot and David R. Snydman. .*Clin Infec Dis*,2002: 34; 1653-1656.

[11] W. J. Geary, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1971, 7, 81-122.

[12] A. Vogel (1978). Text Book of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis(Longman, London). 694.

[13] K. Nakamoto; **1996**.Infrared spectra of Inorganic and coordination compounds "4Ed th ; J. Wiely and Sons, Newyork.

[14] R. M. Silverstein, *Spectrophotometric Identification of Organic Compounds*, **2009**. John Wiley, New York, NY, USA.

[15]R.C Sharma, P.P Giri, Devendra Kumar and Neelam, J. Chem. Pharm. Res.2012, 4(4): 1969-1973.

[16] Fayad N.K., Taghreed H. Al-Noor and Ghanim F.H, Journal of Advances in Physics Theories and Applications, **2012** (9), 1-12.

[17] A.B.P., Lever "Inorganic Electronic spectroscopy", 2rd Ed Elsevier, New York. 1984.

[18] H. Al-Noor. Taghreed, , T. Ahmed. AL- Jeboori , ManhelReemon , , Journal of Chemistry and Materials Research , 2013 Vol.3 No.3, 114-124.

[19] H. Al-Noor. Taghreed, , T. Ahmed. AL- Jeboori , ManhelReemon , *Journal Advances in Physics Theories* and Applications **2013**Vol.18, 1-10.

[20] R. L Dutta. and A. Syamal, *Elements of Magnatochemistry*, 2nd Ed., East west press, New Delhi, 1996.

[21]B. N. Figgis, J. Lewis, Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1965, 6, 37

[22]W. Manchand W. ConardFernelius, Journal of Chemical Education Volume 1961. 38(4) 192-201,

[23]M. Y fouziarafat. Siddiqi and k. S. Siddiqi., J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 2004, 69 (8-9) 641-6649

[24] V. Reddy, N.Patiland S.D.Angadi, E-J. Chem., 2008, 5(3),577-583.

[25] J.A Obaleye, Nde –aga,, J.B and E.A ,Balogun ,. Afr. J. Sci. 1997,(1):10-12.

[26] H.W ,Seely and P J Van Demark, *Microbes in Action, Laboratory of Microbiology*, 3rd Ed., W H Freeman and Co. U.S.A, **1981**, 38

[27] H. Al-Noor. Taghreed, ,ManhelReemon, T. Ahmed. AL- Jeboori , Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2014, 6(4):1225-1231