



Physicochemical constituents of pineapple pulp and waste

R. Hemalatha and S. Anbuselvi

Department of Industrial Biotechnology, Bharath University, Chennai

ABSTRACT

Pineapple is a rich source of ascorbic acid supplement to our diet. Pineapple pulp waste showed maximum amount of reducing sugars(30.5mg/100g) and ash(1.8mg/100g)respectively. Pineapple waste contains high concentration of biodegradable organic material and suspended particles. Pineapple waste showed higher concentration of crude fibre, non-reducing sugar, protein, ascorbic acid and moisture content. Therefore pineapple waste is used as substrate for growth of microbes in fermentation process.

Keywords: pineapple pulp, waste, total sugars, crude fibre.

INTRODUCTION

Green mature pineapples are usually allowed to ripen during storage. The pineapple fruits are normally eaten fresh or as fresh pineapple juice. Pineapple fruits are an excellent source of vitamins and minerals. One healthy ripe pineapple fruit can supply of about 16.2% of daily requirement for vitamin C. Several physiochemical parameters like starch, reducing sugar, non reducing sugar, total sugar, protein, ascorbic acid are present in juice and waste. Pineapple waste contains high concentration of biodegradable organic material and suspended solid. The pineapple solid waste from pineapple processing was estimated about 40-50% from fresh fruit as pineapple peels and core [1]. Pineapple waste contains valuable components which are mainly sucrose,glucose,fructose and other nutrients[2,3]. The conversion of these nutrients into useful products of higher value added products like ethanol or even as raw material for other Industries or for use as food or feed after its biological treatment[4]. The aim of this investigation was to compare the physicochemical characteristics of pineapple juice and pineapple waste.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Pineapple fruits were collected from market. Pineapple juice and waste was used for small scale fermentation. The physical parameters like pH, temperature were measured using pH meter and thermometer. The turbidity of the juice and waste were analyzed using nephelometer. The moisture content of the fruit sample and waste were determined by hot-air oven method and ash content was calculated by keeping the sample in a muffle furnace and ashed at a temperature exceeding 525°C for 6 hours. The ash was then cooled in a desiccator and weighed. The ash content was recorded as 1.8mg/100g of fresh weight[5].

The titratable acidity was calculated by mincing fresh fruit samples(10g) were mixed with 200cm³ distilled water, boiled for 1 hour, cooled and the mixture was then filtered. The filtrate (10cm³) was titrated with 0.1M sodium hydroxide upto pH 8.1 using p^H meter. The results were expressed as 2.03% citric acid/gm fresh weight[6].

The reducing sugar was measured by alkaline 3,5 dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method[7] and total sugar content was measured by anthrone method[8]. Soluble protein was analysed by Lowry et al[9]. The ascorbic acid content was measured by Colorimetric method[10].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The physical and chemical composition of pineapple juice and waste can be seen in table (1). The pineapple waste had high moisture content (91.35%) and moderate titratable acidity. Inyang and Agbo reported that moisture content of pineapple range from 69 to 89.5%. But it was decreased during room temperature storage and ripening period[11]. The Indian Pineapple had high moisture content[12] when compared with Mbezi pineapples (79.3), Guatemala Pineapples (71.8) and Joaspine Pineapples of Malaysia (70.5)[13]. The high moisture content have a low energy value for the fruits thus suggesting usefulness in the treatment of obesity as observed by Muller & Tobin[14]. The moisture content of fruit gives a natural laxative property.

Pineapple contains low ash content. The maximum concentration of ash was observed in pineapple pulp (1.8mg/100g). Asare Bediako et.al (2007) reported a higher ash content of 0.5mg/100fw for pineapples from Ghana[15]. The titratable acidity value of 2.03% for Indian pineapple juice and 1.86% for waste. This was compared with fruits of Mbezi Pineapple (1.505%), found to be lower than Indian pineapples. The titratable acidity for the pineapple fruits ranged from 0.80 to 1.50%. In citrus fruits, the predominant acid is citric acid[16]. The average ascorbic acid content of pulp (21.5 mg/100g) and waste (26.5mg/100g) were measured. Achinewhu and Hart (1994) reported ascorbic acid value of 22.5 -33.5 mg/100g-fw for pineapple from Nigeria[17]. Ascorbic acid content was slightly decreased in ripening stage of pineapple fruits[18]. The usual adult dose of ascorbic acid as dietary supplement is between 30 – 200mg/day.(NDA).

Table 1: Physical and chemical constituents of pineapple pulp and waste

| S.No | Parameters | Pineapple pulp | Pineapple waste |
|------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1 | Moisture (%) | 87.3 | 91.35 |
| 2 | Ash content (mg/100g) | 1.8 | 0.04 |
| 3 | Titratable acidity(%) | 2.03 | 1.86 |
| 4 | Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) | 21.5 | 26.5 |
| 5 | Reducing sugars(%) | 10.5 | 8.2 |
| 6 | Non reducing sugars(%) | 7.4 | 8.8 |
| 7 | Total soluble solids(%) | 13.3 | 10.2 |
| 8 | Total sugars (%) | 8.66 | 9.75 |
| 9 | Crude fibre(g/100g-fw) | 0.41 | 0.60 |
| 10 | Protein(mg/100g) | 7.2 | 10 |

The reducing sugars was found to be higher in pineapple pulp when compared with pineapple waste. The maximum amount of reducing sugar was observed in pineapple pulp (10.5%) than waste (8.2%). The reducing sugar content of pineapple was found to be lower in Indian Varieties of pineapple (10-12.5) when compared with Mbezi pineapples (14.2%). Cayenne pineapples (13.8%) [17]. But Ghana pineapples were found to be very higher in reducing sugar content (16.5%)[15]. The total soluble solids (TSS) value of Indian pineapple was found to be higher in pulp (13.3%) than waste (10.2%). The total soluble solids value of 15.3% was found to be similar to TSS value of 15.2% reported for Mauritius pineapples by Weerahewa and Adikaaram [19]. Malaysian Josapine pineapple had a TSS Value of 13.5% [20]. The total sugar content of Indian variety was found to be higher in waste (9.75%) than pulp (8.66%). The total sugar content of pearl pineapples of Brazil had a total sugar content of 14.5%.

The pineapple waste contained higher amount of crude fibre (0.60g/100g-fw) than fruit (0.41g/100g-fw). The average crude fibre content of 0.41g/100gfw for Mbezi pineapple similar to Indian pineapples 0.399g/100gfw[17]. Pineapples pulp had average crude fibre content of 0.45±0.03g/100g. Ramulu and Rao reported that crude fibre content of Indian pineapple had 0.5g/100g-fw in fruit[12]. Fibre helps to maintain the health of gastrointestinal tract, but in excess it may bind some trace elements [21]. The pineapple skin waste was found to be higher amount of total sugar (9.75%) and non-reducing sugar (8.8%) than juice, which is essential for growth of microorganism and also found to be higher protein content in waste (10mg). Total sugar and non-reducing sugar and proteins are suitable for yeast fermentation [22].

CONCLUSION

Thus pineapple fruits exhibit high moisture, high sugars, soluble solid content ascorbic acid and low crude fibre. The pineapple waste shows high amount of crude fibre. The pineapple waste contains non-reducing sugars and carbohydrates and protein which are used as a nutrient medium for growth of microbes and fermentation using yeast to produce ethanol and single cell protein. Thus pineapple can be used as supplementary nutritional fruit for good personal health.

REFERENCES

- [1] K A Buckle., *In Biotechnology and the food industry*, 1989, Ed. Rogers P.L. Breach Science Publishers, New York., 261-277.
- [2] K Sasaki., N Noparatnaraphorn., and Naga S., *In Bioconversion of Waste Material to Industrial Product*, 1991, Ed. Martin. A.M. Elvise Applied Science, London, 225-233.
- [3] D A Krueger., R.G. Krueger., and J. Maciel., *Journal International AOAC*, 1992, 75(2), 280-282.
- [4] M.M Chandapillai., and T. Selvarajah., *In Food and Agriculture Malaysia 2000*, Ed. Chin H.F., Faculty of Agriculture UPM 257-274.
- [5] AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) 1990, Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 15th ed., Arlington, VA.
- [6] S Ranganna., *Manual of analysis of fruit and vegetable products*, 1997, MacGraw Hill Company Ltd, New Delhi.
- [7] G L Miller., *Anal.chem* 1972, 31, 426.
- [8] J E Hodge., and B T Hofreiter., *methods in carbohydrate chemistry* 1962.
- [9] O H Lowry., N J Rosebrough., A L Farr., and R J Randall., 1951, *J.Biol.Chem*, 193 - 195.
- [10] S Sadasivam., and Theymoli Balasubramanian., 1987. *Practical manual in Biochemistry*, TNAU Coimbatore 14.
- [11] U E Inyang., and A U Agbo., 1995, *trop.sci*.35:259-262.
- [12] P Ramulu., and P U Rao., *J.Food Comp.Anal.* 16, 2003, pp 677 – 685.
- [13] Shamsudin., R.Daud., W.R.W, Takriff, M.S., and Hassan O., *International journal of food Engineering* 2007, 3(5): Article 9.
- [14] Muller, H.G., and Tobin G., *Nutritional and food processing* 1980, 5th edition, Croom Helm applied biology series, 302.
- [15] Asare– Bediako, E., Showemimom F.A., Buah, J.N., and Ntow – Manu A.O., *journal of Food Technology*, 2007, 2, 100-103.
- [16] Echeverria, E., and Ismail, M., *Proc.Fla.State Hort.Sci.*, 1987, 100, 50 -52.
- [17] Achinewhu, S.C., and Hart A.D., *Plant plantarum* 1994, 46(4); 335 – 337.
- [18] Dhar, M., Rahman, S.M., and Sayem, S.M., *Int.J.Sustain.Crop.Prod.* 2008, 3(2), 69 -75.
- [19] Weerahewa, D., and Adikaram, N.K.B., *J.Sci (Bio.Sci)* 2005, 34:7-20.
- [20] Antunes, A.M., Ono E.O., Sampaio A.C., and Rodrigues J.D., *Boil,technol.* 2008, 51(1): 19 – 26.
- [21] Siddhuraju P., Vijayakumar K., and Janardhanan K., *food.Chem.*, 1996, 57(3) 385 – 391.
- [22] Dharumadurai., Dhanasekran., Subramaniyan., Lavanya., Subhasish Saha., Nooruddin Thajuddin., and Annamalai panneerselvam., *J.Bio.Chem.*, 2011, 26(2):63-67.