Available online <u>www.jocpr.com</u>

Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2012, 4(7):3688-3694



Research Article

ISSN: 0975-7384 CODEN(USA): JCPRC5

Method development and validation of nicardipine hydrochloride in bulk and formulation using UV spectrophotometric method

Amala Mateti, Kiran Aarelly, Manish Kumar Thimmaraju and N. Raghunandan

Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Balaji Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Narsampet, Warangal, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

The present study describes a simple, accurate, precise, specific and highly sensitive method for the determination of Nicardipine Hydrochloride present in pharmaceutical dosage forms. The method is validated for the determination of Nicardipine Hydrochloride in bulk and tablet dosage form. The solvent used was acetonitrile: water (50:50) and the λ max or the absorption maxima of the drug was found to be 235nm. A linear response was observed in the range of 5-25µg/ml with a regression coefficient of 0.999. The linear regression equation obtained by least square regression method were y=0.249X+0.008, where y is the absorbance and x is the concentration of the pure drug solution. The. The method was validated for several parameters like accuracy, precision as per ICH guidelines. The values of relative standard deviation and % recovery were found to be satisfactory, indicating that the proposed method is precise and accurate and hence can be used for the routine analysis of Nicardipine Hydrochloride in bulk and pharmaceutical formulation.

Keywords: Nicardipine hydrochloride, λmax, ICH, UV-VIS spectroscopy

INTRODUCTION

Nicardipine hydrochloride, 2-(N-benzyl-N-methylamino)ethyl methyl 1,4-dihydro- 2,6-dimethyl-4-(m-nitrophenyl)-3,5-pyridinedicarboxylate monohydrochloride, is a calcium antagonist with highly potent vasodilating activity and has been widely used for the treatment of hypertension and cerebrovascular disease[1]. Although nicardipine is rapidly and completely absorbed from the gastro-intestinal tract after oral administration to humans and laboratory animals, its plasma concentrations are relatively low due to extensive first-pass metabolism in the liver [1,2].

Several analytical methods for nicardipine were reported including spectrophotometry[3-6], voltammetry[7-9], high performance liquid chromatography[10-14], liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry[15-18], and capillary electrophoresis[19]. A study on forced degradation, degradation kinetics and photo stability of nicardipine were also reported in literature[20-22]. Most of these methods were used for quantitative determination of nicardipine hydrochloride in biological fluids.Hence the objective of proposed study was to develop simple, accurate, precise and rapid this UV spectrophotometric method for the estimation of nicardipine hydrochloride in acetonitrile with water system. Hence, it can be employed for routine analysis in Quality Control Laboratories





EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Instrument

UV-Visible SpectrophotometerT60 (model), Analytical technologies Limited, connected to the digital system loaded with UV-Win software ver.5.1.1 have an wavelength accuracy of ± 5.0 nm with quartz cells of 1cm path length.

Reagents and materials

Working standard of pharmaceutical grade Nicardipine Hydrochloride was procured locally and other chemicals used were of AR grade and purchased from SD fine chemicals, Mumbai.

Preparation of standard stock solution

10 mg of pure Nicardipine Hydrochloride was dissolved separately in acetonitrile solvent and final volume was made up with water to produce a concentration of $100 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$ which is the standard stock solution.

Selection of wavelength

In order to ascertain the wavelength of maximum absorption (λ max) of the drug, different solutions of the drugs (5 µg/ml) in 50% acetonitrile were scanned using spectrophotometer within the wavelength region of 200 – 400 nm against 50% acetonitrile as blank. The resulting spectra shown in Figure-2 and the absorption curve showed characteristic absorption maxima at 235nm for Nicardipine Hydrochloride . overlay absorption spectrum showed in Figure-3







Figure.3:: Overlay Absorption Spectrum of Nicardipine Hydrochloride

Calibration standards

From the standard stock solution of nicardipine hydrochloride , different concentrations were prepared respectively in the range of $5-25\mu g/ml$ and measured absorbance at 235nm. The calibration curves were plotted (**Figure-4**) and data presented in Table 1.



Figure 4: Calibration curve of Nicardipine Hydrochloride

VALIDATION

Validation can be defined as (ICH) Establishing documented evidence, which provides a high degree of assurance that a specific activity will consistently produce a desired result or product meeting its predetermined specifications and quality characteristics[10]. The method was validated for several parameters like linearity, accuracy, precision, Ruggedness, Robustness, Limit of detection(LOD), Limit of quantification(LOQ) according to ICH guidelines.

TABLE 1:Linearit	y table of Nicardipin	e Hydrochloride

Concentration	Absorbence
(µg /ml)	
5	0.263
10	0.49
15	0.771
20	1.004
25	1.252

Linearity

The linearity of the analytical method was its ablity to elicit test results which are directly proportional to analyte concentration in samples within a given range. To establish the linearity of the proposed method, various aliquots of

the standard solution of the drug were prepared from stock solution and analysed. The drug showed linearity in the range of $5-25\mu g/ml$ with correlation coefficient 0.999. Linearity data are shown in **Table1**.

Precision

Precision studies were carried out to ascertain the reproduciblity of the proposed method. Repeatablity was determined by preparing six replicates of same concentration of the sample and the absorbance was measured. Intraday precision study was carried out by preparing drug solution of same concentration and analyzing it at three different times in a day. The same procedure was followed for three different days to determine interday precision.

The results was reported as %RSD. The precision result showed a good reproducibility (**Table 2**) with percent relative standard deviation less than 2. The results of intraday and interday precision studies are shown in (**Table 3** and **Table 4**).

Concentration (µg /ml)	Absorbence	Statistical Analysis
15	0.771	
15	0.779	Mean=0.7731
15	0.768	SD=0.00376
15	0.774	%RSD=0.486
15	0.775	
15	0.772	

TABLE 2: Precision results showing repeatability

Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbence.1 (Morning)	Absorbence.2 (Afternoon)	Absorbence.3 (Evening)	Avg%RSD
15	0.778	0.781	0.774	
15	0.776	0.776	0.769	
15	0.772	0.77	0.771	
15	0.779	0.788	0.774	
15	0.775	0.777	0.775	
15	0.778	0.779	0.781	
%RSD	0.332	0.765	0.529	0.542

TABLE 4: Interday precision

Concentration (ug/ml)	%RSD		Average 0/ DSD	
Concentration (µg/mi)	Day1	Day2	Day3	Average %K5D
15	0.775	0.774	0.778	0.7756

Accuracy

Accuracy of the proposed method was determined using recovery studies. The recovery studies were carried out by adding different amounts (80%,100%,120%) of the pure drug to the pre-analysed formulation. The solutions were prepared in triplicates and the % Recovery was calculated. The results are shown in (**Table 5**).

The second of th	TABLE 5: A	Accuracy	readings	of Nicardi	pine H	ydrochloride
--	------------	----------	----------	------------	--------	--------------

Labellad alaim (mg) Laval of Addition (9()		Amount of nume drug addad(mg)	0/ D	Statistical Analysis		
Labelled claim (ling)	Level of Addition(%)	Amount of pure drug added(mg)	% Recovery	MEAN	SD	%RSD
30	80	24	100.05			
30	80	24	98.89	99.085	0.275772	0.278318
30	80	24	99.28			
30	100	30	101.5			
30	100	30	100.8	100.6	1.014869	1.008836
30	100	30	99.5			
30	120	36	99.54			
30	120	36	100.94	100.1267	0.727003	0.726083
30	120	36	99.9			

Amala Mateti et al

Ruggedness

Ruggedness was determined by carrying out analysis by two different analyst and the respective absorbance was noted and the results was indicated as % RSD (Table6)

Analyst.1		
Concentration(µg/ml)	Absorbance	Statistical analysis
15	0.785	
15	0.776	MEAN=0.778
15	0.782	SD=0.0041
15	0.779	%RSD=0.530
15	0.775	
15	0.775	
Analyst.2		
15	0.778	
15	0.776	MEAN=0.778
15	0.773	SD=0.0039
15	0.779	%RSD=0.510
15	0.785	
15	0.778	

TABLE 6: Results showing Ruggedness

Robustness

Analysis was carried out at two different temperatures, room temperature and at 180c to determine the robustness of the method and the respective absorbance was measured. The results was indicated as %RSD (Table7)

TABLE7:	Results	s showiı	ng ro	bustness

Room temperature		
Concentration(µg/ml)	Absorbance	Statistical analysis
15	0.771	
15	0.776	MEAN=0.773
15	0.768	SD=0.0049
15	0.769	%RSD=0.636
15	0.775	
15	0.781	
Temperature 18 degree cenrigrade		
15	0.788	
15	0.778	MEAN=0.780
15	0.785	SD=0.0053
15	0.773	%RSD=0.691
15	0.781	
15	0.778	

TABLE 6: Summary of the method developed

PARAMETER	RESULT
Absorption maximum	235nm
Beers law range	5-25 μg/ml
Correlation coefficient	0.999
Regression equation	0.249X+0.008
Slope	0.249
Intercept	0.008
Accuracy	98.8-101.5%
Precision(%RSD)	Intraday(0.542), interday(0.775)
LOD	0.1032 μg/ml
LOQ	0.3130 µg/ml

LOQ and LOD

Limit of detection (LOD) is the lowest amount of analyte in the sample that can be detected. Limit of quantification (LOQ) is the lowest amount of analyte in the sample that can be quantitatively determined by suitable precision and accuracy. LOQ nd LOD was determined using the following equation LOQ-10s/m, LOD-3.3s/m where s is the standard deviation of the response and m is the slope of the related calibration curve.

The values of LOQ and LOD were found to be be 0.1032and 0.3130 µg/ml respectively.

The results of various parameters of the developed method are shown in TABLE 6.

Quantification in dosage form

To analyse the concentration of drug in the pharmaceutical formulation, Twenty tablets were accurately weighed and powdered. Tablet powder equivalent to 100mg was accurately weighed and transferred to a 100ml volumetric flask, dissolved in acetonitrile, sonicated, and finally made up the volume with 50% acetonitrile. The solution was centrifuged for the excipients to settle down and the resulting solution was filtered using whatsmann filter paper no1. The solution was suitably diluted so as to obtain a concentration in the linearity range and the absorbance was measured at 235nm against 50% acetonitrile as blank. The result of analysis are shown in (**Table 7**).

TABLE 7:	Quantification	in	dosage	form
----------	----------------	----	--------	------

Formulation	Label claim(mg)	Estimed amount of drug(mg)	%Purity
Nicardipine Hydrochloride(cardene)	45mg	44.86	99.68

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed method provides a simple, accurate, economical and convinient method for the analysis of Asenapine maleate using UV spectrophotometry. The wavelength corresponding to maximum absorbance in methanol was found at 235nm. Beers law was obeyed in the concentration range of $5-25\mu g/ml$ with correlation coefficient 0.999. Acurracy of the proposed method was determined by the recovery studies, and good %Recovery (98.8- 101.5%) of the drug obtained indicate that the method is accurate. The method was found to be precise as %RSD values for interday and intraday was found to be less than 2. The method was also found to be rugged and robust as the % RSD values were found to be less than 2. The limit of detection and limit of quantification of the proposed method was found to be 0.1032and 0.3130 $\mu g/ml$ indicating that the method developed is sensitive. The results of assay obtained was found to be in good agreement with the labeled claim, indicating the absence of interference of the excipients.

CONCLUSION

The developed method can be concluded to be simple, accurate, reliable and economical. The proposed method is specific without and interference of excepients and hence can be used for the routine analysis of Nicardipine Hydrochloride in bulk and in pharmaceutical formulation.

Acknowledgement

One of the authors (**Amala Mateti**) is thankful to Dr.N.Raghunandan, Principal, Balaji Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Narsampet, Warangal for his constant encouragement throughout the research and very much thank full to Manish Kumar Thimmaraju, HOD of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Balaji Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Warangal, for his guidance, kind help and constant encouragement at every step during the progress of my work The authors are also grateful to the chairman Dr.A.Rajendra Prasad Reddy, Balaji Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Narsampet, Warangal for providing research facilities.

REFERENCES

[1] EM Sorkin; SP Clissol, Drugs 1987, 33, 296.

- [2] S Higuchi; Y Shiobara, Xenobiotica. 1980 Jun10(6),447-454.
- [3] I Escrig-Tena; LA Rodriguez; J Esteve-Romero; MC Gracia Alvarez, Talanta 1998, 47, 43-52.

[4] G Rango ;C Vetuschi ;A Risoli;G Ioele, Talanta 2003, 59,375-382.

[5] B Marciniec; M Orgodowczyk, Acta Pol Pharama 2006, 63, 477-484.

- [6] M Sheikha; A Ghannam ; M Abeer; A Olyan, Central European journal of chemistry 2008, 6, 222-228.
- [7] D Obendorf and G Stubauer, J Pharm Biomed Anal 1995, 13, 1339-1341.
- [8] S A Ozkan; B Uslu and H Y Aboul- Enein. Critical Review Anal Chem 2003, 33, 155-181.

[9] Z Atkosar; G Altiokka and M Tuncel, *Pharmazie* 1997, 52,959-960.

[10] T Martin; T K Mary; H Chi; Malcolm R S. J Pharm Biomed Anal1991; 9:889-893.

[11] T Uno; T Ohkubot and K Sugawara. J Chromatogr B; Biomed Sci Appl., 1997, 698, 181-186.

[12] J ALopez ; V Martinez; R M Alonso and R M Jimenez, J Chromatogr A, 2000, 870, 105-114.

[13] Fernandez C M and Veiga F J B, *Biomed Chromatogr*, **2003**, 17, 33-38.

[14] Ke Li, Xin Zhang, Yi-sheng Yuan and Fei-lang Zhao, Biomed Chromatogr, 1998, 12, 326-329.

[16] R Bakhtiar; F L Tse; Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 2000,14,1128-1135.

[17] Sh-M chen ; M C Hsieh M; Chao Su-Hui, E EChang E; Wang Po-Yu, An-Bang Wu. *Biomed Chromatogr* **2008**, 22,1008-1012.

[18] 18. Owusu-Ware, S.K. and Antonijevic, Milan D, The AAPS Journal, 2009, ISSN 1550-7416.

[19] 19.R Pomponio; R Gotti ; J Fiori; V Cavrini; P Mura; M Crri; F Maestrelli, Biomed Anal. 2004,35,267-275.

[20] 20. M C Bonferoni; G Mellerio; P Giunchedi; C Caramella, Conte U. Int. J. Pharmaceutics 1992, 80, 109-117.

[21] 21. T Reiko; O Makoto; M Yoshihisa, International Journal of Pharmaceutics 2004, 286, 1-8.

[22] 22. K E Ibrahim; R M Al-ashban; L B Babiker, E-Journal of Chemistry 2010,7(1),85-92.

[23] 23. ICH, Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology, Q2(R1), in: International Conference on Harmonization, IFPMA, Geneva, **2005**.

^[15]Q Meiling;W Peng; X Jin. J Chromatogr B 2006,830,81-85.