Available online www.jocpr.com

Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2012, 4(6):3116-3120



Research Article

ISSN: 0975-7384 CODEN(USA): JCPRC5

Measurement of linear and mass attenuation coefficient of alcohol soluable compound for γ-rays at energy 0.36 MeV

¹S. M. Dongarge and ²S. R. Mitkar

¹M.B. College, Latur (MH) India. ²Shidheshwar Mahavidyalaya, Majalgaon, Beed (MH) India

ABSTRACT

Linear and mass attenuation of 0.36 MeV gamma radiation from alcohol ethanol by dilute solution of para-nitro aniline $(C_6H_6O_2N_2)$ studied for different concentrations. Mixture rule for theoretical calculation of attenuation coefficient is developed for the solution, our study explores the validity of the expected exponential absorption law for γ -rays radiations in solution and also provides an alternative method for direct determination of linear and mass attenuation coefficients of soluble compound in alcohol.

Keywords: Mass and linear attenuation coefficients, Gamma-rays, HP (Ge) photon detector, para-nitro aniline and ethanol.

INTRODUCTION

Linear and mass attenuation coefficient for gamma rays for different materials and solutions plays an important role in RSID units. There are different measurement techniques to measure them. As technology is developed day by day. The gamma rays are used in many fields, like medicine, food preservation and with their measurement techniques are developed but we find these measurement can be made with still simpler method. Recently Teli et al has developed the mixture rule and we have modified the rule with simpler approach and is considered for our research work.

This method is developed from single element mass attenuation coefficient of gamma rays to mixtures (solute and solvent). Dongarge S.M.(2010) extended for the mixture of liquid and solid materials also. There are various reports on the measurement of these quantities (1-6). Hubbell (3) has developed the rule for calculation of gamma absorption coefficient for mixtures and gave tables of theoretical values for various elements and their mixtures. Teli et al (1994) have measured the attenuation coefficient of 123 Kev gamma radiation by dilute solution of sodium chloride. Dongarge et al (2010) reported the linear attenuation coefficient for measurement of linear attenuation coefficients of gamma rays for ammonium sulfate salt by aqueous solution method. 0.511 MeV gamma energy.

So for the study of both types of absorption coefficient for gamma rays has been done for materials in solid and crystal forms by using various techniques as reported above and the observations are compared with the theoretical values as calculated from Hubbell's mixture rule and his table

We give here measurements of the attenuation of 0.36 MeV gamma radiation in ethanol soluble compound Paranitro aniline of different concentrations.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Experimental arrangement:-

The experimental arrangement is as shown in fig.(1) cylindrical prefix container of internal diameter 2.46 cm was placed below the source at a distance 1.2 cm and above the detector at 2.2 cm by using efficient geometrical arrangement. The NaI (T1) crystal is used as the detector connected to multichannel analyzer. The stand is made up of prefix sheet with suitable size the source and absorber are placed along the axis of the stand the whole system is enclosed in a lead castal.

Method of observations:-

The actual volume V of the solution is calculated by measuring it's height in the container and by multiplying it by the cross-sectional inner area of the container (πr^2). This procedure is repeated for all the concentrations we prepared for gamma energy 0.36 MeV.

Theoretical development for the experiment

The graph of In (A_0/A) versus height of liquid column (h) is measured. The observed points are seen to be closely distributed around line having positive slopes. These lines are obtained by fitting the experimental data by the least square method. Their slope gives the linear coefficient and thus the linearity of the curves with positive slopes suggest the relation.

$$\frac{A_0}{A} = e^{-\mu h} \quad ---- \quad (1)$$

This indicates the validity of the standard exponential absorption law of gamma rays when they pass through liquid substances.

$$A = A_0 e^{-\mu h}$$
 ----- (2)

We know Hubbell's mixture rule (1982). The mass attenuation coefficient of gamma rays in chemical or any other mixtures of compound is assumed to depend upon the sum of the cross section presented by all the atoms in the mixture because the bonds are only of the order of few electron volts, there have no significant effects on the Compton, photo or pair interaction.

Mass attenuation coefficient for solution is given by.

$$\frac{\mu}{\rho} = \sum_{i} W_{i} \left(\frac{\mu}{\rho}\right)_{i} \qquad (3)$$

Where ρ is the density and which is made up on solution of elements. W_i is the fraction by weight.

The effect of shrinkage on the linear attenuation coefficient of a solution is given by Bragg mixture rule which we assume without approximation for alcohol namely,

$$\left(\frac{\mu}{\rho}\right)_{\text{sol}} = \left(\frac{\mu}{\rho}\right)_{\text{a}} W_{\text{a}} + \left(\frac{\mu}{\rho}\right)_{\text{c}} W_{\text{c}} \quad ----- (4)$$

When the Para nitro aniline is dissolved in alcohol then the homogeneous solution forms. If the solution is homogeneous then one can neglect the density from both sides. If we use this formula for the proposed work in the following way then it will be

$$\mu_{\text{solution}} = \mu_{\text{alco}} W_{\text{alco}} + \mu_{\text{aniline}} W_{\text{aniline}} \qquad ----- (5)$$

table 1 gives the values using equation (5) for various concentration and theoretical values of (μ_{alco}) and (μ_{comp}) are calculated by multiplying their densities to (μ/ρ) which is calculated by Hubble mixture rule.

$$\frac{\mu}{\rho} = \sum_{i} W_{i} \left(\frac{\mu}{\rho} \right)_{i} - \cdots (6)$$

Is used to calculat theoretical $\mu_{\text{(the)}}$ given in the table-1

Solution technique for calculation of linear attenuation coefficient of pure p-nitro aniline.

Using the data the experimental linear attenuation coefficient of the p-nitro aniline solution ($\mu_{exp.}$) is obtained from,

$$\left(\mu_{\rm exp}\right) = \frac{1}{h} \ln \left(\frac{A_0}{A}\right) \tag{7}$$

Where h is the height of the solution.

The mixture rule for aqueous solution is obtained from equation (5) is used to obtain theoretical (μ_{th}) and experimental (μ_{exp}) is obtained by equation (7) μ_{exp} values are given in the table 1 in 5th column and (μ_{th}) values are given in six column and The percent error is obtained by the following eqⁿ (7) and is given in 7th column of table 1.

% error =
$$\frac{\mu_{\text{the}} - \mu_{\text{exp}}}{\mu_{\text{the}}} \times 100$$
 (7)


 $\frac{\mu}{\rho}$ for alcohol and par nitro aniline is obtained from Hubbell Table by multiplying its density we get theoretical

 $\mu_{\text{(the)}}$ for alcohol and par nitro aniline then by using eq.(5) μ_{the} is obtained the results calculated are tabulated in table 1 for nitro aniline solution. Eqⁿ (5) is the eqⁿ of straight line between μ_{exp} and concentration in which alcohol volume is fixed. The intercept is the attenuation coefficient for the alcohol and its slop the attenuation coefficient for the Para nitro aniline. From graph the slope is 0.0275 and intercept is 12.8891 which is the linear attenuation coefficient for the Para nitro aniline and alcohol if we multiply them by fraction by weight. We observe from these tables that, the experiments $\mu_{\text{(exp}}$ are within the acceptable limit showing very good agreement. The graph of μ_{exp} (cm⁻¹) versus concentration Va/V at 0.36 MeV gamma ray energy for p-nitro-aniline are shown in fig. (2). The experimental points in the figs are nearly laying on theoretical line.

TABLE NO. 1 LINEAR ATTENUATION COEFFICIENT FOR ANILINE SOLUTION IN ETHENOL table- 1 FOR ENERGY 0.36 MeV

A_0	=	1	0	.2	8

v_a/v	h	A	ln(A ₀ /A)	μ□exp	μ the	%error
0.009881	4.24	9.11	0.120827549	0.028497063	0.028307032	-0.67132377
0.019569	4.28	9.05	0.127435502	0.02977465	0.029058689	-2.463845318
0.02907	4.32	9.02	0.130755926	0.030267575	0.029795838	-1.583233945
0.038388	4.36	9	0.132975683	0.03049901	0.030518788	0.064806757
0.047529	4.38	8.96	0.137430033	0.03137672	0.031228006	-0.476220776
0.056497	4.44	8.92	0.141904313	0.031960431	0.031923801	-0.114742579
0.065299	4.48	8.88	0.146398703	0.032678282	0.032606717	-0.219480459
0.073937	4.52	8.84	0.150913383	0.033387917	0.033276908	-0.333590204
0.082418	4.56	8.8	0.155448539	0.034089592	0.033934919	-0.455793248
0.090744	4.6	8.76	0.160004355	0.034783555	0.034580903	-0.586023109



CONCLUSION

Our experimental measurement of linear attenuation coefficient of alcohol soluble Para nitro aniline for different concentrations and estimated from them the attenuation coefficient for pure compound by using the mixture rule developed by Teli (1998) established the validity and utility of the solution technique. This method is simple and avoids the need of preparation of pure crystalline compound for experiment there by saving time and expenditure. The use of multichannel analyzer has also improved the results as we could replace the counts at the photo peak by the area under it. Further the variation of concentration of solution is made easy by adding alcohol to solution without changing the compound amount in it. This saves the compound quantity and thus further economizes the experiment.

Acknowledgment

The author is very much thankful to Dr. S.M.Dongarge for his fruitful discussion.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dongarge S M et al, Intl J of Phys & Appl ISSN Volume 2, Number 1 (2010), pp. 1--8
- [2] Gerward L: Rad Phys And Chem(1996) 48,679
- [3] Hubbell J H Int J Appl Radiat Isot(1982) 33, 1269
- [4] J H Hubbell; S M Seltzer Tables of X-Ray Mass Attenuation coefficients and Mass EnergyAbsorption Coefficients 1keV to 20MeV for Elements z=1 to 92 and 48 Additional Substances of Dosimetric Interest 1995
- [5] Teli M T, Chauehari L M and Malode S S: Appli Radiat Isot (1994 a) 45,987
- [6] Teli M T: Appli Radiat Isot (1997)
- [7] S M Midgley Phys. Med. Biol. 49, 2004, 307-25.
- [8] S M Midgley Phys. Med. Biol. 50, 2005, 4139–57.
- [9] S R Manohara and S M Hanagodimath Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 258, 2007, 321–28.