



$L\omega H$ -closedness in $L\omega$ -spaces

Jin-Lan Huang and Shui-Li Chen*

Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Jimei University, Xiamen, Fujian, P.R. China

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the concepts of $L\omega H$ -sets and $L\omega H$ -closed spaces are proposed in $L\omega$ -spaces by means of $(\alpha\omega)$ -remote neighborhood family. The characterizations of $L\omega H$ -sets and $L\omega H$ -closed spaces are systematically discussed. Some important properties of $L\omega H$ -closed spaces, such as the $L\omega H$ -closed spaces is ω -regular closed hereditary, arbitrarily multiplicative and preserving invariance under almost (ω_1, ω_2) -continuous mappings are proved.

Key words: $(\alpha\omega)$ -remote neighborhood family, α -net, $\omega\theta$ -cluster points, ω -Hausdorff space, $L\omega H$ -closed spaces, $L\omega H$ -set, almost (ω_1, ω_2) -continuous mapping.

INTRODUCTION

As we all know, H -closedness is one of the most important notions in general topology. In 1968, N. V. Velicko generalized the H -closedness and introduced the concept of H -sets in topological spaces [9]. In 1992, Chen introduced the concept of L -fuzzy H -sets in L -fuzzy topological spaces and established the theory of L -fuzzy H -closedness[1]. In this paper, the concepts of $L\omega H$ -sets and $L\omega H$ -closed spaces will be proposed in $L\omega$ -spaces[2,3]. The theory of $L\omega H$ -closedness, which is generalization of the theory of L -fuzzy H -closedness, will be set up in $L\omega$ -spaces.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, L denotes a fuzzy lattice, Let X and Y be nonempty crisp sets, and M denotes the set consisting of all nonzero \vee -irreducible elements of L . 0 and 1 denote the least and greatest elements of L respectively. Let L^X be the set of all L -fuzzy sets (briefly, L -sets) on X and $M^*(L^X)$ the set of all nonzero \vee -irreducible elements (i.e., so-called molecules[10] or points for short) of L^X . The least and the greatest elements of L^X will be denoted by 0_X and 1_X respectively. For any $\alpha \in M$, $\beta(\alpha)$ is called the greatest minimal set of α [7], and $\beta^*(\alpha) = \beta(\alpha) \cap M$ is said to be the standard minimal set of α [10].

Definition 2.1.[2] Let X be a nonempty crisp set.

- (i) An operator $\omega: L^X \rightarrow L^X$ is said to be an ω -operator if (1) for all $A, B \in L^X$ and $A \leq B$, $\omega(A) \leq \omega(B)$; (2) for all $A \in L^X$, $A \leq \omega(A)$.
- (ii) An L -set $A \in L^X$ is called an ω -set if $\omega(A) = A$.
- (iii) Put $\Omega = \{A \in L^X \mid A = \omega(A)\}$, and call the pair (L^X, Ω) an $L\omega$ -space.

Definition 2.2.[2] Let (L^X, Ω) be an $L\omega$ -space, $A \in L^X$ and $x_\alpha \in M^*(L^X)$. If there exists a $Q \in \Omega$ such that $x_\alpha \leq Q$ and $P \leq Q$, then call P an ω -remote neighborhood (briefly, ωR -neighborhood) of x_α . The collection of all ωR -neighborhood of x_α is denoted by $\omega\eta(x_\alpha)$. If $A \leq P$ for each $P \in \omega\eta(x_\alpha)$, then x_α is said to be an ω -adherence point of A , and the union of all ω -adherence points of A is called the ω -closure of A and denoted by $\omega\text{cl}(A)$. If $A = \omega\text{cl}(A)$, then call A an ω -closed set, and call A' is an ω -open set. If P is an ω -closed set and $x_\alpha \leq P$, then P is said to be an

ω -closed remote neighborhood (briefly, ωCR -neighborhood) of x_α , and the collection of all ωCR -neighborhoods of x_α is denoted by $\omega\eta^-(x_\alpha)$. Note $\omega C(L^X)$ and $\omega O(L^X)$ be the family of all ω -closed sets and all ω -open sets in L^X respectively.

Definition 2.3.[8] Let (L^X, Ω) be an $L\omega$ -space, N be a molecular net in L^X and $x_\alpha \in M^*(L^X)$. If N is eventually not in $\omega\text{int}(P)$ for each $P \in \omega\eta^-(x_\alpha)$, then x_α is said to be an $\omega\theta$ -limit point of N or N $\omega\theta$ -converges to x_α . If N is frequently not in $\omega\text{int}(P)$ for each $P \in \omega\eta^-(x_\alpha)$, then x_α is said to be an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of N or N $\omega\theta$ -accumulates to x_α . The union of all $\omega\theta$ -limit points ($\omega\theta$ -cluster points) of N is written by $\omega\theta\text{-lim}N$ ($\omega\theta\text{-ad}N$).

Definition 2.4.[8] Let N be a α -net in $A(\alpha \in M)$, $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, if N is frequently not in $\omega\text{int}(P)$ for each $P \in \omega\eta^-(x_\lambda)$, then x_λ is said to be an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of N with hight λ .

Definition 2.5.[4] Suppose that (L^X, Ω) be an $L\omega$ -space, $A \in L^X$, $\alpha \in M$ and $\Phi \subseteq \omega C(L^X)$.

(1) If there exists a $P \in \Phi$ such that $P \in \omega\eta^-(x_\alpha)$ for each molecule x_α in A , then Φ is called an $\alpha\omega$ -remote neighborhood family (briefly, $\alpha\omega$ -RF) of A , in symbol $\wedge \Phi < A(\alpha\omega)$. If there exists a nonzero \vee -irreducible element $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ with $\wedge \Phi < A(\lambda\omega)$, then Φ is said to be an $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF, in symbol $\wedge \Phi \ll A(\alpha\omega)$.

(2) If there exists a $P \in \Phi$ such that $x_\alpha \in \omega\text{int}(P)$ for each molecule x_α in A , then Φ is called an almost $\alpha\omega$ -remote neighborhood family (briefly, almost $\alpha\omega$ -RF) of A , in symbol $(\wedge \Phi)^* < A(\alpha\omega)$. If there exists a nonzero \vee -irreducible element $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ with $(\wedge \Phi)^* < A(\lambda\omega)$, then Φ is said to be an almost $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF, in symbol $(\wedge \Phi)^* \ll A(\alpha\omega)$.

Definition 2.6. [4, 5] Assume (L^X, Ω) be an $L\omega$ -space, $A \in L^X$, $\gamma \in M$ and $\Gamma \subseteq \omega O(L^X)$.

(1) If there is a $B \in \Gamma$ such that $B(x) \gamma$ for each $x \in \tau_\gamma(A) = \{x \in X \mid A(x) \gamma\}$, then Γ is known as a $\gamma\omega$ -cover. If there exists a prime element $t \in \alpha^*(\gamma)$ such that Γ is a $t\omega$ -cover of A , then Γ is said to be a $(\gamma\omega)^+$ -cover of A , where $\alpha^*(\gamma)$ is the standard maximal set of γ .

(2) If there is a $B \in \Gamma$ such that $\omega\text{cl}(B)(x) \gamma$ for each $x \in \tau_\gamma(A) = \{x \in X \mid A(x) \gamma\}$, then Γ is known as an almost $\gamma\omega$ -cover. If there exists a prime element $t \in \alpha^*(\gamma)$ such that Γ is an almost $t\omega$ -cover of A , then Γ is said to be an almost $(\gamma\omega)^+$ -cover of A , where $\alpha^*(\gamma)$ is the standard maximal set of γ .

Definition 2.7. [3, 5] Assume (L^X, Ω_i) be an $L\omega_i$ -space ($i=1, 2$) and $f: (L^X, \Omega_1) \rightarrow (L^Y, \Omega_2)$ an L -valued Zadeh's type function.

(1) If $f^{\leftarrow}(B) \in \omega_1 O(L^X)$ for each $B \in \omega_2 O(L^Y)$, then call f (ω_1, ω_2) -continuous.

(2) If $f^{\leftarrow}(\omega_2 \text{cl}(\omega_2 \text{int}(B))) \in \omega_1 C(L^X)$ for each $B \in L^Y$, then call f almost (ω_1, ω_2) -continuous.

3. $L\omega H$ -SET AND ITS CHARACTERISTICS

In this section, we will introduce the concepts of $L\omega H$ -sets by making use of $\alpha\omega$ -remote neighborhood family and $\gamma\omega$ -cover in an $L\omega$ -space first, give the equivalent characterizations of $L\omega H$ -set by means of α -net, α -filter and α -ideal next, and then discuss the characteristics of $L\omega H$ -set.

Definition 3.1. Assume (L^X, Ω) be an ω -Hausdorff space[6] and $A \in L^X$. If every $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF Φ of A has a finite subfamily Ψ such that Ψ is an almost $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF, where $\alpha \in M$, then call A an $L\omega H$ -set.

Theorem 3.1. Let (L^X, Ω) be an ω -Hausdorff space and $A \in L^X$. Then A is an $L\omega H$ -set if and only if for each $\gamma \in M$, every $(\gamma\omega)^+$ -cover Γ of A has a finite subfamily μ such that μ is an almost $(\gamma\omega)^+$ -cover of A .

Proof. *Necessity.* Suppose that A is an $L\omega H$ -set and Γ is any $(\gamma\omega)^+$ -cover of A ($\gamma \in M$). Put $\Phi = \Gamma' = \{B \mid B \in \Gamma\}$ and $\alpha = \gamma$. Then $\alpha \in M$ and Φ is an $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A . In reality, $\Phi \subseteq \omega C(L^X)$ because of $\Gamma \subseteq \omega O(L^X)$. Since Γ is any $(\gamma\omega)^+$ -cover of A , i.e. there exists $t \in \alpha^*(\gamma)$ such that for each $x \in \tau_\gamma(A)$ we can take an ω -closed set $P = B \in \Phi$ with $B(x) t$, equivalently, $t' B'(x) = P(x)$. Let $\lambda = t'$, since $t \in \alpha^*(\gamma)$, we have $\lambda \in M$, then $P \in \omega\eta^-(x_\lambda)$. This implies that Φ is a $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A . Thus Φ has a finite subfamily Ψ which is an almost $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A , that is, there exists a $s \in \beta^*(\gamma)$ such that for each $x \in \tau_\gamma(A)$ we can take a $P \in \Psi$ with $s \in \omega\text{int}(P(x))$. In other words, there are $s \in \alpha^*(\gamma)$ and $B = P \in \Psi = \mu$ with $\alpha \text{cl}(B(x)) = \alpha \text{cl}(P(x)) = (\omega\text{int}(P(x)))' s$ for each $x \in \tau_\gamma(A)$. This means that μ is a finite subfamily of Γ and an almost $(\gamma\omega)^+$ -cover of A .

Sufficiency. Assume that every $(\gamma\omega)^+$ -cover of A has a finite subfamily which is an almost $(\gamma\omega)^+$ -cover of A ($\gamma \in M$). If Φ is a $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A ($\alpha \in M$), then $\Gamma = \Phi' = \{P' \mid P \in \Phi\}$ is a $(\gamma\omega)^+$ -cover of A where $\gamma = \alpha'$, $\gamma \in M$. Hence Γ has a

finite subfamily μ which is an almost $(\gamma\omega)^+$ -cover of A by the hypothesis, that is, there exists a $t \in \alpha^*(\gamma)$ such that for each $x \in \tau_\gamma(A)$ we can take $P=B \in \mu' = \Psi$ with $\omega \text{cl}(B(x)) \subseteq t$. In other words, there are $t \in \beta^*(\gamma)$ and $P \in \Psi$ with $\omega \text{int}(P) \in \omega \eta^-(x_t)$ for each $x \in \tau_\gamma(A)$. This means that Ψ is a finite subfamily of Φ and an almost $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A . Therefore A is an $L\omega H$ -set.

Definition 3.2. Assume (L^X, Ω) be an $L\omega$ -space, $\Phi \subseteq \omega C(L^X)$ and $\alpha \in M$. Φ is said to have $(\alpha\omega)^*$ -finite intersection property for A , if $\bigvee_{x \in X} (A \wedge (\bigwedge \Psi^\circ))(x) \neq \alpha$ for each $\Psi \in 2^{(\Phi)}$, where $\Psi^\circ = \{\omega \text{int}(P) \mid P \in \Psi\}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let (L^X, Ω) be an ω -Hausdorff space [6] and $A \in L^X$. Then A is an $L\omega H$ -set if and only if for each $\alpha \in M$ and each $\Phi \subseteq \omega C(L^X)$ having $(\alpha\omega)^*$ -finite intersection property for A , there exists a molecule $x_\alpha \in A$ with $x_\alpha \wedge \Phi$.

Proof. Necessity. Grant that A is an $L\omega H$ -set, $\Phi \subseteq \omega C(L^X)$ and Φ has $(\alpha\omega)^*$ -finite intersection property for A ($\alpha \in M$). If $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, $x_\lambda \wedge \Phi$ for each $x_\lambda \in A$, then Φ is an $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A by the hypothesis of Φ . Hence Φ has a finite subfamily Ψ which is an almost $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A , i.e., there is a $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ satisfying $x_\lambda \wedge \Psi^\circ$ for each $x_\lambda \in A$, in other words, $\bigvee_{x \in X} (A \wedge (\bigwedge \Psi))(x) \neq \lambda$. It contradicts the fact that Φ has $(\alpha\omega)^*$ -finite intersection property for A . Hence the necessity is proved.

Sufficiency. Assume that the condition holds and that Φ is an $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A . If for any finite subfamily Ψ of Φ , Ψ is not an almost $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A , then for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ there exists a molecule $x_\lambda \in A$ with $x_\lambda \wedge \Psi$, i.e., $\bigvee_{x \in X} (A \wedge (\bigwedge \Psi))(x) \neq \lambda$. This shows that Φ has $(\alpha\omega)^*$ -finite intersection property for A . By the assumption we have $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, $x_\lambda \in A$ satisfying $x_\lambda \wedge \Psi$. It contradicts that Φ is an $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A . Therefore Φ has a finite subfamily Ψ which is an almost $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A , and hence A is an $L\omega H$ -set.

Theorem 3.3. Let (L^X, Ω) be an ω -Hausdorff space and $A \in L^X$. Then A is an $L\omega H$ -set if and only if for each $\alpha \in M$ and $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, every α -net N in A has an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with height λ .

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that A is an $L\omega H$ -set and that $N = \{N(n) \mid n \in D\}$ is an α -net in A . If for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, N has not any $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with height λ , then there exists a $P[x] \in \omega \eta^-(x_\lambda)$ such that N is eventually in $\omega \text{int}(P[x])$ for each $x_\lambda \in A$, that is, there is a $n(x) \in D$ with $N(n) \subseteq \omega \text{int}(P[x])$ whenever $n \geq n(x)$. Write $\Phi = \{P[x] \mid x_\lambda \in A\}$. Obviously, Φ is an $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A , so Φ has a finite subfamily $\Psi = \{P[x_i] \mid i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$ which is an almost $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A , i.e., there is an $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ with $y_i \in \omega \text{int}(P[x_i])$ for some $t \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, and each $y_i \in A$. Take $P = \bigwedge_{i=1}^m P[x_i]$. Then $y_i \in \omega \text{int}(P)$ for each $y_i \in A$. Since D is a directed set, there is a $n_0 \in D$, such that $n_0 \geq n(x_i)$ and $N(n) \subseteq \omega \text{int}(P[x_i])$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$) whenever $n \geq n_0$ and so $N(n) \subseteq \omega \text{int}(P)$. This shows that for each $y_i \in A$, $\bigvee (N(n)) \subseteq t$ as long as $n \geq n_0$. It contradicts the fact that N is an α -net. Therefore N has at least an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with height λ .

Sufficiency. Assume that every α -net in A has at least an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point with height λ for each $\alpha \in M$ and $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, Φ is an $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A and $2^{(\Phi)}$ is the set of all finite subfamily of Φ . If for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ and each $\Psi \in 2^{(\Phi)}$, Ψ is not an almost $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A , i.e., there exists a molecule $N(\lambda, \Psi) \in A$ satisfying $N(\lambda, \Psi) \wedge \Psi$ for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$. In $\beta^*(\alpha) \times 2^{(\Phi)}$, we define the relation as follows: $(\lambda_1, \Psi_1) \leq (\lambda_2, \Psi_2)$ if and only if $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$, $\Psi_1 \subseteq \Psi_2$, then $\beta^*(\alpha) \times 2^{(\Phi)}$ is a directed set with the relation " \leq ". Let $N = \{N(\lambda, \Psi) \mid (\lambda, \Psi) \in \beta^*(\alpha) \times 2^{(\Phi)}\}$. One can easily see that N is an α -net in A . We assert that N has not any $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with height α . In fact, for some $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ and each $x_\lambda \in A$, we can choose an ω -closed set $Q \in \Phi$ with $Q \subseteq \omega \eta^-(x_\lambda)$, specially, $\omega \text{int}(Q) \in \omega \eta^-(x_\lambda)$ by the definition of Φ . Taking $\lambda_1 \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ and $\Psi \in 2^{(\Phi)}$, we have $Q \in \Psi$ according to $(\lambda, \Psi) \leq (\lambda_1, \{Q\})$, and hence $N(\lambda, \Psi) \subseteq \omega \text{int}(Q)$. This implies that N is eventually in $\omega \text{int}(Q)$, and thus x_λ is not an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of N . It is in contradiction with the hypothesis of sufficiency. Consequently, A is an $L\omega H$ -set.

Theorem 3.4. Let (L^X, Ω) be an $L\omega$ -space, $A \in L^X$, and N be an α -net in A ($\alpha \in M$). Then $N \infty_{\omega\theta} x_\alpha$ if and only if there is an α -subnet T of N with $T \rightarrow_{\omega\theta} x_\alpha$.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that $N = \{N(n) \mid n \in D\}$ is an α -net in A , and $N \infty_{\omega\theta} x_\alpha$. Then N is eventually in $\omega \text{int}(P)$ for each $P \in \omega \eta^-(x_\alpha)$, that is, for each $n_0 \in D$ there exists an $n \in D$ with $n \geq n_0$ satisfying $N(n) \subseteq \omega \text{int}(P)$. Let $E = \{(n, P) \mid n \in D, P \in \omega \eta^-(x_\alpha), N(n) \subseteq \omega \text{int}(P)\}$. For each $(n_1, P_1), (n_2, P_2) \in E$, we define the relation as follows: $(n_1, P_1) \leq (n_2, P_2)$ if and only if $n_1 \leq n_2$, $P_1 \subseteq P_2$, then E is a directed set with the relation " \leq ". Assume that $\phi: E \rightarrow D$ with $\phi(n, P) = n$. Let $T(n, P) = N(\phi(n, P)) = N(n)$ for each $(n, P) \in E$, then T is an α -subnet of N . Now we just need to prove that $T \rightarrow_{\omega\theta} x_\alpha$. In fact, for each $P \in \omega \eta^-(x_\alpha)$, taking $(n_0, P) \in E$, when $(n, Q) \leq (n_0, P)$, we have $T(n, Q) \subseteq \omega \text{int}(P)$ according to $T(n, Q) = N(n) \subseteq \omega \text{int}(Q)$ and $Q \subseteq P$. This implies that $T \rightarrow_{\omega\theta} x_\alpha$.

Theorem 3.5. Let (L^X, Ω) be an ω -Hausdorff space and $A \in L^X$. Then A is an $L\omega H$ -set if and only if for each $\alpha \in M$, $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ and each α -net in $A(\alpha \in M)$, every α -subnet T of N has an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with high λ .

Proof. By Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4, it is proved.

Definition 3.3. Let (L^X, Ω) be an ω -Hausdorff space, $\alpha \in M$ and $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, Δ be an α -filter in L^X and $x_\lambda \in M^*(L^X)$. If $F \in \omega\text{int}(P)$ and for each $P \in \omega\eta^-(x_\lambda)$ and each $F \in \Delta$, then x_λ is called an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of Δ with high λ .

Theorem 3.6. Let (L^X, Ω) be an ω -Hausdorff space and $A \in L^X$. Then A is an $L\omega H$ -set if and only if for each $\alpha \in M$ and $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, every α -filter containing A has an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with high λ .

Proof. Necessity. Grant that A is an $L\omega H$ -set and that Δ is an α -filter containing A . Then $F \wedge A \in \Delta$ for each $F \in \Delta$ and $\bigvee_{x \in X} (F \wedge A)(x) \in \alpha$, and thus there exists a molecule $N(F, \lambda) \in F \wedge A$ with high λ for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$. Define $N(\Delta) = \{N(F, \lambda) \mid (F, \lambda) \in \Delta \times \beta^*(\alpha)\}$ and define a relation in $\Delta \times \beta^*(\alpha)$ as follows:

$$(F_1, \lambda_1) \leq (F_2, \lambda_2) \text{ if and only if } F_1 \leq F_2 \text{ and } \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2.$$

Evidently, $\Delta \times \beta^*(\alpha)$ is a directed set with the relation " \leq ", and then $N(\Delta)$ is an α -net in A . Because A is an $L\omega H$ -set, so $N(\Delta)$ has an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with high λ , say x_λ . We assert that x_λ is also an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of Δ . In reality, $N(\Delta)$ is frequently not in $\omega\text{int}(P)$ for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ and $P \in \omega\eta^-(x_\lambda)$, i.e., for each $F \in \Delta$ there exists a $F_1 \in \Delta$ with $F_1 \leq F$ and some $r \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ satisfying $N(F_1, r) \in \omega\text{int}(P)$. Hence we have $F \leq P$ by virtue of the fact that $N(F_1, r) \in F \wedge A \leq F_1 \leq F$. This means that x_λ is an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of Δ . Therefore the necessity is proved.

Sufficiency. Suppose that every α -filter containing A has an ω -cluster point in A with high λ for each $\alpha \in M$ and $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$. Grant that $N = \{N(n) \mid n \in D\}$ is an α -net in A . Let $F_m = \bigvee \{N(n) \mid n \leq m\}$, $\Delta(N) = \{F \in L^X \mid \text{there is a } F_m \text{ such that } F \leq F_m\}$ for each $m \in D$. By virtue of the fact that N is α -net, we have $\bigvee_{x \in X} F_m(x) = \bigvee_{x \in X} \{\bigvee \{N(n) \mid n \leq m\}\}(x)$ for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ and $m \in D$. Then $\bigvee_{x \in X} F_m(x) \in \alpha$ according to the arbitrariness of λ in $\beta^*(\alpha)$. By the definition of $\Delta(N)$, there exists $F_m \leq F$ for each $F \in \Delta$, so $\bigvee_{x \in X} F_m(x) \in \alpha$. This implies that $\Delta(N)$ is an α -filter containing A , and hence $\Delta(N)$ has an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with high λ for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ by the supposition, say x_λ . Then we have $F \in \omega\text{int}(P)$ for each $P \in \omega\eta^-(x_\lambda)$ and $F \in \Delta(N)$, specially, $F_m \in \omega\text{int}(P)$ for each $F_m (m \in D)$. By the definition of F_m , we have $N(n) \in \omega\text{int}(P)$ for some $n \leq m$. This implies that N is frequently not in $\omega\text{int}(P)$, in other words, x_λ is an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of N in A with high λ . In accordance with Theorem 3.3, A is an $L\omega H$ -set.

Definition 3.4. Assume (L^X, Ω) be an $L\omega$ -space, I be an α -ideal in L^X ($\alpha \in M$), $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, $x_\lambda \in M^*(L^X)$. If $B \vee \omega\text{int}(P) \neq 1_X$ for each $P \in \omega\eta^-(x_\lambda)$ and $B \in I$, then I is called an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of I with high λ .

Theorem 3.7. Let (L^X, Ω) be an ω -Hausdorff space and $A \in L^X$. Then A is $L\omega H$ -set if and only if every α -ideal which does not contain A has an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with high λ for each $\alpha \in M$ and $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$.

Proof. Necessity. Assume that A is an $L\omega H$ -set, I is an α -ideal which does not contain A and $N(I) = \{N(I)((b, B)) = b \mid (b, B) \in D(I)\}$ where $D(I) = \{(b, B) \mid b \in M^*(L^X), B \in I \text{ and } b \leq B\}$. Then $N(I)$ is an α -net in A . Hence $N(I)$ has an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with high λ by Theorem 3.3, say x_λ . Now we will prove that x_λ is also an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of I . In reality, x_λ is an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of $N(I)$ in A with high λ for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, then for each $(b_0, B_0) \in D(I)$ there exists a $(b, B) \in D(I)$ with $(b, B) \leq (b_0, B_0)$ satisfying $N(I)((b, B)) = b \in \omega\text{int}(P)$. Hence we have $B \leq \omega\text{int}(P)$ by virtue of the fact that $b \leq B$, equivalently, $B \vee \omega\text{int}(P) = 1_X$. So x_λ is also an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of I . Consequently, the necessity is proved.

Sufficiency. Grant that every α -ideal which does not contain A has an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with high λ for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in M$) and Δ is an α -filter containing A . Let $I = \{F \in L^X \mid F \in \Delta\}$. Evidently, I is an α -ideal which does not contain A . Now we will prove that Δ has an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with high λ for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$. Actually, by the hypothesis we know that I has an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point in A with high λ for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, say x_λ , i.e., $F \vee P \neq 1_X$, equivalently, $F \leq P$, for each $F \in \Delta$ and each $P \in \omega\eta^-(x_\lambda)$. Therefore x_λ is an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of Δ in line with Definition 3.3, and hence A is an $L\omega H$ -set by Theorem 3.6. This implies that the sufficiency holds.

4. SOME IMPORTANT PROPERTIES OF $L\omega H$ -SETS

In this section, we will further deliberate the properties of $L\omega H$ -sets in an $L\omega$ -space.

Definition 4.1. Assume (L^X, Ω) be an ω -Hausdorff space. If the largest $L\omega$ -set 1_X is $L\omega H$ -set, then call (L^X, Ω) an $L\omega H$ -closed space.

Theorem 4.1. Let (L^X, Ω) be an ω -Hausdorff space and $A, B \in L^X$. If A is an $L\omega H$ -set and B is an ω -regular closed set, then $A \wedge B$ is an $L\omega H$ -set.

Proof. Assume that Φ is an $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of $A \wedge B$ ($\alpha \in M$). Let $\Phi^* = \Phi \cup \{B\}$, we assert that Φ^* is an $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of A . Actually, for some $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ and for each $x_\lambda \in A$, if $x_\lambda \in B$, then we have $x_\lambda \in A \wedge B$. Since $\wedge \Phi^*(A \wedge B)(\lambda)$, there exists a $P \in \Phi^*$ with $P \in \omega\eta^-(x_\lambda)$. If $x_\lambda \in B$, then we have $B \in \omega\eta^-(x_\lambda)$ and $B \in \cdot$. Since A is an $L\omega H$ -set, there exist $t \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ and $\Psi^* \in 2^{(\Phi^*)}$, such that Ψ^* is an almost $t\omega$ -RF of A . Let $\Psi = \Psi^* \setminus \{B\}$, then $\Psi \in 2^{(\Phi)}$ and $x_t \in A$ if $x_t \in A \wedge B$. By the property of Ψ^* , there exists $P \in \Psi^*$ such that $\omega \text{int}(P) \in \omega\eta^-(x_t)$. But $x_t \in B$, so $P \neq B$, i.e. $P \in \Psi$, then we have $(\wedge \Psi)^*(A \wedge B)(t)$. This implies that Ψ is an almost $(\alpha\omega)^-$ -RF of Φ , and hence $A \wedge B$ is an $L\omega H$ -set.

This theorem shows that $L\omega H$ -set is hereditary with respect to ω -regular closed sets.

Theorem 4.2. Let (L^X, Ω_1) be an $L\omega_1 H$ -closed space, and (L^Y, Ω_2) be ω_2 -Hausdorff space. If $f: L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ is a fully, strata-preserving and inverted strata-preserving almost (ω_1, ω_2) -continuous fuzzy mapping, then (L^Y, Ω_2) is an $L\omega_2 H$ -closed space.

Proof. Assume that $N = \{N(n) \mid n \in D\}$ is an α -net in L^Y ($\alpha \in M$). By the inverted strata-preserving of f , there exists a $r \in M$ such that $f^{\leftarrow}(N) = \{f^{\leftarrow}(N(n) \mid n \in D)\}$ is an r -net in L^X . Since L^X is an $L\omega_1 H$ -closed space, $f^{\leftarrow}(N)$ has an $\omega_1\theta$ -cluster point in L^X with high t for each $t \in \beta^*(r)$, say x_t . By the strata-preserving of f , there exists a $\lambda \in M$ such that $f(x_t) = y_\lambda \in M^*(L^Y)$. Now we will prove that y_λ is an $\omega_2\theta$ -cluster point of N . In reality, $f^{\leftarrow}(\omega_2 \text{cl}(\omega_2 \text{int}(B))) \in \omega\eta^-(x_t)$ for each $B \in \omega_2\eta^-(y_\lambda)$. Then there exists a $n \in D$ such that $f^{\leftarrow}(N(n)) \cap \omega_2 \text{int}(f^{\leftarrow}(\omega_2 \text{cl}(\omega_2 \text{int}(B)))) \neq \emptyset$.

$$f^{\leftarrow}(\omega_2 \text{int}(B)) \cap \omega_2 \text{int}(f^{\leftarrow}(\omega_2 \text{int}(\omega_2 \text{cl}(\omega_2 \text{int}(B)))) \cap \omega_2 \text{int}(f^{\leftarrow}(\omega_2 \text{cl}(\omega_2 \text{int}(B)))) \neq \emptyset,$$

we have $f^{\leftarrow}(N(n)) \cap f^{\leftarrow}(\omega_2 \text{int}(B)) \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $N(n) \cap \omega_2 \text{int}(B) \neq \emptyset$. This implies that y_λ is an $\omega_2\theta$ -cluster point of N , and hence (L^Y, Ω_2) is an $L\omega_2 H$ -closed space.

This theorem means that the $L\omega H$ -closed space is topological variant under the strata-preserving and inverted strata-preserving almost (ω_1, ω_2) -continuous mappings.

Theorem 4.3. Let (L^X, Ω_1) and (L^Y, Ω_2) be an $L\omega_1$ -space and an $L\omega_2$ -space respectively, and $f: L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ be an (ω_1, ω_2) -continuous L -valued Zadeh's type function. If A is an $L\omega_1$ -compact set in (L^X, Ω_1) , then $f^{\rightarrow}(A)$ is an $L\omega_2$ -compact set in (L^Y, Ω_2) .

Proof. Since L -valued Zadeh's type function is also strata-preserving and inverted strata-preserving order-homomorphism, the theorem is hold in line with Theorem 4.2.

This theorem means that the $L\omega H$ -closedness is topological variant under almost (ω_1, ω_2) -continuous L -valued Zadeh's type functions.

Theorem 4.4. Let (L^X, Ω) be the product space of a collection of $L\omega$ -spaces $\{(L^{X_t}, \Omega_t) \mid t \in \Gamma\}$. If for each $t \in \Gamma$, (L^{X_t}, Ω_t) is an $L\omega H$ -closed space, then (L^X, Ω) is an $L\omega H$ -closed space.

Proof. Assume that N is an α -net in A . Since projection mapping $p_t: L^X \rightarrow L^{X_t}$ ($t \in \Gamma$) is a ω -continuous order-homomorphism, $p_t(N)$ is an α -net in (L^{X_t}, Ω_t) . By the hypothesis, we know that (L^{X_t}, Ω_t) is an $L\omega H$ -closed space for each $t \in \Gamma$, so there is an $\omega_t\theta$ -cluster point of $p_t(N)$ in L^{X_t} with high λ_t for each $\lambda_t \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, say x_{λ_t} . Let $x_\lambda = (x_{\lambda_t})_{t \in \Gamma}$, then x_λ is an $\omega\theta$ -cluster point of N in L^X with high λ for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$. This implies that (L^X, Ω) is an $L\omega H$ -closed space.

This theorem means that the $L\omega H$ -closedness is arbitrarily multiplicative.

Acknowledgments

Corresponding Author: Shui-Li Chen

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (2011J01013), the Major Program of Industrial Collaboration of Science and Technology Department of Fujian Province (2011H6020) and the Projects of Science and Technology Department of Xiamen City (3502Z20123022).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. L. Chen. Theory of L -fuzzy H -sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **1992**, 51(1), 89-94.
- [2] S. L. Chen, J. S. Chen. On $L\omega$ -spaces. *Proc. 11th IFSA World Congress, Tsinghua University Press and Springer, Beijing, China, 2005*, Vol. I: 257-261.
- [3] Chen S. L. *J. Fuzzy Math.*, **2006**, 13(2), 621-632.
- [4] S. L. Chen, J. L. Huang. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **2013**, 2013(929178), 1-8.
- [5] S. L. Chen, Y. D. Wu, G. R. Cai, J. L. Xie. *Advances of Soft Computing*, **2009**, 62, 1701-1710.
- [6] S. L. Chen, J. S. Cheng. *J. Yangtze University*, **2005**, 2(1), 8-13.
- [7] B. Hutton. *Math. Anal. Appl.* **1977**, 58, 559-571.
- [8] Y. F. Lai, S. L. Chen. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **2008**, 23, 99-103.
- [9] N. V. Velicko. *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* **1968**, 78(2), 103-118.
- [10] G. J. Wang, Theory of L -fuzzy topological spaces. *Shaanxi Normal University Press. Xi'an*, **1988**.