Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2014, 6(2):421-426



Research Article

ISSN: 0975-7384 CODEN(USA): JCPRC5

Formulation and evaluation of trimetazidine hydrochloride and clopidogrel bisulphate multi-unit solid dosage forms

Abdulwali Ahmed Saif, Mahmoud Mahyoob Alburyhi, Maged Alwan Noman and Ala`a M. A. Almaktari*

Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Sana'a University, Yemen

ABSTRACT

Trimetazidine HCl is the first cytoprotective anti-ischemic agent which, in contrast to classical antanginal drugs, is effective to ward off heart attack by enhancing the heart's energy producing function rather than weakening the heart act. Clopidogrel bisulfate plays a central role as a platelet inhibitor in acute coronary syndromes, interventional cardiology and in secondary prevention of ischemic events in patients with myocardial infarction. The aim of this work was to develop a sustained release formulation of trimetazidine hydrochloride microspheres and immediate release formulation of clopidogrel bisulphate layer–tablet which were planned to be used for future preparation of bilayer tablets of the two drugs. Trimetazidine HCl microspheres were prepared by the emulsification-solvent evaporation technique using ethyl cellulose as retardant polymer at different drug: polymer ratio while clopidogrel layer-tablet was prepared and optimized by using different concentrations of crospovidone as disintegrant by direct compression method using a compression force of 15 kg/cm² while. The 1:2 drug: polymer microspheres formulation showed the highest entrapment efficiency and production yield and a sustained drug release for up to 12 hr. On the other hand, the clopidogrel layer-tablet formulation containing 4% crospovidone showed the highest rate and extent of drug release.

Keywords: Trimetazidine, microspheres, Clopidogrel, layer-tablet

INTRODUCTION

Trimetazidine hydrochloride [1-(2,3,4-Trimethoxybenzyl)piperazine dihydrochloride][1] is the first cytoprotective anti-ischemic agent [2]. It functions via unique mechanisms enhancing the coronary blood flow by shifting energy substrate utilization to glucose through inhibition of fatty acid metabolism [3].Unlike conventional anti anginal drugs including calcium channel blockers and β -blockers, trimetazidine offers aging individuals a complementary and potentially more effective way to ward off heart attack by enhancing the heart's energy producing function rather than weakening the heart [4]. Clopidogrel bisulphate [Methyl (S)-2-chlorophenyl(4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridin-5-yl)acetate bisulphate] [1] plays a central role as a platelet inhibitor in secondary prevention of ischemic events in patients with myocardial infarction[5]. A clinical studyconcluded that trimetazidine combined clopidogrel treatment of unstable angina pectoris has positive clinical effects and high security and thus it is worthy of clinical application [6].

Microspheres are homogeneous or monolithic structures made of a continuous phase of one or more miscible polymers in which particulate drug is dispersed throughout the matrix. Emulsification-solvent evaporation technique is the oldest and most widely used method to accomplish encapsulation [7].It allows for the

creation of microparticles or nanoparticles that have a more optimized release of the encapsulated material [8].

Due to the unavailability of products containing both trimetazidine HCl and clopidogrel bisulphate as a dosage unit, this preliminary work was undertaken for the favor of future preparation of the two drugs as bilayer tablets.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Apparatuses

U.V spectrophotometerand FTIR spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan); Hydraulic pellet press (KP–587, PCI Services, India); Differential scanning calorimeter (Mettler – Toledo Star 822 system, Switzerland); USP dissolution tester apparatus and disintegration Tester apparatus (Pharma test, India); Friabilator and hardness tester (Techno Scientific, India).

2.1.2. Materials and Reagents

Trimetazidine Hydrochloride (Niveditha chemicals, India), clopidogrel bisulphate(Zydus –Cadila Healthcare Ltd, India), ethyl cellulose and crospovidone (Shreeji chemicals, India), acetone, Methanol, ethanol, light liquid paraffin, hydrochloric acid, microcrystalline cellulose, lactose, magnesium stearate, and talc (Sd fine chemical Ltd .India), potassium dihydrogen phosphate, sodium hydroxide, potassium bromide and potassium chloride (Qualigens Fin chemicals, India).

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Ingredients compatibility

FTIR spectrophotometry of trimetazidine HCl, clopidogrel bisulphate and physical mixtures of the two drugs, clopidogrel bisulphate /ethyl cellulose and trimetazidine HCl /ethyl cellulose were carried out. The spectra were compared to evaluate drug/drug and drug/polymer incompatibilities. The pellets of drug (or mixture) and potassium bromide were prepared by compressing the powders at 20 psi for 10min on KBr-press and the spectra were scanned in the wave number range of 600 - 4000cm⁻¹.

2.2.2. Standard calibration curves

A stock solution of 100mg/100ml of trimetazidine HCl in phosphate buffer pH6.8 was prepared. Then a serial dilution were done to obtain solutions in the concentration ranging from 10 to 100 μ g/ml. The absorbance of those solutions was measured at 269nm using UV spectrophotometer. Separately, a stock solution of 50mg/100ml of Clopidogrel bisulphate in (10:90) methanol: pH2 HCl buffer. A serial dilution was carried out to obtain standard solutions of concentration ranging from 10 to 50 μ g/ml. Absorbance of each solution was then measured at 220nm. The standard calibration curves of each drug were constructed and the regression equations of those curves were determined.

2.2.3. Preparation and evaluation of trimetazidine HCl microspheres

(a) **Preparation**

Trimetazidine HCl microsphere formulations (T1, T2 and T3) were prepared by emulsification-solvent evaporation technique [9]. The drug: polymer ratios were 1:1, 1:1.5 and 1:2, respectively. T1 formulation was prepared by dissolving of 6 g of the polymer ethyl cellulose and 6 g of trimetazidine HCl in acetone. The solution was then added dropwise, under mechanical stirring at 500 rpm, to a beaker containing Span 80 in 150 ml of light liquid paraffin. After the emulsion was prepared, stirring continued for 2 hours to facilitate solvent evaporation. The obtained microspheres were separated and washed with n- hexaneand then dried at room temperature for 12 hours [10].

(b) Evaluation

(i) Microspheres size and morphology

The particle size of microspheres was measured using an optical microscope. The average diameter of microspheres was calculated and the frequency of distribution of their particle size was also studied [11]. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) thermogram of the drug-loaded microspheres was compared to those of the drug and blank microspheres in order to determine crystalline or amorphous forms of microspheres. Besides, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to examine the microspheres shape and surface morphology [12].

(ii) Production yield, drug content & entrapment efficiency

Production yield of each microsphere formulation was determined by the weight ratio of the dried microspheres to the loading amount of the drug and Polymer. To determine the entrapment efficiency, a quantity of 100mg of the microspheres was thoroughly triturated in 100 ml of phosphate buffer pH6.8. 1 ml of this solution was diluted to 10 ml with the same solvent. This solution was kept overnight for complete dissolution of trimetazidine HCl. The absorbance of the solution was then measured at 269nm. Production yield, entrapment efficiency and drug content were calculated using the following formulae [13-15]

 $\frac{\text{Production Yield}(\%) = \frac{\text{Total mass of microspheres}}{\text{Total mass of row material}} \times 100$

 $Drug \text{ content } (\%) = \frac{Actual drug \text{ content}}{Weight \text{ of microsphere}} \times 100$

 $Entrapment \ efficiency = \frac{Actual \ drug \ content}{theoritical \ drug \ content} \times 100$

(iii) In vitro drug release from microspheres

The release of trimetazidine HCl from microspheres was determined by using USP basket type dissolution apparatus. Accurately weighed amount of microspheres were taken for dissolution study. The microspheres were placed in a non-reacting muslin cloth that had a smaller mesh size than the microspheres. The mesh was tied with a nylon thread to avoid the escape of any microspheres. Phosphate buffer pH6.8 was used as the dissolution medium and maintained at 37°C and at a rotation speed of 100 rpm. Samples were withdrawn at 1hour intervals for up to 12hours and analyzed spectrophotometrically at 269nm.The drug release kinetics were studied by fitting the dissolution profile of each microsphere formulation to zero order, First order, Higuchi and Koresmeyers Peppa's models. Equations used to express those orders and models, respectively were:

 $Q_t = Q_0 + K_0 t, \mbox{ Log } Q_R = \mbox{ Log } Q_0$ - $\mbox{ kt/2.303}$, $Q_t = k_H \mbox{ t}^{0.5} \mbox{and } Q_t / Q_\infty = \mbox{ K}_{p.} t^n$

; where Q_t , Q_0 , were the cumulative % drug released at time and the initial % drug released, respectively; Q_R was the cumulative % drug remaining to be released at a time, Q_∞ was the maximum % drug released, K_0 , K, K_H and K_p were the equations constants, t was the drug release time and n was the diffusional exponent [16-18].

2.2.4. Preparation and evaluation of Clopidogrel bisulphate layer

(a) Preparation

200mg clopidogrel bisulphate tableted-layer was prepared by direct compression on hydraulic pellet press with compression force 15kg/cm². Three formulations (C1, C2 &C3) of that layer were prepared with different percentage of the disintegrant crospovidone of 2%, 4 % and 6 %, and slightly different lactose content of 37.5%, 35.5% and 33.5%, respectively. The drug content in all formulation was 37.5 %. Other ingredients included formulation were microcrystalline (20%), magnesium stearate (1%) and talc (2%).

(b) Evaluation

Weight variation, hardness, friability and disintegration tests were carried out on each formulation [19]. In addition, the in vitro drug release in HCl buffer pH2 for 1hour at 37°C and at a rotation speed of 50rpm was also evaluated using USP dissolution basket type apparatus [20]. The content amount of the drug released was determined spectrophotometrically at 220 nm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Ingredients compatibility

The FTIR spectra showed no interaction between the two drugs, trimetazidine HCl/ ethyl cellulose and between clopidogrel/ethyl cellulose as the characteristic peaks of each substance were present and no shift of the peaks was observed.

3.2. Standard calibration curves

Standard calibration curves at 269 nm of trimetazidine HCl (10-100 μ g/ml) in phosphate buffer and that of clopidogrel bisulphate (10-50 μ g/ml) in HCl buffer pH 2 at 220 nm as shown in Fig.1 were both linear with

linearity R^2 of 0.999 for each curve. The regressions equations for the two curves respectively were y=0.003x+0.006 and y=0.023x-0.001



Fig.1. Standard calibration curves of trimetazidine HCl in phosphate buffer pH 6.8 at 269 nm(left) and clopidogrel bisulphate in HCL buffer pH 2 at 220 nm(right)

3.3. Evaluation of trimetazidine HCl microspheres formulations 3.3.1. Microspheres size and morphology

The average size (in μ m) of microspheres in the three formulations T1, T2 and T3 were (40.1 ± 2.21), (76.04 ± 4.06) and 76.46 ± 5.31) μ m, respectively. There were also normal distributions of particle sizes in the three formulations which ensured the uniformity of particle sizes necessary for operations like mixing and tableting and also provided more uniform drug release. The DSC thermogram of trimetazidine HCl microsphere showed absence of endothermic peak of the trimetazidine HCl at 225.7°C (drug melting point) which was observed in thermogram of the drug alone and in the physical mixtures of the drug and polymer. These findings indicated that trimetazidine HCl existed in disordered crystalline phase as a molecular dispersion in polymeric matrix. They also revealed that the physical mixture of trimetazidine HCl and polymer showed the same thermal behavior 225.5°C as the individual component, indicating that there was no interaction between the trimetazidine HCl and the polymer in the solid state. Results of SEM, as shown in Fig.2, revealed that microspheres had spherical shape and showed smooth surface and that would be advantageous to provide good flowability necessary for proper tableting operation during future preparation of bilayer tablets while crystalline character of microspheres would support the stability of the drug in tablets.



Fig.2. SEM of Trimetazidine HCl/ ethyl cellulose microspheres (left X 100; RIGHT X 1400)

3.3.2. Production yield, drug content & entrapment efficiency

The results obtained indicated that the increase of drug: polymer ratio was associated with increase in both the entrapment efficiency of the drug into the microspheres and the microspheres production yield as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Drug entrapment efficiency, drug content and production yield of trimetazidine HCl microspheres							
Formulation	Production Yield (%)	Drug content (%)	Entrapment efficiency (%)				
T1	80.00	42.29	63.74				
T2	87.00	39.96	76.12				
T3	92.33	35.01	93.22				

3.3.3. In vitro drug release from microspheres

The in vitro drug release profile of trimetazidine HCl from the three formulations was found to be biphasic with an initial burst effect as shown in Fig.3.



Fig.3 In vitro release profile of trimetazidine

In the first hour, the drug release was 17.93%, 12.18 % and 10.63 % for T1, T2 and T3, respectively. The reason for the burst release could be attributed to the drug loaded on the trimetazidine HCl microspheres or imperfect entrapment of the drug. Table 2 demonstrates the overall cumulative % release for T1, T2 and T3 at the end of 12th hour which were 81.38%, 79.36 % and 71.8 %, respectively. Results of analyzing of kinetics of the drug release orders and models (presented in table 2) showed that regression coefficient (R²) of the three formulations on zero order were greater than those on first order which indicated that the drug release of all formulations obeyed zero order kinetic. Evidences of diffusion controlled mechanism was obtained by fitting the release data to Korsemeyers-Peppa's equation. The diffusion in which the release continues regardless to the concentration difference between inside and outside the microspheres. Data of drug release was also fitted to Higuchi equation explaining the controlled diffusion mechanism of drug release from the insoluble matrix polymer of the microspheres. The highest entrapment efficiency and the slowest drug release rate were obtained with T3 formulation. These findings could be attributed to the highest polymer content in that formulation of trimetazidine HCl microspheres.

Table 2: Kinetics of trimetazidine HCl release from different formulations of microspheres								
	Linearity (R ²)					Overall		
Formulation	Order				Peppa`s	Cumulative		
1 of indiation	Zero	First	Higuchi	Peppa`s	(n)	release %		
						(0-12 hr)		
T1	0.962	0.915	0.986	0.985	0.550	81.4		
T2	0.966	0.896	0.980	0.991	0.669	79.4		
T3	0.976	0.862	0.979	0.965	0.705	71.8		
Similarity factor (f_2)	T2 and T3: $f_2 = 80.85$; T2 and T3: $f_2 = 53.6$							

3.4. Evaluation of Clopidogrel bisulphate layer-tablets

Formulation C2 (containing 4% of Crospovidone) as shown in Fig.4 showed the maximum cumulative overall drug release of 89.22 % within 60 minutes in comparison to 87.44% and 86.22% obtained with formulations C1 and C3, respectively. On the other hand, the hardness, disintegration time, friability and weight variation of three layer formulations were within accepted limits as demonstrated in Table 3.



Fig.4 In vitro release profile of clopidogrel bisulphate from layer-tablets

Table 3: Physical parameter (as mean ± SD)of Clopidogrel bisulphate layer-tablet.							
Formulation	Weight (mg)	Hardeness (Kg/cm ²)	Friability (%)	Disintegration			
	(n=20)	(n=10)	(n=20)	time (min.) (n=6)			
C1	199 ± 1.673	3.63 ± 0.27	0.231	0.551 ± 0.030			
C2	200 ± 1.932	3.52 ± 0.21	0.432	0.547 ± 0.083			
C3	199.5 ± 1.765	3.69 ±0.25	0.342	0.5776 ± 0.040			

CONCULSION

The sustained release microspheres made of 1:2 trimetazidine HCl:ethyl cellulose and the immediate release clopidogrel bisulphate layer-tablet containing 4 % crospovidone was found to be promising for future work of preparation of bilayer tablets of the two drugs.

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to faculty of pharmacy Sana'a university and the Yemeni Egyptian pharmaceutical company and Labopharma pharmaceutical company-Yemen.

REFERENCES

[1] SC Sweetman. Martindale, The comperhensive drug reference 36th Edition, Pharmaceutical Press, **2009**, 1250 -1419.

[2] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trimetazidine , Retrieved on March 25th 2012

[3] G Fragasso; G Perseghin; F De Cobelli, Eur Heart J, 2006, 27(8), 942-8.

[4] A Onay-Besikci; S Guner; E Arioglu; I Ozakca; AT Ozcelikay; VM Altan, *Can J Physiol Pharmacol*, **2007**, 85(5), 527-35.

[5] H Darius; T Münzel; K Huber; E Sultan; U Walter, Austrian Journal of Cardiology, 2009, 16(11-12), 412-416.

[6] L Hong–Qing; Z Shi –Yang, China National knowledge infrastructure (CNKI) journal, 2010, 21(4), 30-35.

[7] M Obeidat Wasfy, Recent patents on drug delivery & formulation, 2009,3(3), 178-192.

[8] M Kreitz; L Brannon-Peppas; E Mathiowitz; Microencapsulation: Encyclopedia of controlled drug delivery, 1st Edition, John WileySons, Inc, **1999**, 493-553.

[9] VR Gudsoorkar; D Rambhau; The Eastern Pharmacist, 1993, 36(429),17-22.

[10] Miyazaki; Y Onuki; S Yakou; K Takayama, Int. J. Pharm, 2006, 1(324),144-51.

[11] B Patrick; W McGinity James, Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 1997, 28 (1), 25–42.

[12] D Bansode Sujata; S Kasture Veena; S Pawar Sarita; B Kasture Sanjay, *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*, **2012**, 2(10), 113-116.

[13] S Benita, Microencapsulation Methods and Industrial Applications, 2nd Edition, CRC Taylor & Francis Group, **2006**, 233-245.

[14] R Dinarvand; S Mirfattahi; F Atyabi; J. microencapsulation, 2002, 19(1), 73-81.

[15] B Patel; V Modi; K Patel; M Patel, International Journal for Research in Management and Pharmacy; 2012, 1(1), 82-91.

[16] N Chella; K Yada; R Vempati, J Pharm Sci Res, 2010, 2(12),884-888

[17] S Bhalerao; J Lalla; M Rane, J. microencapsulation, 2001, 18(3),299-307.

[18] KS Soppimath; AR Kulkarni; TM Aminabhavi, J. microencapsulation, 2001, 18(3), 397-409.

[19] The United states pharmacopeia (USP 30), *The United states pharmacopeia convention*; **2007**, 142, 1834; 2073.

[20] P Katikaneni; SM Upadrashta; SH Neau; AK Mitra, Int J Pharm, 1995, 1(123): 119–125.