



## Effect of Polyethylene Terphalet (PET) On Mechanical and Optical Properties of Polylactic Acid (PLA) for Packaging Application

Nadia A Ali

Department of Physics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Iraq

### ABSTRACT

Blends of polyethylene terphalet (PET) with polylactic acid (PLA) were investigated to determine the influence of the addition of PET on mechanical and optical properties. The compositions were prepared in wt (20/80), (50/50), (80/20). Mechanical properties like tear strength, and optical properties like colors and transparency were also reported. Polyethylene terphaletee decrease the tear strength when adding PET and Impact strength of PLA was increased when adding PET when tested in the machine directions. Optical property such as colors was increased and the value of transparency was decreased as the loading of PET increased.

**Keywords:** PET; PLA; Tear strength; Impact strength; Color; Transparency

### INTRODUCTION

The market relevance and presence of packagings made of biodegradable plastics has increased over the past few years. They are primarily used as alternatives for conventional plastics such as polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polystyrene (PS), and polystyrene terephthalate (PET). The biodegradable plastics used for manufacturing packagings are predominantly made from renewable raw materials including, for example, starch-based plastics, polylactide (PLA) [1]. Polylactic acid (PLA) is a biodegradable polymer produced by condensation polymerisation of lactic acid, which is extracted from fully renewable resources such as corn, sugar beet or rice. PLA has found many applications in the packaging, medical and automotive industries [2]. In the packaging field PLA is used for food trays, water bottles and flexible packaging. Other applications for PLA are constantly being identified, and the automotive industry has begun producing interior and exterior car parts from biodegradable polymers [3]. PLA is biodegradable, can be composted, and can be melted (thermoplastic polyester). This material has a promising future with the potential to replace conventional petrochemical-based plastics such as polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), and polyethylene terephthalate (PET). Although PLA's stiffness is similar to that of PET but PLA is more brittle (percentage elongation at break ~ 10%). There is very limited available information on the mechanical properties such as Tear resistance test and impact strength of PLA [4]. Under industrial composting conditions PLA will degrade into carbon dioxide and water in approximately six weeks. This is one of the many advantages of PLA when compared to petroleum based polymers such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET). PLA has a tensile modulus of about 2-3 GPa, which is considerably higher. PLA products are more brittle compared with Impact modified PS, PET or PVC packaging and Low impact resistance. PLA may be more brittle than desired is some applications [5]. Petroleum based polymers such as polyethylene terphalate (PET) are being widely used in packaging applications. PET is a linear thermoplastic made from ethylene glycol and terephthalic acid, or ethylene glycol and dimethyl terephthalate [5]. PET is used in many rigid food and beverage containers due to a good balance of physical and mechanical properties, barrier properties, processibility and formability, ecological and toxicological characteristics, and economics [6,7]. Poly(ethylene terephthalate), PET, due to its excellent mechanical resistance, is very popular as a packaging material and, consequently, is one of the most abundant plastics in solid urban waste . In order to

minimize the huge environmental problem created by this non-biodegradable plastic waste [8]. Most of the physical and mechanical properties of PET improve as the molecular weight increases is a hard, stiff and strong material with a decent resistance to degradation upon exposure to chemicals PET. While some of the polymer blend approaches show promise, the options become very limited when the biobased carbon content and compostability of the blend are deemed important [9]. Tear resistance is one of the most important properties for the packaging application films. Tear resistance as determined is a measure of the force necessary to initiate tearing in plastic film tapes. This is contrasted with other methods which measure the force necessary to propagate a tear after it has been initiated. Tear resistance in plastic film tapes indicates how well-integrated the material will remain when it is used to conform to irregular shapes under tensions which vary across the width of the applied strip [9, 10]. Tear resistance can be measured by the *ASTM D-412* method (the same used to measure tensile strength, modulus and elongation), that can be used to measure the resistance to the formation of a tear (tear initiation) and the resistance to the expansion of a tear (tear propagation). Regardless of which of these two is being measured, the sample is held between two holders and a uniform pulling force applied until the aforementioned deformation occurs. Tear resistance is then calculated by dividing the force applied by the thickness of the material. Impact strength is defined as the absorbing of energy before failure or is a measure of the work done to fracture a test specimen. When the striker impacts the specimen, the specimen will absorb energy until it yields. At this point, the specimen will begin to undergo plastic deformation at the notch. The test specimen continues to absorb energy and work hardens at the plastic zone at the notch. When the specimen can absorb no more energy, fracture occurs.

In this study, PET was chosen as a benchmark for comparison with PLA as its clarity, ease of processing and favorable mechanical properties make it one of the most widely used polymers in the food packaging industry compared with PET the main disadvantages of PLA with respect to material properties are primarily associated with its brittleness. Goal is to improve tear resistance and impact strength have been developed impact resistance agents, compatible with the same PLA blend with PET.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Materials

Lactic acid (99.9%), Tin chloride dehydrated ( $\text{SnCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) P-toluene sulfonic acid (TSA) were purchased from Fluka. Methylene Chloride was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich

### Preparation of pure Polyactic acid:

The reaction was conducted in 250ml, three necked flask reactor equipped with a magnetic stirrer and a reflux condenser. 100 gm of aqueous solution of lactic acid acid was mixed with methylene chloride for 5hrs at reflux temperature without any catalyst. After the removal of water of the condenser, the reaction vessel was cooled at 50°C, the required amount of catalyst  $\text{SnCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.5wt%), TSA (0.4wt%) were added and this was followed by slow heating of the reaction mixture to the refluxing temperature of the solvent under mild stirring with the help of magnetic stirring bar. The temperature gradually increased to 120°C in 3 hrs, and the reaction mixture was stirred continuously. Polymerizations are done at 120-160°C for 5hrs. At the end of the reaction, the flask was cooled, and the product was dissolved in chloroform and subsequently precipitated in methanol. The resulting solid was filtered and dried under vacuum at 60°C under vacuum for 24hrs. Powder PLA weighted grade (1wt%) by using electronic balance of four digits type (Sartorius H51) and then dissolved in chloroform to obtain 20 wt% solution of PLA grade by slowly in 60°C for 2 hours warming until the solution become viscous using magnetic stirrer hot plate, then cast into petri dish at room temperature for 24 hour to ensure complete solvent removal.

### Preparation of Polyethylene terephthalate/Polyactic acid blend:

PLA was dried in an oven at 70 °C for 4 hours to eliminate moisture. All compositions of each PET/PLA (20/80) (50/50), (80/20) blends were mixed using an internal mixer (Hakke Rheomix, 3000p) at temperature of 170 °C with a rotor speed of 60 rpm for 10 min. Compression molding (LabTech, LP20- B) were used to prepare the specimens. The melting temperature of 165 °C and mold temperature of 25 °C were used.

### Tear test

Tear strength of films was determined on the same Universal Electronic Dynamometer above indicated. The test was carried out according to ASTM D1922 standard, using the trouser tear method. The sample size was 100 mm long and 40 mm wide having a cut of 50 mm at the center of one end. The experiments were conducted at 180 mm/min extension rate. A pendulum impact tester is used to measure the force required to propagate slit a fixed distance to

the edge of the test sample. One use of these results would be for the specification of materials and thickness for plastic film used in packaging

### Impact Izod test

The Izod impact testing was performed on the same impact tester (GT-7016-A2, Gotech Testing Machines, Taiwan) with maximum hammer energy of 4 J. Shape of the specimens conformed to ASTM D256 standard method of determining the impact resistance of materials. An arm held at a specific height (constant potential energy) is released, the arm hits the sample and the specimen either breaks or the weight rests on the specimen. From the energy absorbed by the sample, its impact energy is determined. A notched sample is generally used to determine impact energy and notch sensitivity.

### Color test

Color properties were evaluated measuring color coordinates in the CIELAB color space  $L^*$  (lightness),  $a^*$  (redness and greenness) and  $b^*$  (yellowness and blueness) were analyzed using a KONICA CM-3600d COLORFLEX-DIFF2. The instrument was calibrated with a white standard tile. Measurements were carried out in quintuplicate at random positions over the film surface. Average values for samples were calculated. Total color differences ( $\Delta E$ ) was evaluated by Equation (3)

$$\Delta E = \sqrt{(\Delta a^2 + \Delta b^2 + \Delta L^2)} \dots\dots\dots 3$$

Where

$\Delta L = L_{\text{stander}} - L_{\text{sample}}$ ,  $\Delta a = a_{\text{stander}} - a_{\text{sample}}$ ,  $\Delta b = b_{\text{stander}} - b_{\text{sample}}$ ,

Stander values for white plate were  $L = 96.86$ ,  $a = -0.02$  and,  $b = 1.99$  respectively. Five measurements were taken on each film, one at the center and four around the perimeter, and the mean values were used.

### Transparency

Transparency of the films was determined by measuring the percent transmittance at 660 nm using a UV-visible spectrophotometer Shimadzu UV.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Tear strength

Generally plastic sheet with a property of brittleness will have very low tear strength, since neat PLA is a brittle material it shows tear strength is 0.11N and tear resistance was obtained dividing the tear strength by the thickness of neat PLA is 3.1 N/mm that appear in Table 1. Materials with low tear resistance tend to have poor resistance to abrasion and when damaged will quickly fail.

**Table 1: Tear Resistance of neat PLA and PLA blend**

Materials	Tear Strength (mPa)	Tear Resistance (N/mm)
Neat PLA	0.11N	3.1
PET/PLA 20/80	0.23	4.3
PET/PLA 50/50	0.45	5.18
PET/PLA 80/20	0.76	6.25

In blend PET /PLA films shows better performance in tear propagation than neat PLA because that PET has better ductility, good strength, stiffness, and hardness this is an important issue of food packaging applications.

### Impact Izod test

Poly lactic acid is brittle materials have lower impact strengths, while Poly ethylene terphalate have one of the highest impact resistance values that mean PET is a tough materials absorb a lot of energy, while brittle materials tend to absorb very little energy prior to fracture. Table 2 showed the impact strength of neat PLA and PET/PLA blend, the results showed that the impact strength of neat PLA was 3.5 KJ/m<sup>2</sup>. The impact strength of the blends was insignificantly changed and increasing to became 6.25 KJ/m<sup>2</sup>

**Table 2: Impact strength of neat PLA and PET/PLA blend**

Materials	Impact strength (KJ/m <sup>2</sup> )
Neat PLA	3.5
PET/PLA 20/80	4.3
PET/PLA 50/50	5.18
PET/PLA 80/20	6.25

**Color**

Color is important factors to be considered in food packaging since it could influence consumer acceptance and commercial success of a food product. Table 3 shows the some differences in the CIELAB coordinates L\* (lightness), a\*(red-green) and b\* (yellow-blue) and  $\Delta E$  between neat PLA and PLA/PET blends.

**Table 3: Color parameter of neat PLA and PLA/PET blend**

Sample	L*	a*	b*	$\Delta E$
Neat PLA	94.05	-1.01	1.31	-
PET/PLA 20/80	94	-0.98	1.27	0.26
PET/PLA 50/50	93.85	-0.95	1.22	0.35
PET/PLA 80/20	93.12	-0.91	1.2	1.24

Table 3 shows the results obtained from the colorimeter analysis. When polyethylene terphalate is added to the film sample, a slight decrease in lightness values (L\*) was observed. However, PLA and also both PET/PLA blends presented high L\* values showing their high brightness. Furthermore, no major differences were found for total color difference values. This result suggested high transparency for films containing PET and the possibility to see through the film is one of the most important requirements for consumers. Negative values obtained for a\* coordinate are indicative of a deviation towards green. However, these values are close to zero so the green tone was not noticeable. Positive values obtained of b\* coordinate indicate a slight deviation towards yellow.

**Transparency**

Transparency is an important property of Packaging films. Transparency was calculated by equation

$$\text{Transparency} = A_{600} / t \dots\dots\dots$$

Where A<sub>600</sub> Absorbance at 600 nm and t thickness at mm of samples

**Table 4: Transparency of neat PLA and PLA/PET blend**

Sample	T %
Neat PLA	80
PET/PLA 20/80	78
PET/PLA 50/50	75
PET/PLA 80/20	74

Neat PLA showed the highest transparency characteristic of the high brightness of PLA films. The addition of PET no significant differences between PET and PLA were observed.

**CONCLUSIONS**

PLA is a promising biodegradable polymer for use in food packaging .However , because of its inherent brittle behavior need to be blended with PET foe some specific applications in particular production of films .In the present have shown a good improvement in tear and impact strength to make them useful for this particular application .The PLA has been receiving a great deal of attention, essentially due to its degradability. With this environmental-friendly property, along with a highly transparent appearance similar to that of polyethylene terephthalate (PET), PLA has undoubtedly become one of the most promising alternatives to non-biodegradable synthetic polymers conventionally derived from petroleum-based chemicals

**REFERENCES**

- [1] NR Edmonds; PN Plimmer; C Tanner. High melt strength, tear resistant blown film based on poly (lactic acid). In Jana SC, editor. AIP Conference Proceedings, AIP Publishing, **2015**, 1664, 090002.
- [2] R Auras; SP Singh; J Singh. *J Test Eval*, **2006**, 34(6), 1-7.
- [3] SK Pankaj; C Bueno-Ferrer; NN Misra; L O'Neill; A Jiménez; P Bourke; PJ Cullen. *Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol*, **2014**, 21, 107-113.

- 
- [4] M Jamshidian; EA Tehrani; M Imran; M Jacquot; S Desobry. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Safety*, **2010**, 9(5), 552-571.
- [5] B Imre; B Pukánszky. *Eur Polym J*, **2013**, 49(6), 1215-1233.
- [6] V Tanrattanakul; P Bunkaew. *Express Polym Lett*. **2014**, 8(6).
- [7] N Burgos; VP Martino; A Jiménez. *Polym Degrad Stabil*, **2013**, 98(2), 651-658.
- [8] BW Chieng; NA Ibrahim; WM Yunus; MZ Hussein. *Polymers*, **2013**, 6(1), 93-104.
- [9] RK Krishnaswamy. Metabolix, Inc., assignee. Toughening polylactic acid with polyhydroxyalkanoates. United States patent US 9,328,239. **2016**.
- [10] D Ribitsch; E Herrero Acero; K Greimel; A Dellacher; S Zitzenbacher; A Marold; RD Rodriguez; G Steinkellner; K Gruber; H Schwab; GM Guebitz. *Polymers*, **2012**, 4(1), 617-629.