Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2015, 7(10):586-592



Research Article

ISSN: 0975-7384 CODEN(USA): JCPRC5

Chemistry and bioactivity of compounds of genus *Schumacheria* and its close chemotaxonomic relationship to the genus *Dillenia*

Chamara Janaka Bandara¹, Anura Wickramasinghe¹, B. M. R. Bandara¹, D. N. Karunaratne¹, D. S. A. Wijesundara² and V. Karunaratne^{1, 3*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka ²Royal Botanic Gardens, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka ³Sri Lanka Institute of Nanotechnology, Mahenwatte, Homagama, Sri Lanka

ABSTRACT

The extracts of different plant parts of Schumacheria were subjected to several chromatographic fractionations. These extracts yielded fifteen known compounds whose structures revealed that all three species contained taraxerol, betulinaldehyde, betulinic acid, β -sitosterol, $3-O-\alpha$ -L-arabinosyloleanolic acid and β -sitosterol- $3-O-\beta$ -Dglucopyranoside; the extracts of S. angustifolia and S. alnifolia gave betulin; betulonic acid, (6β)-6-hydroxy-3oxolup-20(29)-en-28-oic acid, sorbifolin and epicatechin were only found in the extracts of S. castaneifolia. Kaempferol, 7-O-methylkaempferol, catechin and gallocatechin were isolated from the extracts of S. angustifolia. Bioactivity determination of these compounds revealed that (6β)-6-hydroxy-3-oxolup-20(29)-en-28-oic acid exhibited antibacterial activity against both S. aureus and E. coli; $3-O-\alpha$ -L-arabinosyloleanolic acid showed antibacterial activity to brine shrimps. The genus Dillenia was found to be closely related to the genus Schumacheria because of the presence of oleanene-type triterpenoids.

Keywords: Schumacheria, Antioxidant, Antibacterial, Antifungal, Cytotoxic, Oleanene-type triterpenoids.

INTRODUCTION

Sri Lanka is a plant biodiversity hot spot with 25 % of its flowering plants being endemic. The independent diversity of Sri Lankan flora in comparison to peninsular India has led to speculation that during the continental drift, Sri Lanka may have experienced a higher degree of impoverishment, which would have contributed to the facilitation of speciation of new taxa on the other hand. Among the lower plants such as lichens the recent reports of new species are being discovered frequently, indicating that their diversity may be as high as the higher plants [1, 2]. Sri Lankan plants have been tested for biological activity with promising results [3, 4]. In addition, the structural diversity among Sri Lankan higher and lower plants are typified by the discovery of naphthaquinones [5], butanolides [6], alkaloids, [7, 8], quinonemethide triterpenoids [9], compounds with iron chelating function [10, 11, 12, 13, 14], and phenolic acids and ketones [15, 16] possessing a variety of bioactivities.

Schumacheria is a Sri Lankan endemic plant genus belonging to the family Dilleniaceae and consist of three species, *S. castaneifolia* Vahl., *S. angustifolia* Hook.f. & Thomson and *S. alnifolia* Hook.f. & Thomson [17]. They are morphologically distinct and are distributed over the western slope of the southern montane rainforest [18]. They have evolved about 100 to 120 million years ago in Gondwanaland and are considered as relic plants [19].

The present study was carried out to investigate the chemistry and the bioactivity of the compounds isolated from the genus *Schumacheria* and also to determine the chemotaxonomic relationships of its three species with the other genera of the family Dilleniaceae.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General conditions

Melting points of the isolated compounds were determined using a Stuart Scientific electrothermal melting point apparatus. UV spectral data was obtained using a UV-160, SHIMADZU UV-Visible spectrophotometer. FT-IR spectral data was obtained by an IR-Prestige-21(200VCE), SHIMADZU FT-IR Spectrophotometer on KBr pellets. The ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR, ¹H-¹H COSY, ¹H-¹³C HSQC, ¹H-¹³C HMBC, and ¹H-¹H t-ROECY spectral data were obtained using a VARIAN 600 MHz. Mass spectral data was obtained with electrospray ionization (ESI) method using a Escquire-LC_00085. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) using silica gel 60 F ₂₅₄ MERCK on aluminium sheets and the preparative thin layer chromatography using silica gel 60 PF ₂₅₄ MERCK on glass plates were carried out. Medium pressure liquid chromatography, flash chromatography and gravity column were carried out using silica gel – 60 (0.040 – 0.063 mm) (230 – 400 mesh ASTM) MERCK.

As visualizing spray reagents for TLC, anisaldehyde reagent [glacial acetic acid (120 ml), H_2O (250 ml), anisaldehyde (6 ml) and conc. H_2SO_4 (9 ml)] was sprayed and heated. Antioxidant compounds of MPLC fractions were visualized on TLC using DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) 4.0×10^{-3} mol dm⁻³ in methanol.

Plant collection

S. castaneifolia were collected Illukkovita (Southern Province), *S. alnifolia* from Maskeliya (Central Province) and *S. angustifolia* from Hiniduma (Southern Province). The specimens have been deposited and each specimen was compared and confirmed the identification with the available specimens at the National Herbarium; Royal Botanical gardens; Peradeniya.

Extraction and fractionation

Leaves, stem-bark, root-bark and flowers were separately collected cleaned, air dried and ground. Then, each of them was separately and sequentially extracted into hexane, CH_2Cl_2 and methanol at 27 °C. Each extract was evaporated at 30 °C in vacuum to obtain the solid extracts. Each CH_2Cl_2 extract (10.0 g) was subjected to MPLC fractionation using a gradient solvent system starting from hexane and increasing the polarity gradually by mixing CH_2Cl_2 and methanol, until the polarity reached the CH_2Cl_2 : methanol (9:1), to give several fractions. The methanol extracts (10 g) of *Schumacheria* were separately subjected to solvent partition with ethyl acetate: 10 % aqueous methanol and ethyl acetate portions (1.0 g) were subjected to size exclusion chromatography using Sephadex LH 20 and eluted with isocratic solvent system (ethyl acetate: methanol; 1:1) to isolate compounds.

Isolation of compounds

Isolation of taraxerol (1)

The 3^{rd} combined fraction (53.0 mg), obtained from the MPLC of the CH₂Cl₂ extract of *S. castaneifolia* stem-bark was subjected to flash chromatography on silica-gel (60.0 g) using a gradient elution method starting with hexane to CH₂Cl₂ to give taraxerol (10 mg; 0.1 %). Similarly, taraxerol (7 mg; 0.06 %) was also isolated from the 1^{st} combined MPLC fraction (20 mg) of the CH₂Cl₂ extract of stem-bark of *S. alnifolia* using identical chromatographic conditions. The obtained spectral data (¹H-NMR) were identical to those reported for taraxerol [20].

Isolation of betulinaldehyde (2) and β -sitosterol (3)

The 6th and 4th combined MPLC fraction obtained from the leaf (745.2 mg) and stem-bark (35.0 mg) CH₂Cl₂ extract of *S. castaneifolia* were subjected to flash chromatography separately on silica-gel (60.0 g) using a gradient elution method starting with hexane to CH₂Cl₂ to give betulinaldehyde (**2**) (70 mg; 0.7 %), and β -sitosterol (**3**) (78.2 mg; 0.8 %). Similarly, betulinaldehyde and β -sitosterol were isolated from the initial combined MPLC fractions of *S. angustifolia* and *S. alnifolia* leaf and stem-bark CH₂Cl₂ extracts using identical chromatographic techniques (Table 1). The obtained spectral data (¹H-NMR) were identical to those reported for betulinaldehyde [21]. Compound **3** exhibited identical R_f to an authentic sample of β -sitosterol upon Co-TLC. The obtained spectral data (¹H-NMR) were identical to those reported for β -sitosterol [22].

Isolation of betulonic acid (4)

The 5th combined fraction (105.0 mg) obtained from MPLC of the CH_2Cl_2 extract of stem-bark of *S. castaneifolia* was subjected to flash chromatography on silica-gel (60.0 g) using a gradient elution method starting with hexane to CH_2Cl_2 to give betulonic acid (4) (10 mg; 0.1 %). The obtained spectral data (¹H-NMR) were identical to those reported for betulonic acid (4) [22].

Isolation of betulin (5) and betulinic acid (6)

The 4th (1.5 g) and 7th (756 mg) combined fractions obtained from MPLC of the CH_2Cl_2 extract of leaf and stembark of *S. angustifolia* and 7th (3.2 g), 8th (1.2 g) and 4th (327 mg), 5th (1.5 g) fractions obtained from MPLC of the

V. Karunaratne et al

 CH_2Cl_2 extracts of leaf and stem-bark of *S. alnifolia* were separately subjected to flash chromatography on silica-gel (60.0 g) using a gradient elution method starting with hexane: dichloromethane (2:8) and the polarity was gradually increased up to methanol: dichloromethane (5:95) to give betulin (**5**) and betulinic acid (**6**) (Table 1).

Similarly, betulinic acid (5) was isolated from the 8th (2.3 g) and 6th (3.2 g) combined fraction obtained from MPLC of the CH₂Cl₂ extract of leaf and stem-bark of *S. castaneifolia* using identical chromatographic techniques (Table 1). The obtained spectral data (¹H-NMR) were identical to those reported for betulin (5) [23] and betulinic acid (6) [24].

Isolation of (6β)-6-hydroxy-3-oxolup-20(29)-en-28-oic acid (7)

The 9th combined fraction (317.6 mg) of leaves and 7th combined fraction (0.253 mg) of stem-bark obtained after the MPLC fractionation of CH₂Cl₂ extract of *S. castaneifolia* were subjected to flash chromatography separately on silica-gel (60.0 g) using a gradient elution method starting with dichloromethane to methanol:dichloromethane (5:95) to give (6β)-6-Hydroxy-3-oxolup-20(29)-en-28-oic acid (7) (Table 1). The obtained spectral data (¹³C-NMR) were identical to those reported for (6β)-6-hydroxy-3-oxolup-20(29)-en-28-oic acid (7) [25].

Isolation of 3-O-α-L-arabinosyloleanolic acid (8)

The 10th combined fraction (519.0 mg) of leaves, 8th combined fraction (701.0 mg) of the stem-bark of *S. castaneifolia*, 6th combined fraction (112.0 mg) of leaves, 9th combined fraction (291.0 mg) of stem-bark of *S. angustifolia* and 9th combined fraction (600.0 mg) of leaves of *S. alnifolia* obtained after the MPLC fractionation of each CH₂Cl₂ extracts, were separately subjected to flash chromatography on silica-gel (60.0 g) using a gradient elution method starting with ethyl acetate to ethyl acetate:methanol (5:96) to give 3-O- α -L-arabinosyloleanolic acid (8) [26].

Isolation of β-sitosterol-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (9)

The 10th combined fraction (519.0 mg) of leaves, 7th combined fraction (74.0 mg) of leaves of *S. castaneifolia*, 10th combined fraction (56.0 mg) of stem-bark of *S. angustifolia* and 9th combined fraction (600.0 mg) of leaves of *S. alnifolia* obtained after the MPLC fractionation of each CH₂Cl₂ extracts, were separately subjected to flash chromatography on silica-gel (60.0 g) using a gradient elution method starting with ethyl acetate to ethyl acetate: methanol (5:96) to give β -sitosterol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (9) (Table 1). The obtained spectral data (¹H-NMR) were identical to those reported for β -sitosterol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (9) [27].

Isolation of sorbifolin (10)

The ethyl acetate portion (1.5 g) of leaf methanol extract (10.0 g) of *S. castaneifolia* after a solvent partition with 10 % aqueous methanol and ethyl acetate mixture (250.0 m) was subjected to flash chromatography on silica-gel (60.0 g) using a isocratic solvent system of ethyl acetate: methanol (99:1) to give sorbifolin (10) (Table 1). The obtained spectral data (¹H-NMR) were identical to those reported sorbifolin (10) [28].

Isolation of catechin (11), epicatechin (12), gallocatechin (13), 7-O-methylkaempferol (14) and kaempferol (15)

The ethyl acetate portion (1.0 g) of flower methanol extract (10.0 g) of *S. castaneifolia* was dissolved in minimum amount of ethyl acetate: methanol (1:1) and fractionated using an isocratic solvent system (ethyl acetate: methanol; 1:1) in a Sephadex LH20 column (height = 30.0 cm, diameter = 2.5 cm). Obtained fractions were combined according to the TLC patterns after visualizing with anisaldehyde. The second combined fraction was subjected to further fractionations using the same column and solvent system to give catechin (11) and epicatechin (12) mixture (1:4) (The ¹H-NMR analysis confirmed the catechin and epicatechin ratio in the mixture by giving 1:4 on proton signals). Similarly, catechin (11), gallocatechin (13), 7-O-methylkaempferol (14) and kaempferol (15) were also isolated from the ethyl acetate portion (0.9 g) of flower methanol extract (10.0 g) of *S. angustifolia*, using identical chromatographic techniques (Table 1). The obtained spectral data (¹H-NMR) were identical to those reported catechin (11), epicatechin (12) [29], gallocatechin (13) [30], 7-O-methylkaempferol (14) [31] and kaempferol (15) [32].

Bioassay on compounds

Isolated compounds of the genus *Schumacheria* were separately subjected to bioassays. The antioxidant activity was determined using DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) radical scavenging method and the antioxidant activity of the compounds were expressed as the IC_{50} values as described by Budzianowski *et al* (2006) [33].

Cytotoxic activity of each compound was determined using the brine shrimp assay as mentioned in Rahman *et al.* (2005) [34]. The LC₅₀ value of each compound was determined with 95 % confidence intervals using the software Minitab®16. As positive control (4*S*)-4-methyl-2-(11-dodecynyl)-2-butenolide [35] isolated from the genus *Hortonia* was carried out and the negative control was carried out with DMSO (1 % v/v) in seawater.

Compounds isolated from genus *Schumacheria* was screened against *Staphylococcus aureus*, (NCTC 8532) and *Escherichia coli* (NCTC 10418) and also against the fungal strain, *Aspergillus niger* (wild type) to determine the antimicrobial activity. The determination of antifungal susceptibility was carried out using agar well diffusion method [36], and the antibacterial activity was determined using the pour plate method [37] with a concentration series range from 100, 75, 50, 25 and 10 ppm by dissolving the compounds in dimethylformamide (DMF) (1 mg/ml). Data were expressed as minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) in ppm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Compounds isolated from the different plant parts of *Schumacheria* were evaluated for cytotoxic, antioxidant, antibacterial and antifungal activities. Compounds (2), (4), (5), (6) and (7) showed only moderate activity in cytotoxicity and the antioxidant assays and in both assays. Betulinaldehyde (2) and betulonic acid (4) exhibited antibacterial activity with a MIC of 100 ppm against *S. aureus* but did not show any activity against *E. coli* and the *A. niger*. Betulin (5) exhibited antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* (MIC 75 ppm); it also exhibited activity against *E. coli* (MIC 100 ppm). Betulinic acid (6), however, did not show any antibacterial activity. (6β)-6-hydroxy-3-oxolup-20(29)-en-28-oic acid (7) exhibited the highest antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* and *E. coli* with a MIC at 50 ppm.

The highest cytotoxic activity was exhibited by 3-O- α -L-arabinosyloleanolic acid (8) giving a LC₅₀ value of 7.6 ± 0.6 ppm in the brine shrimp assay; it also exhibited antibacterial activity (MIC 75 ppm) against *S. aureus* and *E coli* (MIC 100 ppm) with no considerable activities in the antioxidant assay and antifungal assays. β -Sitosterol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (9) only exhibited antibacterial activity giving IC₅₀ value of 100 ppm and the sorbifolin (10), on the other hand, exhibited antioxidant activity giving IC₅₀ value at 187.2 ± 75.9 ppm. The mixture of catechin: epicatechin (11 and 12) (1:4) exhibited the highest antioxidant activity (IC₅₀ 3.7 ± 0.1 ppm).

In Dilleniaceae, the genus *Dillenia* has been reported to be genetically closest to *Schumacheria* [37]. Chemotaxonomically the most important finding is the presence of the oleanene-type triterpenoid 3-O- α -L-arabinosyloleanolic in the genus *Schumacheria*. In Dilleniaceae, oleanene-type triterpenoids and *seco-*A-ring triterpenoids with oleanene based structures have been reported only in genus *Dillenia*: *Dillenia papuana* Martelli [39], *Dillenia serrata* Thunb. [40], *Dilenia philippinensis* Rolfe [41, 42].

CONCLUSION

Phytochemically, S. castaneifolia deviated from S. alnifolia and the S. angustifolia. Although taraxerol (1), betulinaldehyde (2), β -sitosterol (3), betulinic acid (5), 3-O- α -L-arabinosyloleanolic acid (8) and β -sitosterol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (9) were found in all three species of *Schumacheria*, betulonic acid (4), (6β) -6-hydroxy-3oxolup-20(29)-en-28-oic acid (7) was only found in S. castaneifolia. On the other hand, betulin (5) was found only in S. angustifolia and S. alnifolia. Sorbifolin (10), catechin (11) and epicatechin (12) were found in the methanol extract of S. castaneifolia flowers and catechin (11), gallocatechin (13) and 7-O-methylkaempferol (14) were found in the methanol extract of S. angustifolia flowers. The highest antibacterial compound (6β)-6-hydroxy-3-oxolup-20(29)-en-28-oic acid (7) was isolated from the extracts of S. castaneifolia which gave a MIC of 50 ppm against both S. aureus and E. coli. The highest cytotoxic activity was exhibited by the 3-O- α -L-arabinosyloleanolic acid (8) $(LC_{50} 7.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ ppm})$; it also exhibited moderate antibacterial activity (MIC 75 ppm) against S. aureus and E. coli (MIC 100 ppm) with no considerable activities in the antioxidant assay and antifungal assays. The presence of betulinic acid and derivatives are common to the family Dilleniaceae and they are also found in all the three species of Schumacheria. The isolation of taraxerol, (6β)-6-hydroxy-3-oxolup-20(29)-en-28-oic acid (7), 3-O-α-Larabinosyloleanolic acid (8) and β -sitosterol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (9) constitute the first report of these compounds in the family Dilleniaceae. The oleanilic acid derivatives found in the family Dilleniaceae are restricted to the genus *Dillenia*. The isolation of oleanene type triterpenoid 3-O- α -L-arabinosyloleanolic acid (8) in all three species of Schumacheria showed close relationship with the genus Dillenia. Interestingly, the potent bioactivity of the S. castaneifolia flower extracts corroborates with the ethnopharmacological claims that the use of its flower extracts can cure oral aphthous; in addition, leaves of S. castaneifolia is used as a treatment for snake bites in ethnomedicine [43].

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Science Foundation, Research grant RG/2009/BS/01, is acknowledged.

REFERENCES

[1] A Orange; P Wolseley; V Karunaratne; K Bombuwela. *Bibl Lichenol*, **2001**, 78, 327-33.

[2] RGU Jayalal; PA Wolseley; C Gueidan; A Aptroot; DSA Wijesundara; V Karunaratne. *Lichenol*, **2012**, 44(3), 381-389.

[3] BMR Bandara; HIS Fernando; CM Hewage; V Karunaratne; NKB Adikaram; DSA Wijesundara. J. Natl. Sci. Counc. Sri Lanka, **1989**, 17(1), 1-13.

[4] BMR Bandara; CM Hewage; L Jayammane; V Karunaratne; KANP Bandara; NKB Adikaram; MRM Pinto. J. Natl. Sci. Counc. Sri Lanka, **1990**, 18(1), 71.

[5] CM Hewage; KANP Bandara; V Karunaratne; BMR Bandara; DSA Wijesundara. J. Natl. Sci. Counc. Sri Lanka, 1997, 25(3), 141-150.

[6] CM Hewage; BMRB Bandara; V Karunaratne; GP Wannigama; MRM Pinto; DSA Wijesundara. J. Natl. Sci. Counc. Sri Lanka, **1998**, 26(1), 27–34.

[7] BMR Bandara; NK Illangasekara; UIB Jayasinghe; V Karunaratne; GP Wannigama; M Bokel; W Kraus; S Sotheeswaran. Planta Med, **1990**, 56(2), 245-246.

[8] S Puvanendran; T Manoranjan; A Wickramasinghe; DN Karunaratne; V Kumar; S Wijesundara; G Carr; R Andersen; V Karunaratne. *J. Natl. Sci. Foundation Sri Lanka*, **2010**, 38(1), 75-76.

[9] AAL Gunatilaka; B Dhanabalasingham; V Karunaratne; T Kikuchi; Y Tezuka. *Tetrahedron*, **1993**, 49(45), 10397.

[10] K Sahib; NS Kularatne; S. Kumar; V Karunaratne. J. Natl. Sci. Foundation Sri Lanka, 2008, 36 (4), 335-336.

[11] S Kathirgamanathar; WD Ratnasooriya; P Baekstrom; RJ Andersen; V Karunaratne. *Pharm Biol*, **2006**, 44(3), 217-220.

[12] VM Thadhani; MI Choudhary; S Ali; I Omar; H Siddique; V Karunaratne. *Nat. Prod. Res.*, **2011**, 25(19), 1827-1837.

[13] V Karunaratne; K Bombuwela; S Kathirgamanathar; V Kumar; DN Karunaratne; KB Ranawana; DSA Wijesundara; Aruna Weerasooriya; ED De Silva. Current Science, **2002**, 83(6), 741-744.

[14] V Karunaratne; HR Hoveyda; C Orvig. Tetrahedron Lett, 1992, 33(14), 1827-1830.

[15] V Kumar; V Karunaratne; MRSK Meegalle; JK MacLeod. Phytochem, 1990, 29(1), 243-245.

[16] BM Ratnayake Bandara; CM Hewage; V Karunaratne; NKB Adikaram. Planta Med, 1988, 54(5), 477-478.

[17] MD Dassanayake. A revised handbook to the flora of Ceylon, Volume X, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi, **1996**, 131-135.

[18] IAUN Gunatilleke; CVS Gunatilleke. *Biological Conservation*, **1984**, 28(3), 275–285.

[19] R Jayasekara. Arjuna's atlas of Sri Lanka, Somesekaram et al., eds., Arjuna consulting company limited, Dehiwala, 1997, 36-38.

[20] I Hernández-Chávez; LW Torres-Tapia; P Simá-Polanco; R Cedillo-Rivera; R Moo-Puc; S Peraza-Sánchez. *Journal of the Mexican Chemical Society*, **2012**, 56(2), 105–108.

[21] MN Parvin; MS Rahman; MS Islam; MA Rashid. Bangladesh Journal of Pharmacology, 2012, 4(2), 122-125.

[22] TH Lee; JL Chiou; CK Lee; YH Kuo. Journal of the Chinese Chemical Society, 2005, 52, 833-841.

[23] Z Liu; W Jiang; Z Deng. Journal of Chinese Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2010, 19, 387–392.

[24] C Venkata; S Prakash; I Prakash. R. J. Pharmaceutical Sci., 2012, 1(1), 23–27.

[25] M Kuroyanagi; M Shiotsu; T Ebihara; H Kawai; A Ueno; S Fukushima. *Chemical & pharmaceutical bulletin*, **1986**, 34(10), 4012–4017.

[26] Aoki; Tadashi; Takayuki Suga. Phytochemistry, 1978, 17(4), 771–773.

[27] VG Swartz. Phytochemical studies of Helichrysum patulum. *Depatrment of Chemistry*, University of the Western Cape, **2006**, 61-2.

[28] CF Lin; YL Huang; LY Cheng; SJ Sheu; CC Chen. J. Chin. Med., 2006, 17(3), 103–109.

[29] TMA Ushirobira; E Yamaguti; LM Uemura; CV Nakamura; BPD Filho; JCPD Mello. *Latin American Journal of Pharmacy*, **2007**, 26(1), 5–9.

[30] AL Davis; Y Cai; AP Davies; JR Lewis. Magnetic Resonance in Chemistry, 1996, 34, 887–890.

[31] TMSD Silva; MGD Carvalho; R Braz-filho. *Quim. Nova*, **2009**, 32(5), 1119–1128.

[32] Y Ding; C Liang; HT Nguyen; EM Choi; JA Kim; YH Kim. Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Society, 2010, 31(4), 929–933.

[33] J Budzianowski; A Budzianowska. Herba polonica, 2006, 52(1/2), 51–57.

[34] A Rahman; MI Choudhary; WJ Thomson. *Bioassay techniques for drug development*, Harwood academic publishers, **2005**, 8-10.

[35] R Ratnayake; V Karunaratne; BMR Ratnayake; V Kumar; JK MacLeod; P Simmonds. *Pharmaceutical Biology*, **2001**, 61, 376–378.

[36] EJ Stokes; GL Ridgway; MWD Wren. Clinical Microbiology, 7th Edition, Hodder & Stoughton, London, **1993**. [37] JM Andrews. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, **2001**, 48, 5–16.

[38] JW Horn. International Journal of Plant Sciences, **2009**, 170(6), 794–813.

[39] A Nick; AD Wright; T Rali; O Silcher. *Phytochemistry*, **1995**, 40(6), 1691–1695.

[40] J Jalil; C Sabandar; N Ahmat; J Jamal; I Jantan; NA Aladdin; K Muhammad; F Buang; H Mohamad; I Sahidin. *Molecules*, **2015**, 20(2), 3206–3220.

[41] RAS Macahig; K Matsunami; H Otsuka. Chemical & pharmaceutical bulletin, 2011, 59(3), 397–401.

[42] CY Ragasa; AB Alimboyoguen; C Shen. The Philippine Scientist, 2009, 46(0), 78-87.

[43] CJ Bandara; DN Karunaratene; A Wickramasinghe; DSA Wijesundara; BMR Bandara; V Karunaratne. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, **2015**, 7(3), 465–467.

Plant species	S. castaneifolia				S. angustifolia			S. alnifolia	
Plant part	Flowers	Leaf		Stem-bark	Flowers	Leaf	Stem-bark	Leaf	Stem-bark
Extract	MeOH	MeOH	CH_2Cl_2	CH_2Cl_2	MeOH	CH_2Cl_2	CH_2Cl_2	CH_2Cl_2	CH_2Cl_2
Compound	Isolated amounts (mg) (w/w %, respect to the weight of the dry plan parts)								
Taraxerol (1)	-	-	-	10(0.002)	-	-	+	-	7(0.001)
Betulinaldehyde (2)	-	-	70(0.01)	12(0.002)	-	13(0.009)	7(0.003)	79(0.01)	70(0.01)
β-Sitosterol (3)	-	-	78(0.01)	+	-	20(0.01)	17(0.006)	276(0.05)	70.4(0.01)
Betulonic acid (4)	-	-	+	10(0.002)	-	-	-	-	-
Betulin (5)	-	-	-	-	-	12(0.008)	47(0.02)	51(0.009)	79(0.01)
Betulinic acid (6)	-	-	1724(0.29)	950(0.16)	-	175(0.11)	126(0.05)	539(0.10)	1269(0.23)
(6β)-6-Hydroxy-3-oxolup-20(29)-en-28-oic acid (7)	-	-	56(0.01)	47(0.008)	-	-	-	-	-
3-O-α-L-Arabinosyloleanolic acid (8)	-	-	233(0.04)	227(0.04)	-	38(0.02)	40(0.01)	150(0.03)	+
β -Sitosterol-3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (9)	-	-	102(0.02)	+	-	18(0.01)	17(0.006)	92(0.02)	+
Sorbifolin (10)	+	10(0.01)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Catechin (11)	300(0.40) Mixture	+	-	-	160(0.30)	-	-	-	-
Epicatechin (12)		+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gallocatechin (13)	-	-	-	-	136(0.25)	-	-	-	-
7-O-Methylkaempferol (14)	-	-	-	-	69(0.13)	-	-	-	-
Kaempferol (15)	-	-	-	-	78(0.14)	-	-	-	-

Table 1. The isolated compounds, amounts and their (w/w) percentages respect to the dry weight of each plant parts of *Schumacheria*.

"-" Not isolated and absence on TLC; "+" Presence on TLC and not isolated