The minimum inhibitory concentration and minimum bactericide concentration were expressed as percentage of inhibition per honey sample. The honey samples were compared with amoxicillin through the *Chi-square test*. The bioactive compounds were expressed by measuring the Retention factor (R*f*) absolute value. The botanical origin of honey was determined by the dominant or accessory pollen species, using the average of two honey slides per sample. All statistical analyses were performed in the R software (R version 3.31, 2016). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to check the adjustment of the Normal error distribution.

RESULTS

Phenolic and Total Flavonoid Contents

In the samples evaluated, the phenolic content ranged from 5.6 to 12.78 mg GA/100 g of honey and the total flavonoid content ranged from 3.52 to 9.38 mg QE/100 g of honey. Mato Grosso samples from honey 1, 2, 6 and 7 have high flavonoid content. The calibration curve constructed with different concentrations of the galic acid and quercetin standard gives the equation (y=0.093 × -0.0222, with R^2=0.9974) and (y=0.0067 × -0.0126, with R^2=0.9947), respectively [16-18].

Antioxidant Activity

The samples of honey 1, 2, 5 and 6 presented antioxidant activity by the capture of Nitric Oxide (NO) with $CI_{50\%}$ from 3.02 to 3.64 mg/mL. On the other hand, the remaining samples presented $CI_{50\%}$ greater than 6.4 mg/mL. The honey samples showed antioxidant activity by reducing the ferric ion (FRAP) with $CI_{50\%}$ from 10.66 to 19.24 mg/mL. Antioxidant activity by the sequestration of the free radical DPPH was greater than 20 mg/mL for all evaluated honey from Mato Grosso. All the results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Content of phenolic and total flavonoids and antioxidant activity of *Apis mellifera* honey by free radical sequestration (DPPH), capture of the free nitric oxide radical (ON) and iron reduction (FRAP), Central Brazil, Mato Grosso. 2018.

Samples	Phenolic[1]	Flavonoids[2]	ON[3]	FRAP[3]	DPPH[3]
Honey 1	12.7 ± 0.0	9.3 ± 0.1	3.4 ± 0.91	10.6 ± 1.8	> 20
Honey 2	10.9 ± 0.0	7.3 ± 0.0	3.6 ± 1.13	17.4 ± 0.1	> 20
Honey 3	7.0 ± 0.0	4.3 ± 0.0	>6.4	19.2 ± 0.2	> 20
Honey 4	8.6 ± 0.0	6.2 ± 0.0	>6.4	18.9 ± 0.1	> 20
Honey 5	5.6 ± 0.0	3.5 ± 0.0	3.1 ± 3.39	18.1 ± 0.4	> 20
Honey 6	7.9 ± 0.0	6.9 ± 0.0	3.0 ± 1.14	19.0 ± 0.1	> 20
Honey 7	7.7 ± 0.0	7.4 ± 0.00	>6.4	11.0 ± 1.8	> 20
Honey 8	7.9 ± 0.0	4.1 ± 0.00	>6.4	10.9 ± 1.0	> 20
Ascorbic Acid	--	--	25.8 ± 11.0	14.9 ± 2.1	1.6 ± 0.2
Footnote: [1] (mg galic acid equivalent/100 g honey ± SD); [2] (mg quercetin equivalent/100 g honey ± SD); [3] (CI50% honey=mg/mL ± SD and IC50% ascorbic acid=µg/ mL ± SD).					

Anti-*Helicobacter pylori* Activity

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC): Up to the tested concentration of 1000 µg/mL, honey samples did not present 100% inhibition on *H. pylori* growth, however, at this concentration, honey 1 showed 93.84% of inhibition. On the other hand, honeys 5, 6, 7 and 8, at this concentration, presented inhibitions between 52% and 60%. For the other honeys, the MIC_{90} is greater than 1000 µg/ mL Table 2.

Table 2: Anti-*Helicobacter pylori* activity of *Apis mellifera* honey from Mato Grosso evaluated by Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC), bacterial growth inhibition percentage and $MIC_{90\%}$, Central Brazil, Mato Grosso, 2018.

Samples	Atividade Antibacteriana		
	MIC (µg/ mL)	Inhibition (%)[1]	MIC_{90}[2]
Honey 1	1000	93.84 ± 4.65	971.39 ± 31.76
Honey 2	1000	22.00 ± 28.91	x
Honey 3	1000	34.60 ± 28.10	x
Honey 4	1000	41.70 ± 33.74	x
Honey 5	1000	52.90 ± 7.54	x
Honey 6	1000	60.79 ± 25.81	x
Honey 7	1000	60.08 ± 25.01	x
Honey 8	1000	59.46 ± 16.37	x
Amoxicillin	100	94.59 ± 5.48	81.53 ± 2.86
Footnote: [1] ug/ ml ± SD; [2] inhibition percentage ± SD.			

Minimum Bactericide Concentration (MBC): Aliquots of honeys 1, 5, 6, 7 and 8 were chosen due to the inhibition of the growth of *H. pylori* in the concentration of 1000 µg/mL. After 72 h of incubation, was observed an increase in the colonies of *H. pylori* leading to conclude that the honeys have bacteriostatic action [19-20].

Anti-bacterial activity by thin layer chromatography: Fractions of the honey samples 1, 5, 6, 7 and 8 showed halos of inhibition in the thin layer chromatography, which indicate the presence of substances capable of inhibiting *H. pylori* growth, as well as its antioxidant capacity.

Honey 1 showed nine bioactive compounds (R*f* 0.04-0.96), seven with *H. pylori* inhibition halos (R*f* 0.04-0.89), near the starting point of the chromate plate, of which five bioactive compounds with R*f*s 0.04 to 0.28, the bioactive compound 6 had R*f*=0.34 and the bioactive compound 7 R*f*=0.89 linked to the phenolic content.

The honey 5 exhibited nine bioactive compounds, three with inhibition halos and two at the starting point and one near half of the chromate plate (R*f* 0.03-0.09 and 0.24). Honey 6 exhibited nine bioactive compounds, three with inhibition halos (R*f* 0.78- 0.88) at the end of the race. The honey 7 showed six compounds, four with inhibition halos (R*f* 0.04 - 0.19) and honey 8 showed seven bioactive compounds, four with inhibition halos, all at the starting point of the chromate plate Table 3.

Table 3: Anti-*Helicobacter pylori* activity of *Apis mellifera* honey from Mato Grosso by the thin layer chromatography method, Central Brazil, Mato Grosso, 2018.

Sample	Total number of compounds and R*f* Values	Number of compounds and R*f* Resistant	Color λ 365 nm
Honey 1	09 (0.04 - 0.96)	07 (0.04 - 0.39)	Lilac
Honey 5	08 (0.03 - 0.94)	03 (0.03 - 0.24)	
Honey 6	09 (0.01 - 0.88)	03 (0.78 - 0.88)	
Honey 7	06 (0.04 - 0.95)	04 (0.04 - 0.19)	
Honey 8	07 (0.04 - 0.96)	04 (0.04 - 0.20)	

Botanical Origin of *Apis Mellifera* Honey

Pollen analysis identified 16 types of pollen in honey samples, comprised in 12 botanical families. The pollen of *Myracrodruon urundeuva* Allemão was dominant in six samples of honey (1 to 6). The honey 7 showed *Mimosa pudica* L. pollen as dominant. Honey 8 was not analyzed because it contained less than 200 pollens on the slides.

The isolated pollens common to honey samples were *Astronium fraxinifolium* Schott, *Brachiaria* sp., *Mimosa pudica*, *Protium heptaphyllum* (Aubl.) Marchand and *Cecropia pachystachya* Trécul. As uncommon characteristics, honey 1, 2 and 5 presented as exclusive pollen those of *Vernonia* sp., *Miconia* sp. and *Hyptis suaveolens* Poit., respectively.

DISCUSSION

It is widely known that honey, besides its nutritional value, presents several biological activities that derive from the presence of secondary metabolites in its constitution. These metabolites vary a lot in the composition of the honeys due to several factors, such as bee genetics, the period of harvest, and especially the type of the flora visited for the collection. Ultimately, we can say that each honey has its own chemical identity and pharmacological property.

Among the secondary metabolites contained in honey are polyphenols, a large chemical family that can be divided into flavonoids (flavones, flavonols, flavanols, flavanones, isoflavones, anthocyanidin and chalcones, for example, quercetin, kaempferol, genistein, apigenin, among others) and non-flavonoids, the phenolic acids (cafeic acid, gallic acid, vallinic acid, chlorogenic acid, among others). Their presences can be used as a tool for the honey classification and authentication.

It is widely known that the good antioxidant activity of honey is due to the presence of the polyphenols and their free radical scavenger property. Polyphenols exert this effect by releasing hydrogen from one of their hydroxyl groups, and the degree of antioxidant activity of honey is related to the number of hydroxyl groups of phenolic present in its composition. Thus, knowing the identity and content of secondary metabolites present in the composition of a honey can provide clues to its antioxidant capacity.

The honey considered with a high content of total flavonoids, as it presents values of 1.61 mg QE/ 100 g, 1.79 mg QE/ 100 g and 3.10 mg QE/100 g, respectively. However, Dor and Mahomoodally was more rigorous when considering high flavonoid content in honey, higher values, between 8.75 and 11.80 mg QE/100 g. The honeys evaluated in this study showed concentrations between 3.52 and 9.38 mg QE/100 g of honey, consistent with all the mentioned parameters, so it is possible to consider that the honeys from Mato Grosso present high content of total flavonoids.

Studies show that the total phenolic contents in different types of honey can present a broad spectrum of values. In the honey samples evaluated in this study, the amount of phenolic was relatively low (5.6 to 12.78 mg GA/1 00 g) when compared with some literature works, such as honeys from Turkey (16.02 to 120.04 mg GA/100 g), Tunisia (32.17 and

119.42 mg GAE/100 g) and even southern Brazil (11.37 to 54.01 mg GA/100 g). However, other studies found similar values, such as monofloral honey from southern Brazil and different regions of Italy (4.88 to 12.14 mg GA/100g and 4.7 to 11.4 mg GA/ 100g, respectively. Among the honeys studied, honey 1 had the highest content of both flavonoids and total phenolic. The identification of honey components is fundamental for the development of a pharmacological product with known activity, and flavonoids and polyphenolics are important compounds in the chemical profile of the honey.

Free radicals are reactive chemical species of oxygen or nitrogen (ROS and RNS, respectively), which have highly reactive unpaired electrons in the external orbital. Superoxide (O_2•), hydroxyl (OH•), peroxyl (RO_2•), hydroperoxyl (HO_2•) radicals can be generated from cellular metabolism and can damage DNA and oxidize lipids and proteins. Other oxidizing agents that are not radicals, such as Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), Hypochlorous acid (HOCl) and ozone (O_3), can be easily and quickly converted into radicals and cause the same damage to DNA. These oxidants act on the molecular mechanisms that trigger various pathological processes such as neurodegenerative, renal, gastrointestinal, pulmonary, cardiovascular diseases, autoimmune, cancer, diabetes, among others.

Antioxidant compounds (endogenous or not) promote defense of the organism from ROS and RNS. The oxidative stress occurs when these reactive molecules overload the body's natural antioxidant defenses, resulting in the oxidation of lipids, proteins or DNA and therefore, to several pathologies. Thus, compounds with antioxidant activity play an important role in preventing damage generated by ROS and RNS. Several authors reported the antioxidant action of honey, but no study had been done on this aspect with the honey samples from Mato Grosso used in this study.

It is known that antioxidant tests have limitations and do not allow a precise measurement of the antioxidant action that occurs *in vivo*. Antioxidants can exert their effect throughout several mechanisms, such as scavenger effect, ion sequestration of transition metals, hydrogen peroxide or hydro peroxides in decomposition, reduction of active pro-oxidants and repair of biological damage. For this reason, there is a wide range of tests to evaluate the antioxidant action and each one evaluates one of the aspects of this complex process, making it desirable to combine several tests to assess the overall antioxidant capacity, which includes reactivity to aqueous and lipid radicals directly *via* radical reduction mechanisms and indirectly *via* metal. In this study DPPH, FRAP and NO tests was used.

DPPH is a stable free radical with scavenger properties and the test can evaluate the transfer of electrons and hydrogen atoms. The method is widely used in the evaluation of the antioxidant action, even despite its limitations. It is based on a kinetic evaluation that can be influenced by several factors, among which, the stoichiometry of the solvent used and pH. These factors are especially relevant for complex substances, where each component can react in its own way. In this test, all honey samples from Mato Grosso had CI_{50} >20 mg/mL, showing no antioxidant activity for DPPH, when compared with honey from other Brazilian regions with $CI_{50\%}$ from 0.27 to 1.60 and 17.21 mg/mL. Honey from other countries presented IC_{50} lower than 20 mg/mL like Turkey (CI_{50} 0.35 to 2.56 mg/mL), Spain (CI_{50} 13.86 mg/mL) and Morocco (CI_{50} 15.0 to 23.5 mg/mL). Honey from these countries has, in its composition, a predominance of phenolic acids; this suggests that the antioxidant activity for DPPH could be related to the presence of phenolic acids. Thus, as the samples evaluated in this study showed relatively low amounts of phenolic acids; low antioxidant activity for DPPH was expected.

The FRAP method is based on electron transfer and can detect compounds capable of reducing Fe (III) in Fe (II). Like any non-enzymatic antioxidant test, FRAP has its limitations. The test is performed at acid pH, which prevents the complete oxidation of phenolic compounds as occurs in plasma at physiological pH, not allowed for extrapolate the antioxidant action to *l* conditions. Still, it is a simple, practical and robust test, widely used, and can offer a putative index of the antioxidant capacity. The honey samples evaluated presented CI_{50} values between 10 and 20 mg/mL, higher than multifloral honey samples from Taiwan, India and Morocco with CI_{50} 0.05-7.0 mg/mL, 1.87-4.40 mg/mL and 1.5 to 6 mg/mL, respectively. This result can be attributed to the phenolic content of the samples that could provide stronger eliminating radicals and consequently greater reduction activity.

Nitric Oxide (NO) is an important chemical mediator involved in various biological functions, including neurotransmission, vascular homeostasis, antimicrobial and antitumor activity. On the other hand, it contributes to oxidative damage since the Nitric Oxide radical (•NO), the free radical and weak oxidant, can react with the radical O_2• generating RNS as nitrite and peroxynitrite anions, oxidizing potentials. Excess NO is implicated in the cytotoxic effects observed in disorders such as HIV, cancer, Alzheimer and arthritis. The Griess test, used in this study to

evaluate antioxidant activity of honey on NO, is a well-known colorimetric method for the analysis of biological samples such as saliva, urine, serum, cerebrospinal fluid and culture medium, indirectly. Sodium nitroprusside, in aqueous solution and physiological pH, generates nitric oxide spontaneously, which, combined with O_2, produces nitrite ions, which reacts with the Griess reagent generating pink coloring.

Dor and Mahomoodally evaluated monofloral ginger and eucalyptus honeys from Mauritius (Africa) by the Griess method, which showed CI_{50} of 2.77 and 6.76 mg/mL, respectively. Compared to these, the honeys 1, 2, 5 and 6 from Mato Grosso evaluated in this study, which showed CI_{50} between 3.0 and 3.4 mg/mL, can be considered of pharmacological interest.

The antioxidant activity for the capture of nitric oxide and reduction of ferric ions had significant positive correlation with the content of flavonoids in the honeys (r^2=0.60), suggesting that the antioxidant capacity in the trials is linked to the content of flavonoids present in honey, corroborating several findings of other authors. The flavonoids present in the honey samples helped to reduce the formation of nitric oxide and ferric ion and respond, at least in part, to the antioxidant activity of the honey samples. Although some samples present antioxidant activity even with low flavonoid content, as the honey sample 5 for example, suggests that this activity may be due to the presence of other secondary compounds, besides the flavonoids.

The lower $CI_{50,}$ the greater the sample efficiency in neutralization the reactive radical. Extracts of plants and pure substances have values of CI_{50} in the greatness of µg, with greater efficiency compared to honey, that the values of CI_{50} are of the order of mg. However, it is worth mentioning that honey is a food product, the amount consumed is naturally higher. In addition, when comparing with other samples of honey, fractionated or not, we can say that the honey samples of this study showed good antioxidant activity.

Helicobacter pylori is a gram-negative, microaerophilic, flagellated bacteria, considered the most prevalent human pathogen, linked with the chronic active gastritis, peptic and duodenal ulcer disease and cancer. *H. pylori* infection promotes an inflammatory response in the gastric mucosa that leads to the production of free radicals. It also affects the level of antioxidants measured in gastric juice. Studies also show that some free radical scavengers, such as vitamin C, have anti-*H pylori* activity. Honey is a product with recognized antioxidant activity and reported anti-*H pylori* activity, but no study had yet been conducted with honeys from Mato Grosso under this perspective.

The mechanisms of antibacterial action of honey are different from antibiotics, which destroy the bacterial cell wall and inhibit intracellular metabolic pathways. Its composition can extract moisture from the environment, and thus dehydrate bacteria and due to its high sugar content, low pH, Maillard reaction products, volatile organic acids, beeswax, nectar, pollen and propolis, which are important bacterial components.

The honeys evaluated in this work, regarding its anti-*H. pylori* activity, honey 1 stands out for presenting MIC_{90} of 0.97 mg/ mL and an inhibition of 93.84% of bacterial growth at a concentration of 1 mg/ mL. reported 95% growth inhibition at a concentration approximately 5 times higher (5 mg/mL) for a honey from South Africa, while showed that Australia's "mountain honey" inhibited the growth of three isolates of *H. pylori* at a concentration of 0.938 mg/mL, equivalent to honey 1. The other honeys evaluated showed less expressive anti-*H. pylori* activity than honey 1.

The anti-*H pylori* activity for medicinal plants was categorized by Wang into four distinct classes according to MIC: strong activity (MIC<10 µg/mL), strong-moderate activity (MIC 10-100 µg/mL), weak-moderate activity (MIC 100-1000 µg/mL) and weak activity (MIC>1000 µg/mL). Wang's classification is the most rigorous, Holetz consider endowed with strong activity those compounds that have MIC<100 µg/mL and Aligiannis, Kalpoutzakis, Mitaku and Chinou MIC<500 µg/mL. Fabry considered plant extracts with MIC<8000 µg/mL as having usable antibacterial activity. If we were to evaluate the result of honey 1 by these parameters, it would be considered bacteriostatic and with weak anti-*H. pylori* activity. However, honey is a food product, whose consumption reaches quantities much higher than the MIC found. For example, one teaspoon, which contains approximately 5 g of honey, can be consumed daily. Thus, the insertion of a small daily amount of honey in the diet can represent a benefit in the anti-*H. pylori* treatment.

The pharmacological activity of a complex product such as honey, can be the result of the synergistic action of several constituent compounds, or can be carried out by only one of them, even if they are minority, such as rutin or gallic acid

for antioxidant activity. Honey 1 from Mato Grosso did not present high phenolic content compared to other honeys, but still presented antioxidant and anti *H. pylori* activity, possibly due to some component between flavonoids and polyphenols or even by the synergistic action of some of its compounds.

The phenolic compounds and flavonoids present in honey, in addition to exerting antioxidant action, are also linked to antibacterial activity in front of a wide spectrum of gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria. There is increasing evidence that flavonoids interfere with various bacterial virulence factors, including enzymes and toxins. In the case of honey, the antibacterial activity can occur by synergy of several of its components. Although the components of honey 1 have not been identified, it is certain that the presence of its flavonoids may have contributed to this activity. For Cushnie and Lamb antibacterial activity of the flavonoids can be attributed to three mechanisms of action: damage to the cytoplasmic membrane, inhibition of nucleic acid synthesis and inhibition of energy metabolism.

The thin layer chromatography showed seven bioactive compounds in the inhibition of *H. pylori* growth in honey 1. If we take as basis the R*f* values and the solvent system used and compare to data found in literature, we can point out some possibilities of identity of these compounds. The bioactive compound 6 presented results compatible with the gallic acid found by De Souza, with R*f* values between 0.39 and 0.42. Galic acid is a major phenolic acid in honey from *Apis mellifera*. The bioactive compound 7 of honey 1 had R*f* values compatible with that of caffeic acid found by Wagner and Bladt, another phenolic acid widely present in the composition of honey. However, studies must be conducted to certify the identity of these bioactive compounds.

The botanical origin of honey 1 showed that the dominant pollen comes from the species *Myracrodruon urundeuva*, a tree popularly known as aroeira, native to Brazil with wide geographical distribution. There are records of pollen of this species in honey from other regions of Brazil, such as Minas Gerais, Paraíba and Mato Grosso do Sul. *Myracrdruon urundeuva*, rich in flavonoids, has known antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and antiulcerogenic activity is used as an antiseptic and in the treatment of stomatitis. The bactericidal and bacteriostatic activities of the aroeira on *Streptococcus mutans*, *Streptococcus mitis*, *Streptococcus sobrinus*, *Streptococcus sanguis*, *Lactobacillus casei* and antifungal action on *Candida albicans*, *Candida tropicalis* and *Candida krusei* are also reported.

All honey samples evaluated in this work presented *M. urundeuva* as dominant botanical origin and five other types of accessory pollens in common and they showed a variation as to flavonoid content, and still. This suggests that the marker of the botanical origin of Mato Grosso honey with high flavonoid content should be associated with isolated pollen present in honey.

CONCLUSION

Honey from Mato Grosso showed good antioxidant and anti-*Helicobater pylori* activity in *in vitro* experiments. Among the samples evaluated, honey 1 from Nossa Senhora do Livramento- MT, Cerrado biome, showed the best results, possibly duea to its good content of flavonoids and 7 bioactive compounds in its constitution, which still requires identification in future studies. The other samples also showed antioxidant and bacteriostatic action, although less promising than honey 1.

The antioxidant activity of honey samples involves the reduction of ferric ion and the capture of nitric oxide, which may be related to the content of flavonoids and none of them showed scavenger action. The botanical origin of honey from Mato Grosso has *Myracrodruon urundeuva* as a dominant pollen species in all analyzed samples.

The study of anti-*H pylori* of honey was unprecedented in Brazil, expanding the knowledge about honey produced in state of Mato Grosso, Central Brazil and suggesting that it can bring prophylactic benefits or as a complementary therapy in *Helicobacter pylori* infection, mainly honey 1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) in the form of scholarship grant to Mariana Lenina Menezes Aleixo (CAPES/Demanda Social, Proc. N° 1602288). The authors gratefully financial support for this project Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Mato Grosso (FAPEMAT - Proc. N°. 62437/2013).

REFERENCES

[1] Apak R. *J Agric Food Chem*. **2019**;67(33):9187-9202.
[2] Borges SS, Ramos AFPL, Moraes Filho, et al. *Arq Gastroenterol*. **2019**;56(1), 66-70.
[3] Boyanova L, Ilieva J, Gergova G, et al. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. **2015**;82(1):85-86.
[4] Brand-Williams W, Cuvelier ME, Berset C, et al. *LWT-Food Sci Technol*. **1995**;28(1):25-30.
[5] Bueno-Costa FM, Zambiazi RC, Bohmer BW, et al. *LWT-Food Sci Technol*. **2016**;65:333-340.
[6] Can Z, Yildiz O, Sahin H, et al. *Food Chem*. **2015**; 180:133-141.
[7] Cecílio AB, Faria DB, de Oliveira P, et al. *J Ethnopharmacol*. **2012**;141(3):975-981.
[8] Cianciosi D, Forbes-Hernández TY, Afrin S, *Molecules*. **2018**;23(9):1-20.
[9] CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, 27th Edition, 2017;282.
[10] Cushnie TPT, Lamb AJ. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. **2011**;38(2):99-107.
[11] Das A, Datta S, Mukherjee S, et al. *LWT-Food Sci Technol*. **2015**;61(1):244-250.
[12] Devarajan S, Venugopal S. *Chin J Nat Med*. **2012**; 10(4):255-259.
[13] Dor GO, Mahomoodally MF. *Asian Pac J Trop Dis*. **2014**;4(S2):S1002-S1013.
[14] El-Haskoury R, Kriaa W, Lyoussi B, et al. J *Food Drug Anal*. **2018**;26(1):67-73.
[15] Escuredo O, Seijo MC, Salvador J, et al. *Food Chem*. **2013**;141(4):3409-3414.
[16] Fabry W, Okemo PO, Ansorg R, et al. *J Ethnopharmacol*. **1998**; 60(1):79-84.
[17] Fernandes L, Ribeiro H, Oliveira A, et al. J *Tradit Complement Med*. **2020**;11(2):130-136.
[18] Ferreira JO, Galbiati C, Neves SMA, et al. *Desenvolv Meio Ambient*. **2016**; 39:159-171.
[19] Franz GM, Galbiati C, Araujo E, et al. *Rev Ibero-Am Cienc Ambient*. **2018**;9(1):26-42.
[20] Gambacorta E, Simonetti A, Garrisi N, et al. *Int J Food Sci Technol*. **2014**;49(10):2260-2268.