# Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2016, 8(7):641-644



**Research Article** 

ISSN : 0975-7384 CODEN(USA) : JCPRC5

# Affinity chromatography mediated purification of hCG hormone

Uttam Kumar Neerudu<sup>1</sup>, S. V. Gopala Krishna Alamuri<sup>3</sup>, Shiva Kumar Aleti<sup>2</sup>, Amruth Rao Parveda<sup>4</sup>, Ramakrishna Muthineni<sup>2</sup>, Ramchander Merugu<sup>2</sup> and Ch. Venkata Ramana Devi<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India <sup>2</sup>University College of Science, Mahatma Gandhi University, Nalgonda, India <sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Andhra University, Vishakapatnam, India <sup>4</sup>National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad, India

# ABSTRACT

Affinity chromatography by Carboxy methyl blue Sepharose was used to increase the purity and further the biological activity to more than 12000 IU/mg from 1.05mg of protein. The glycoprotein was then assessed for its conformational and functional ability in rats and could efficiently pronounce itself has a protein with increased purity

Key words: Affinity chromatography, CM-sepharose, biological activity

# **INTRODUCTION**

The first crystal structure of hCG was elucidated by Lapthorn et al [1]. Biochemistry of hCG identifies it to be a glycoprotein, composed of non- covalently linked  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits. This is a heterodimer consisting of 92 amino acid residues in  $\alpha$  subunit and the  $\beta$  subunit consists of 121 amino acids [2]. Both the subunits possess high content of mannose moiety and are held together by non - covalent hydrophobic and ionic interactions. The molecular weight of hCG is approximately around 36,000 [3]. However, it is noted that 25-41% of the molecular weight is attributed by the sugar side chain [4]. The subunits are folded in a much similar manner forming two hairpin loops, at one end and a single loop at the other end [5]. The stability of the heterodimer is achieved when the carboxyl terminal peptide (CTP) of hCG  $\beta$  is in contact with hCG  $\alpha$ , thus, forming a seat belt around the hCG  $\alpha$  which includes the residues from 93-110 amino acids from the  $\beta$  subunit. The final closing of the  $\beta$  26-110 a bridge lock the seat belt and secures the  $\alpha\beta$  dimer preventing its disassembly [6]. It is the carbohydrate moiety and its specific location on the peptide chain that decides the folding and the assembly of the subunits. The normal secretion of hCG is ensured by the oligosaccharide branches from Asn 52 and Asn 78 and the removal of hCG  $\alpha$  reduces the correct  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  dimerization [7,8]. Disulphide bonds are required for hCG stable conformation [9]. Mishra *et al* [10] in the year 2003 reported that the disulphide bonds  $\beta$  9-57,  $\beta$  34-88 and  $\beta$  38-90 of the hCG  $\beta$  are essential for the formation of the heterodimer while the disulphide bonds  $\alpha$  7-31,  $\alpha$  59-87 and  $\alpha$  10-32 are not required for hCG  $\alpha$  to combine with hCG  $\beta$ . Only after the assembly of the  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  subunits, the disulphide bond  $\beta$  26-110 is evolved [10]. Human Choronic Gonadotropin (hCG), belongs to the cysteine knot family and exists along with the luteinizing hormone, follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH). hCG is a heterodimer with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ subunits. It is initially secreted by the pre- implantation embryo and subsequently by the trophoblast. In continuation of our earlier work [11] we are reporting further purification of hCG with more biological activity

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

Urine from various pregnant women is collected by agents. Benzoic acid used for the precipitation of the protein is obtained commercially and is also used at various other steps as the experiment proceeds further. Likewise,

methanol, acetone, diethyl ether is also purchased commercially. The dialysis, ultra filtration, ion exchange and affinity chromatographic material used is also ventured commercially. Affinity chromatography by Carboxy methyl blue Sepharose was used to increase the purity. Further, the desired concentration of the protein is ensured by the Immunoassay technique. This is an assay method in which the concentration of hCG is determined. Bio assays may be assessed qualitatively and quantitatively. In the qualitative bioassay the quality of the protein HCG is analyzed while in the quantitative method, the concentration of the potency of a substance is determined by the measurement of its biological response.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Bioassay results - 13000IU/mg purification

The amount of HCG obtained from the earlier reported [11] was 4.23 mg of protein with a biological activity of 3000 IU/mg. To attain increased purity of HCG, the above product was subjected to and allowed to pass through the affinity column chromatography using Carboxy methyl blue Sepharose. This led to the formation of the highly purified HCG with >12000IU/mg of biological activity from 1.05 mg of protein content. The measurement of the activity at every step was performed in the rats with 4 set experimental setup (Table 1). Set-1 was the working standard with dilution details, potency 2044.11 IU/Vial --->50ml (40.88IU/ml) --->10.00ml--->51.10ml (8.00 IU/ml)--->10ml--->20ml (4.00 IU/ml)--->10ml--->20ml (2.00 IU/ml). However, the rest of the experiments but had different potency (Table 2). 10.00 ml $\rightarrow$ 100 ml (130.00 IU/ml), 10 ml $\rightarrow$  162.5 ml (8.00 IU/ml), 10 ml $\rightarrow$  20 ml (4.00 IU/ml) was observed. The rest of the parameters, however, remained the same. Set-3 was the sample duplicate assay had a similar parameter to set-2 and set-4 was blank assay with phosphate buffer. It can be noted that as the protein content decreases in order to attain high purity levels, the biological activity of the hCG gradually increased.

#### Table 1: Bioassay results - 13000iu/mg purification protocols

Product Name:	HCG -13	3000 IU/mg (B.P.)										
Batch No:	PHD 004	1	Date of	analysis:		02.11.2014						
Reg. No:	TLR/11/	01001/14	Date of	completio	n:	06.11.201	4					
Label claim:	13000 IU	J /mg	Date of	report:		10.11.201	4					
Date of Mfg:	Oct' 201	4										
Protocol No.	JLS/IAF	C-01/01/11/2014										
Set I (Working Standard)		2044.11	IU	Х	1	vial	$\rightarrow$	50	ml (40.88	IU/ml)		
Dilution details: Potency 2044.	$10.00 \text{ ml} \rightarrow 51.10 \text{ ml} (8.00 \text{ IU/ml}) \rightarrow 10 \text{ ml} \rightarrow 20 \text{ ml} (4.00 \text{ IU/ml}) \rightarrow 10 \text{ ml} \rightarrow 20 \text{ ml} (2.00 \text{ ml})$											
W.Std. B.No: WS/CHG05			IU/ml)									
Date of Mfg:	20/05/20	14	Date of	Expiry:		20/05/201	5					
Time of buffer preparation:			10.12 A	.M.	Daily de	ose of injec	tion:		0.5 ml			
Time of injection:			10.45 A	.M.								
Buffer Lot.No.			JLS/01/	11/2014								

ANIMAL	DISTRIB	UTION &	DISSECT	TON DETA	ILS											
TotalDos	I.D.	Body weight gms)		eight (in seminal		LD.	Body weight (in gms)		Wt of seminal	Total	I.D.	Body weight (in gms)		Wt of seminal		
e Mark	Initial	Final	vesicles (in mg)	Total Dose	Mark	Initial	Final	vesicle s (in mg)	Dose	Mark	Initial	Final	vesicles (in mg)			
	Н	30	39	26		Н	30	40	38		Н	30	41	51		
	В	31	41	31		В	32	42	41		В	30	43	56		
	Т	32	43	27		Т	33	43	35		Т	33	43	54		
	HB	33	45	32		HB	34	46	39		HB	34	45	57		
	BT	36	46	29		BT	35	46	37		BT	35	46	52		
	HBT	-	-	-		HBT	-		-	1	HBT	-	-	-		
	HT	-	-	-		HT		-	-	5	HT	-	-	-		
4.0 IU	α	-	-	-	8.0 IU	Α	-	-	-	6.0 IU	α	-	-	-		
4.0	Total W	′t		145	8.0	Total '	Wt	t		<u>9</u> Tot		Total Wt		270		
Cage No				1	Cage No	)	2 Cage No							3		
	Set II (S	Sample Sir	ngle Assay	)	130	00	IU Z	K 1	10 mg $\rightarrow$ 100 ml				ml (	(1300.00IU/ml)		
	Diln. details: Potency 13000 IU/mg						10.00 ml→100 ml (130.00 IU/ml) 10 ml→ 162.5 ml (8.00 IU/ml) 10 ml→ 20 ml (4.00 IU/ml)10 ml→ 20 ml (2.00 IU/ml)									
	Time of buffer preparation:						10.12 A.M		Daily d	lose of inj	ection:		0.5 ml			
	Time of injection:						10.51 A.M.									
	Buffer Lot.No.						JLS/01/11/2014									

				ANIM	AL DISTR	RIBUTION	N & DISSE	CTION I	DETAILS					
		Body weight (in gms)		Wt of			Body weight (in gms)		Wt of			Body weight (in gms)		Wt of semina
TotalDose	I.D. Mark	Initial	Final	seminal vesicles (in mg)	Total Dose	I.D. Mark	Initial	Final	seminal vesicles (in mg)	Total Dose	I.D. Mark	Initial	Final	l vesicle s (in mg)
	Н	30	41	28	28   25   31   29   27   8	Н	30	39	39		Н	30	40	56
	В	33	43	25		В	31	40	36		В	32	42	51
	Т	34	43	31		Т	34	40	40		Т	32	44	55
	HB	35	45	29		HB	35	43	37	16.0 IU	HB	34	44	59
4.0 IU	BT	36	45	27		BT	36	45	34		BT	36	46	57
4.	HBT	-	-	-	×.	HBT	-		-		HBT	-	-	-
	HT	-	-	-		HT	-	-	-		HT	-	-	-
	α	-	-	-		А	-	-	-		α	-	-	-
		Total Wt		140	Total Wt				186		Total Wt			278
Cage No 4 Cage No 5						5		Cage	No		6			

					D	UPLICAT	E ASSAY	$\rightarrow$										
Set III (Sample Duplicate Assay) 13000						IU	Х	10	mg		100	ml	(1300.	00IU/ml)				
Diln. details: Potency 13000 IU/mg							$10.00 \text{ ml} \rightarrow 100 \text{ ml} (130.00 \text{ IU/ml}) \ 10 \text{ ml} \rightarrow 162.5 \text{ ml} (8.00 \text{ IU/ml}) \ 10 \ 10$											
	Dini. details: Fotency 15000 10/hig							ml $\rightarrow$ 20 ml (4.00 IU/ml)10 ml $\rightarrow$ 20 ml (2.00 IU/ml)										
	Time of buffer preparation:							10.12 A.M. Daily dose of injection: 0.5 ml										
		ime of inje				10.56	10.56 A.M.											
	]	Buffer Lot	t.No.			JLS/01/11/2014												
								TRIBUTION & DISSECTION DETAILS										
		Body we			Wt of		Body we		Wt of			Body		Wt of				
TotalDose	I.D. Mark	gn	is)	vesicles	seminal Total vesicles Dose		gn	ns)	seminal vesicles	Total Dose	I.D. Mark	(in g	ms)	seminal vesicles				
		Initial	Final	(in mg)	Dose	Mark	Initial	Final	(in mg)	Dose		Initial	Final	(in mg)				
	Н	31	40	26		Н	30	42	41		Н	30	39	51				
	B	32	42	33		В	30	42	36		B	33	41	55				
	Т	33	43	30		Т	34	43	39		Т	33	46	57				
5	HB BT	35 35	46 46	32 28		HB BT	35 36	46	42 37	2	HB BT	35 35	46	53 50				
4.0 IU	HBT	- 35	46	-	8.0 IU	HBT		46		16.0 IU	HBT		46					
	HT	-	-	-		HT	-		-	1	НТ	-	-	-				
	α		-	-		A	-	-	-		α		-	-				
	u	Total Wt	-	149		11	Total Wt	-	195			Total Wt	-	266				
	Cage No			7		Cage No 8 Cage No					9							
	U					BLAN	K ASSAY				Ŭ							
							/ (Blank)											
							ate buffer											
		f buffer p		1:			A.M.	1	Daily dose o	f injection	n: 0.5 ml							
		ime of inje				11.06 A.M. JLS/01/11/2014												
		Buffer Lot	i.No.							/01/11/201	4							
	r	D 1	1.1.1		AL DISTI	RIBUTIO	N & DISSI					D 1		NY C				
	I.D.	Body we		Wt of seminal	Total	I.D.	Body we		Wt of seminal	Total	I.D.	Body v (in g		Wt of seminal				
TotalDose	Mark	Ū		vesicles	Dose	Mark	0		vesicles	Dose	Mark			vesicles				
		Initial	Final	(in mg)	2000	mun	Initial	Final	(in mg)	2000		Initial	Final	(in mg)				
	Н	32	41	14		Н					Н							
	В	33	41	9		В					В							
	Т	34	43	13		Т					Т							
E	HB	35	45	10		HB					HB							
2.0 ml	BT	36	46	12		BT					BT							
7	HBT	-	-	-		HBT	-	-			HBT	-	-	-				
	HT	-	-	-		HT	-	-	-		HT	-	-	-				
	α	- Total Wt	-	- 58		A	- Total Wt	-	-		α	- Total Wt	-	-				
	Cage Nr			58 10		Cas	e No		<b>0</b> 11		Cage			<b>0</b> 12				
Cage No				10	l	Cag	C 110		11		12							

### Table 2: Calculation of potency

Ta = Ts - Tstd	T1 = Std low
Tstd = T1+T2+T3	T2 = Std now T2 = Std medium
$T_{3} = T_{4} + T_{5} + T_{6}$	T2 = Std high T3 = Std high
Tb = (T3+T6) - (T1+T4)	T4 = Sample low
$i^* =$ Interval between successive log doses of std preparation &spl preparation	T5 = Sample medium
$M = 4i^* X Ta/3Tb + log R$ Anti log M=	T6 = Sample high
R = Vstd / Vs	Std – Standard
Potency = Anti log M	S – Sample
Average potency = potency of single assay + potency of duplicate assay / 2	
Assay % = Average potency X 100 / Label claim potency	
Set I (Single Assay)	
Ta =-1	

Tb =263
Tstd=605
Ts =604
I=0.30103
M =4.11242
Anti log M=12954.40
Potency =12954.40 IU/vial
Set II (Duplicate Assay)
Ta =5
Tb =242
Tstd=605
Ts =610
I=0.30103
M =4.12224
Anti log M=13250.62
Potency =13250.62 IU/vial
Avg. Potency = $13102.51$ IU/mg
Assay (%) =100.8% of L.A

### REFERENCES

[1] A.J. Lapthorn et al. Nature. 1994; 9;369(6480):455-61.

[2] Morgan FJ. The Journal of Biological Chemistry; 1975;250(13),5247-5258.

[3] Lay-Harn Gam, Aishah Latiff . Int J Biol Sci ; 2005; 1(3):103-109. doi:10.7150/ijbs.1.103

[4] LA cole. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2010; 8: 102.

[5] Hao Wu1, Joyce W Lustbader 2, Yee Liu1, Robert E Canfield2 and Wayne A. Hendricksonl *Current Biology*, **1994**, 2:545-558

[6] Gamier, J., Osguthorpe, DJ., Robson, B. J Mol. Biol; 1978; 120, 97-120.

[7] S.F. de Medeiros and R.J. Norman. *Human Reproduction*;2009;15(1) 69–95.

[8] http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in:8080/jspui/bitstream/10603/8173/3/03\_chapter%201.pdf

[9] E Bedows et al. *Indian journal of experimental biology*; **2002**; 40;467-476

[10] Mishra AK, Mahale SD, Iyer KS. Biochim Biophys Acta, 2003;1645:49 - 55

[11] Uttam Kumar Neerudu, Ravi Burgula, Ramavath Redyanaik, Ramchander Merugu and Ch. Venkata Ramana Devi. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, **2016**, 8(4):1308-1312