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#### Abstract

QSAR models of 22 benzamidine derivatives reported as inhibitors of thrombin have been developed using the descriptors heat of formation, valence connectivity index, shape index, solvent accessibility surface area, molar refractivity, log P and molecular weight. QSAR models, in which either heat of formation or shape index or molar refractivity is present, have good predictive powers as correlation coefficients have been found above 0.9. These descriptors alone provide good QSAR models. Best QSAR model with 0.994001 value of correlation coefficient has been obtained using the combination of descriptors heat of formation, shape index, molar refractivity and $\log P$.
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## INTRODUCTION

The descriptors provide quantitative information about the properties of a molecule. A large number of quantum chemical descriptors have been used for quantitative structure activity relationship (QSAR) studies [1-6]. Topological descriptors have also been developed mostly by Kier and Hall [7-11], which quantifies molecular structure, and provides information for QSAR and QSPR studies.

Essential features of blood coagulation, complement activation, fibrinolysis and digestion are the activation of trypsin, thrombin, plasmin and complement which specifically hydrolyze protein substrates [12]. All the four enzymes have been found to be competitively inhibited by benzamidine, which is a small organic molecule and is an excellent model for cationic side chain of orginine and lysine [13-14].


## Benzamidine

In order to study the structural basis of the substrates-binding specificity, certain QSAR studies on the derivatives of benzamidine have been made which are listed in Table-1 [15-16]. The inhibition activities of compounds of Table-1 for the enzyme thrombin have been measured by $\mathrm{pK}_{1}$.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

QSAR studies of the compounds listed in Table-1 have been made with the help of following topological and energy descriptors [17-23]-

1. Heat of Formation $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$
2. Valence Connectivity Index (order 0 , standard) $\kappa_{0}$
3. Shape Index (basic kappa, order 1) SI1
4. Solvent Accessibility Surface Area SA
5. Molar Refractivity MR
6. $\log \mathrm{P} \quad \log \mathrm{P}$
7. Molecular Weight MW

PM3 based calculations of the above descriptors have been made on the compounds listed in Table-1 with the help of Cache Software and their relationship with the known activity of the inhibitors have been studied by developing QSAR models. The values of the descriptors have been used to prepare multilinear regression equations for predicted activities. Predicted activities obtained from the multilinear regressing equation have been compared with the known activity. The correlation coefficient and cross-validation coefficient have been evaluated to adjudge the quality of QSAR model and its predictive power.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Values of topological and energy descriptors of benzamidines listed in Table-1 have been evaluated and are included in Table-2 alongwith the values of activities in terms of $\mathrm{pK}_{1}$ for thrombin inhibition. Several QSAR models in different combination of descriptors have been tried; the models providing correlation coefficient above 0.9 are discussed below-

## Best QSAR model

Best QSAR model is denoted by PA1 which is the measure of activities of benzamidines for thrombin inhibition in terms of $\mathrm{pK}_{1}$. Combination of descriptors which provide best QSAR model is the heat of formation, shape index order 1, molar refractivity and $\log$ P. MLR equation of this QSAR model is given by

PA1 $=-0.202687 * \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}+0.0978225 * \mathrm{SI} 1+0.11267 * \mathrm{MR}-0.0272492 * \log \mathrm{P}+0.581077$
rCV^2=0.987299
$\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.994001$

Value of correlation coefficient is 0.994001 and cross-validation coefficient is 0.987299 which indicate that the predictive power of this QSAR model is very good and it can efficiently be used for the prediction of the activity of any benzamidine compound for thrombin inhibition. Values of predicted activity PA1 for benzamidine compounds is included in Table-3. Maximum difference in the values of predicted and observed activities is 0.185 and the average difference is 0.0618 . Graph between observed and predicted activities PA1 is shown in Graph-1. Graph between differences in observed activities and predicted activities PA1 of benzamidines for thrombin inhibition is shown in Graph-2.

Table-1: Benzamidines and their Thrombin Inhibition Activities

| Comp | R | Thrombin Inhibition Activities in terms of $\mathbf{p K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4-NO2 | 2.5 |
| 2 | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 2.6 |
| 3 | 2-Me | 1 |
| 4 | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 2.6 |
| 5 | $3-\mathrm{COOH}$ | 2.7 |
| 6 | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 3.4 |
| 7 | H | 2.9 |
| 8 | $3-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 4.4 |
| 9 | $3-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 3.7 |
| 10 | $3-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 3.1 |
| 11 | $3-\mathrm{OMe}$ | 3.1 |
| 12 | 3,4-Me ${ }_{2}$ | 2.8 |
| 13 | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ | 2.8 |
| 14 | 3,5-Me ${ }_{2}$ | 2 |
| 15 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COCOOH}$ | 5.4 |
| 16 | $3-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 3.8 |
| 17 | 4-OEt | 2.8 |
| 18 | 4-OMe | 2.7 |
| 19 | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COCOOH}$ | 1 |
| 20 | 3-naphthamidine | 4 |
| 21 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 2.5 |

## Other good QSAR models

Other good QSAR models are PA2-PA8 in which correlation coefficients are greater than 0.99. MLR equations of these QSAR models is given below-

1. PA2 $=-0.227833 * \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}+0.0604503 * \mathrm{SI} 1-0.00101643 * \mathrm{SA}+0.112648 * \mathrm{MR}+1.57404$
rCV^2 $=0.983872$
$\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.993822$
2. $\mathrm{PA} 3=-0.225522 * \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}+0.0593765 * \mathrm{SI} 1+0.113489 * \mathrm{MR}-0.000397534 * \mathrm{MW}+1.46335$
rCV^2=0.984591
$\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.993801$
3. PA4 $=-0.22734 * \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}-0.00946887 * \kappa_{0}+0.063374 * \mathrm{SI} 1+0.112128 * \mathrm{MR}+1.52938$ $\mathrm{rCV}^{\wedge} 2=0.983912$
$\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.993788$
4. PA5 $=-0.253275 * \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}+0.0285696 * \kappa_{0}-0.00380474 * \mathrm{SA}+0.11604 * \mathrm{MR}+2.65177$
rCV^2=0.988729
$\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.993604$
5. PA6 $=-0.229885^{*} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}+0.0593194 * \mathrm{SI} 1+0.110888^{*} \mathrm{MR}+1.62364$
rCV^2 $=0.986136$
$\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.993561$
6. PA7 $=-0.254434 * \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}-0.000898703 * \mathrm{SA}+0.115565^{*} \mathrm{MR}-0.00318718^{*}$

Log P+2.6318
rCV^2=0.985504
$\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.993527$
7. PA8 $=-0.254913 * \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}-0.000831554 * \mathrm{SA}+0.115485 * \mathrm{MR}-6.88344 \mathrm{e}-005 * \mathrm{MW}+2.64653$
$\mathrm{rCV}^{\wedge} 2=0.989347$
$\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.993523$

Table-2: Values of descriptors of benzamidine compounds and their activity in terms of $\mathbf{p K}_{1}$ for thrombin

| Benzamidine compound |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Solvent Accessibility } \\ & \text { Surface Area } \end{aligned}$ | Molar Refractivity | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 000 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{u} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 22.550 | 6.150 | 10.039 | 90.652 | 50.876 | 1.465 | 165.151 | 2.500 |
| 2 | 22.409 | 6.041 | 10.127 | 86.390 | 49.034 | 0.882 | 150.180 | 2.600 |
| 3 | 24.853 | 5.887 | 8.124 | 79.635 | 41.803 | 1.885 | 134.180 | 1.000 |
| 4 | 22.409 | 6.150 | 10.127 | 90.637 | 49.012 | 1.465 | 165.151 | 2.600 |
| 5 | 22.253 | 6.242 | 10.224 | 89.629 | 51.432 | 1.116 | 164.163 | 2.700 |
| 6 | 21.897 | 8.981 | 10.905 | 113.527 | 54.998 | 3.498 | 210.278 | 3.400 |
| 7 | 21.765 | 4.964 | 10.418 | 75.062 | 51.485 | 1.418 | 120.154 | 2.900 |
| 8 | 19.641 | 5.464 | 11.877 | 81.130 | 59.114 | 0.634 | 135.168 | 4.400 |
| 9 | 20.134 | 8.274 | 11.987 | 104.830 | 55.110 | 3.102 | 196.251 | 3.700 |
| 10 | 21.123 | 7.334 | 10.613 | 93.141 | 51.822 | 1.682 | 163.222 | 3.100 |
| 11 | 21.621 | 6.295 | 10.613 | 86.857 | 52.012 | 1.165 | 150.180 | 3.100 |
| 12 | 22.189 | 6.809 | 10.921 | 88.062 | 50.123 | 2.352 | 148.207 | 2.800 |
| 13 | 22.342 | 6.851 | 10.321 | 90.339 | 50.975 | 2.209 | 199.050 | 2.800 |
| 14 | 23.321 | 6.809 | 9.543 | 89.427 | 46.187 | 2.352 | 148.207 | 2.000 |
| 15 | 18.112 | 7.858 | 12.112 | 106.143 | 64.065 | 0.586 | 206.201 | 5.400 |
| 16 | 20.153 | 11.211 | 11.293 | 141.222 | 56.098 | 2.833 | 270.330 | 3.800 |
| 17 | 22.097 | 7.002 | 10.321 | 94.703 | 50.234 | 1.507 | 164.207 | 2.800 |
| 18 | 22.250 | 6.295 | 10.224 | 86.695 | 50.465 | 1.165 | 150.180 | 2.700 |
| 19 | 24.851 | 7.858 | 8.571 | 107.858 | 41.119 | 0.586 | 206.201 | 1.000 |
| 20 | 20.261 | 11.836 | 11.488 | 139.502 | 57.913 | 3.182 | 289.336 | 4.000 |
| 21 | 22.551 | 6.041 | 10.030 | 86.680 | 49.446 | 0.882 | 150.180 | 2.500 |

Values of predicted activities PA2-PA8 are included in Table-3. Values of predicted activities are very close to the observed activities; hence, the predictive power of all above QSAR models is very good and can be utilized in the prediction of activity of any benzamidine compound. QSAR models in decreasing order of predictive powers are included in Table-4 alongwith the descriptors used to develop the model.

Graph-1: Graph between observed and predicted activities PA1 of benzamidines for thrombin inhibition


Graph-2: Graph between differences in observed activities and predicted activities PA1 of benzamidines for thrombin inhibition


QSAR models using single descriptor
QSAR model developed using molar refractivity possesses the value 0.983893 of correlation coefficient and its MLR equation is given by PA9 $=0.188668 *$ MR-6.7899
rCV^2=0.979374
$\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.983893$
Clearly, the descriptor molar refractivity alone is sufficient to predict the activity of any benzamidine compound and all the combinations in which molar refractivity is present form good QSAR models. Values of predicted activities PA9 of benzamidines are included in Table-3.

QSAR models developed using heat of formation and shape index separately have very good predictive powers. MLR equations of these models are given by-
PA10 $=-0.623281 * \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}+16.5595$
$\mathrm{rCV}^{\wedge} 2=0.968632$
$\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.973055$
and
PA11=0.961037*SI1-7.1196
rCV^2=0.868034
$\mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2=0.91362$

Table-3: Values of predicted activities PA1-PA11 of benzamidine compounds in terms of $\mathbf{p K} \mathbf{K}_{1}$

| E | $\underset{i}{E}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~L}}$ | $\underset{A}{ \pm}$ | $\frac{\pi}{4}$ | $\frac{10}{a}$ | $\frac{0}{a}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~L}}$ | $\frac{\infty}{\Delta}$ | $\frac{\partial}{a}$ | $\frac{\theta}{4}$ | $\underset{\sim}{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.685 | 2.682 | 2.682 | 2.685 | 2.675 | 2.677 | 2.688 | 2.687 | 2.809 | 2.505 | 2.528 |
| 2 | 2.530 | 2.516 | 2.516 | 2.518 | 2.510 | 2.510 | 2.516 | 2.515 | 2.461 | 2.592 | 2.613 |
| 3 | 0.997 | 1.031 | 1.032 | 1.026 | 1.073 | 1.028 | 1.062 | 1.063 | 1.097 | 1.069 | 0.688 |
| 4 | 2.512 | 2.510 | 2.508 | 2.514 | 2.494 | 2.508 | 2.508 | 2.508 | 2.457 | 2.592 | 2.613 |
| 5 | 2.835 | 2.825 | 2.824 | 2.826 | 2.821 | 2.818 | 2.829 | 2.828 | 2.914 | 2.690 | 2.706 |
| 6 | 3.311 | 3.324 | 3.331 | 3.324 | 3.312 | 3.335 | 3.303 | 3.307 | 3.586 | 2.912 | 3.360 |
| 7 | 2.951 | 2.968 | 2.969 | 2.967 | 2.970 | 2.947 | 2.972 | 2.973 | 2.924 | 2.994 | 2.893 |
| 8 | 4.405 | 4.394 | 4.394 | 4.394 | 4.384 | 4.368 | 4.391 | 4.390 | 4.363 | 4.318 | 4.294 |
| 9 | 3.797 | 3.813 | 3.811 | 3.813 | 3.785 | 3.817 | 3.774 | 3.778 | 3.608 | 4.010 | 4.400 |
| 10 | 3.131 | 3.146 | 3.146 | 3.141 | 3.170 | 3.144 | 3.157 | 3.158 | 2.987 | 3.394 | 3.080 |
| 11 | 3.065 | 3.060 | 3.061 | 3.059 | 3.061 | 3.050 | 3.060 | 3.059 | 3.023 | 3.084 | 3.080 |
| 12 | 2.735 | 2.736 | 2.737 | 2.733 | 2.708 | 2.729 | 2.692 | 2.695 | 2.667 | 2.730 | 3.376 |
| 13 | 2.745 | 2.758 | 2.744 | 2.755 | 2.760 | 2.752 | 2.750 | 2.749 | 2.827 | 2.634 | 2.800 |
| 14 | 1.928 | 1.950 | 1.953 | 1.947 | 1.959 | 1.950 | 1.948 | 1.951 | 1.924 | 2.024 | 2.052 |
| 15 | 5.297 | 5.289 | 5.287 | 5.288 | 5.319 | 5.282 | 5.330 | 5.326 | 5.297 | 5.271 | 4.520 |
| 16 | 3.844 | 3.841 | 3.848 | 3.848 | 3.840 | 3.881 | 3.851 | 3.852 | 3.794 | 3.999 | 3.734 |
| 17 | 2.731 | 2.726 | 2.729 | 2.726 | 2.724 | 2.726 | 2.725 | 2.725 | 2.688 | 2.787 | 2.800 |
| 18 | 2.726 | 2.720 | 2.720 | 2.718 | 2.722 | 2.711 | 2.721 | 2.720 | 2.731 | 2.692 | 2.706 |
| 19 | 1.000 | 0.953 | 0.952 | 0.959 | 0.943 | 0.979 | 0.962 | 0.956 | 0.968 | 1.070 | 1.118 |
| 20 | 4.037 | 4.034 | 4.034 | 4.033 | 4.048 | 4.069 | 4.034 | 4.034 | 4.136 | 3.931 | 3.921 |
| 21 | 2.538 | 2.524 | 2.525 | 2.525 | 2.521 | 2.517 | 2.528 | 2.526 | 2.539 | 2.504 | 2.519 |

Values of predicted activities PA10 and PA11 are shown in Table-3. Any combination of descriptors in which either heat of formation or shape index is present is capable to form good QSAR model.

Table-4: Values of cross-validation coefficient, regression coefficient and descriptors used in QSAR models

| Predicted <br> Activity | $\mathbf{r C V}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{r}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$ | Descriptors used in QSAR model |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| PA1 | 0.987299 | 0.994001 | heat of formation, shape index order 1, molar <br> refractivity and log P |
| PA2 | 0.983872 | 0.993822 | heat of formation, shape index order 1, molar <br> refractivity and solvent accessibility surface area <br> heat of formation, shape index order 1, molar <br> refractivity and molecular weight <br> heat of formation, shape index order 1, molar <br> refractivity and valence connectivity index order 0 <br> heat of formation, solvent accessibility surface area, <br> molar refractivity and valence connectivity index order <br> 0 |
| PA4 | 0.984591 | 0.993801 | 0.983912 |
| PA5 | 0.988729 | 0.993604 | heat of formation, shape index order 1 and molar <br> refractivity <br> heat of formation, solvent accessibility surface area and <br> molar refractivity <br> heat of formation, molar refractivity, molecular weight <br> and solvent accessibility surface area |
| PA7 | 0.986136 | 0.993561 | 0.993527 |
| PA8 | 0.989347 | 0.993523 | molar refractivity |
| PA9 | 0.979374 | 0.983893 | heat of formation |
| PA10 | 0.968632 | 0.973055 | hhape index order 1 <br> PA11 |
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