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#### Abstract

Refractive index measurement for the solutions of four derivatives of 2,3-Dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one is done using Abbe's refractometer. From the data of refractive index and density, molar refraction ( $R_{m}$ ) and polarizability constant ( $\alpha$ ) are calculated. The values of these parameters and their variations are used to explain interactions taking place in the solution.
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## INTRODUCTION

Refractive index is the useful physical characteristic of liquid by means of which pure compounds are identified and with which industrial processes are monitored and controlled[1]. The use of measurements of index of refraction as a quick, convenient, and accurate way to estimate densities of liquid mixtures has been reported[2-4]. The refractive index of liquids is a physical property so easily determined with accuracy that it has become a standard for their characterization[5]. Density and refractive index measurements are expected to shed some light on both solutesolute and solute-solvent interactions[6-8]. The refractometric technique is used to study the miscibility of polymer blend[9]. The use of molar refraction is proposed for the estimation of vapor pressure of pure hydrocarbons from $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{100}[10]$. Specific refractive index increments have been measured for solutions of neutral water-soluble polymer in binary solvents of formamide/water over the whole range of solvent composition[11]. Density and refraction index are two physical properties easy to measure and can be used to characterize an ionic liquid mixture[12]. Refractometric study is done by many workers[13-17] on different compounds.

The refractive index and the dipole polarizability are fundamental electroptical properties of matter[18]. The refractive index of a liquid can be easily determined to a high degree of accuracy. It is a characteristic property of a liquid. It is one of the important additives properties of liquid[19]. It varies with temperature and wavelength of light used. Generally, the D-line of sodium is used for standard measurement. Instruments used for measuring refractive indices are known as refractometers[20].

2,3-Dihydroquinazolin- $4(1 \mathrm{H})$-one derivatives are playing crucial role in the context of drug intermediates, biological and pharmaceutical applications[21-25]. They have drawn much more attention because of their activities such as antibacterial[26], diuretic[27], anticancer[28], antihyperlipidemic[29], antiparkinsonism[30], antimicrobial[31], antiinflammatory[32], bronchodilator[33], antihypertensive[34], antiproliferative[35] and antimitotic[36] activities.

Absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) and chemical reactivity-related toxicity are the important factors of drugs[37-39]. Most of the drugs are hydrophobic. This property of hydrophobicity would render drugs difficult to eliminate, since in the absence of metabolism, they would accumulate in fat and cellular phospholipid bilayers[40] in cells. These modern days there is an upsurge in topical formulations such that it can be prepared by varying physico-chemical properties and providing better localized action[41].

The present work deals with the study of molar refraction and polarizability constant of following compounds in non aqueous solvents ethanol and methanol (with different percentage).

$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{A}}: \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ 4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}: \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ 2-hydroxyphenyl
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{C}}: \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ 3-hydroxyphenyl
$L_{D}: R_{1}=$ 4-hydroxyphenyl
$\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ for all

Ligand $\mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{A}}\right)=$ 2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one
Ligand $\mathbf{B}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{B}}\right)=2$-(2-hydroxyphenyl) -2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one
Ligand $\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{C}}\right)=2$-(3-hydroxyphenyl) -2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one
Ligand $\mathbf{D}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{D}}\right)=2-(4$-hydroxyphenyl) -2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

The ligands of which physical parameters are to be explored are synthesized by using reported protocol[42]. The analytical grade solvents and freshly prepared doubly distilled water are used. The solutions of compounds under study are prepared in solvent ethanol and methanol by keeping constant ligand concentration system ( 0.01 M ). The density measurement is done using a specific gravity bottle. All the weights are taken on one pan digital balance (petit balance AD-50B) with an accuracy of $\pm 0.001 \mathrm{gm}$. The refractive indices are measured by Abbe's refractometer at $27 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The accuracy of Abbe's refractometer is within $\pm 0.001$ units. The constant temperature of the prism box is maintained by circulating water from thermostat at $27 \mathrm{C} \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Refractometer is calibrated by using glass test piece of known refractive index supplied with the instrument.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It is often desirable to know the refractive index of a solute. This index can be derived from the refractive indices of solution and solvent on using a suitable mixture rule[43]. The molar refraction of solvent, solution can be determined by following equation[44].
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {DMF-W }}=\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{1}+\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}$
Where, $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ are molar refractions of solvent and water respectively.
The molar refraction[45-47] of solutions of ligand in solvent -water mixtures are determined from-
$R_{M i x}=\frac{\left(n^{2}-1\right)}{\left(n^{2}+2\right)}+\left\{\frac{\left[X_{1} M_{1}+X_{2} M_{2}+X_{3} M_{3}\right]}{d}\right\}$
Where,
$n$ is the refractive index of solution, $d$ is the density of solution, $X_{1}$ is mole fraction of solvent, $X_{2}$ is mole fraction of water and $X_{3}$ is mole fraction of solute, $\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ are molecular weights of solvent, water and solute respectively.

The molar refraction of ligand can be calculated as -
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {lig }}=\mathrm{R}_{\text {Mix }}-\mathrm{R}_{\text {DMF-w }}$

The polarizability constant ( $\alpha$ )[48-49] of ligand can be calculated from following relation-
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {lig }}=4 / 3 \pi \mathrm{No} \alpha$
Where, No is Avogadro's number.

Table 1: Values of molar refraction of different composition of solvents

| \% of <br> sol vent mixture | Molar Refraction [R] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ethanol | Methanol |
| 20 | 12.5745 | 7.8523 |
| 40 | 11.6219 | 6.9111 |
| 60 | 10.1420 | 5.7181 |
| 80 | 7.9156 | 4.1420 |
| 100 | 4.2315 | 7.8523 |

Table 2: The values of refractive index (n) and density ( $\mathbf{d}$ ) of $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 M}$ solution of ligand in different composition of Ethanol and Methanol solvent at 300 K

| Composition in \% \% | Lefractive index (n) |  |  |  |  | Density (d) $\mathbf{g m} / \mathbf{c m}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ethanol | Methanol <br> Dioxane | Ethanol | Methanol <br> Dioxane |  |  |
|  | 1.348 | 1.346 | 0.9621 | 1.0216 |  |  |
|  | 1.358 | 1.348 | 0.9928 | 1.0227 |  |  |
|  | 1.362 | 1.358 | 0.9909 | 1.0396 |  |  |
|  | 1.366 | 1.361 | 0.9567 | 0.9510 |  |  |
| 100 | 1.367 | 1.379 | 0.9624 | 0.9161 |  |  |
| Ligand ( $\left.\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{B}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1.346 | 1.340 | 0.9706 | 0.9893 |  |  |
| 40 | 1.356 | 1.345 | 0.9849 | 1.0081 |  |  |
| 60 | 1.362 | 1.346 | 1.0065 | 1.0298 |  |  |
| 80 | 1.366 | 1.347 | 0.9850 | 1.0191 |  |  |
| 100 | 1.368 | 1.348 | 0.9953 | 0.9261 |  |  |
| Ligand ( $\left.\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{C}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1.345 | 1.341 | 0.9574 | 1.0222 |  |  |
| 40 | 1.355 | 1.344 | 1.0093 | 1.0317 |  |  |
| 60 | 1.366 | 1.345 | 0.9950 | 1.0357 |  |  |
| 80 | 1.365 | 1.347 | 0.9652 | 0.9863 |  |  |
| 100 | 1.367 | 1.349 | 0.9730 | 0.9373 |  |  |
| Ligand ( $\left.\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{D}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1.347 | 1.343 | 0.9601 | 1.0281 |  |  |
| 40 | 1.356 | 1.345 | 0.9952 | 1.0309 |  |  |
| 60 | 1.362 | 1.346 | 0.9866 | 1.0458 |  |  |
| 80 | 1.365 | 1.348 | 0.9572 | 0.9849 |  |  |
| 100 | 1.366 | 1.351 | 0.9705 | 0.9203 |  |  |

The data of density and refractive index measurement is presented in table no 02 . Using equation no 01 to 04 calculations are made to determine the values of molar refraction and polarizability constant and are presented in table no 03. The experimental data of refractive index at the temperature $T=300 \mathrm{~K}$ is presented here. The experimental data shows that generally refractive index increases as the percentage composition of organic solvent component in the binary mixture increase. This is an indication of the fact that refractive index is correlated with the interactions occurring in the solution under study.

The graphs are plotted using percentage of solvent versus molar refraction $\left(R_{m}\right)$. In all the graphs, it is found that molar refraction increases linearly with the increasing percentage composition of organic solvent component in the binary mixture. Molar refraction is related to the internal forces among the constituents of a liquid mixture. Similarly, polarizability constant increases in the same manner as that of molar refraction suggesting the validity of equation no 04 . The polarizability of a molecule can be obtained by summing up the contributions of a variety of atoms and/or functional groups in the molecule. Here is the reasoning: molar refraction $\left(R_{m}\right)$ is found to be an additive property, polarizability is related to molar refraction by the Lorentz-Lorenz equation and therefore polarizability should be an additive property.

Table 3: The values of molar refraction ( Rm ), polarzability constant ( $\alpha$ ) of 0.01 M solution of ligand indifferent composition of Ethanol and Methanol solvent at 300 K .

| Composition in \% | Molar refraction (Rm) $\times 10^{3}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ |  | Polarizability constant ( $\alpha$ ) $\times 10^{-23}$$\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ethanol | Methanol | Ethanol | Methanol |
| Ligand ( $\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 43.8168 | 40.7415 | 1.7376 | 1.6156 |
| 40 | 48.5356 | 45.5392 | 1.9247 | 1.8059 |
| 60 | 51.0888 | 47.7801 | 2.0260 | 1.8948 |
| 80 | 54.5364 | 53.7012 | 2.1627 | 2.1296 |
| 100 | 55.0286 | 58.9490 | 2.1822 | 2.3377 |
| Ligand ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 43.2099 | 41.4124 | 1.7135 | 1.6422 |
| 40 | 48.6780 | 45.8398 | 1.9304 | 1.8178 |
| 60 | 50.2946 | 46.7768 | 1.9945 | 1.8550 |
| 80 | 52.9697 | 48.3594 | 2.1006 | 1.9177 |
| 100 | 53.3430 | 54.0126 | 2.1154 | 2.1419 |
| Ligand ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 43.6931 | 40.1841 | 1.7327 | 1.5935 |
| 40 | 47.3826 | 44.6718 | 1.8790 | 1.7715 |
| 60 | 51.3820 | 46.3899 | 2.0376 | 1.8396 |
| 80 | 53.9233 | 49.9668 | 2.1384 | 1.9815 |
| 100 | 54.4317 | 53.5093 | 2.1585 | 2.1220 |
| Ligand ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 49.3356 | 45.2465 | 1.9565 | 1.7943 |
| 40 | 54.3347 | 50.5558 | 2.1547 | 2.0048 |
| 60 | 57.8931 | 51.9700 | 2.2958 | 2.0609 |
| 80 | 61.3628 | 56.6125 | 2.4334 | 2.2450 |
| 100 | 61.4381 | 61.8221 | 2.4364 | 2.4516 |

The increase in the value of polarizability constant as well as molar refraction with increase in percent composition of organic solvent part can be attributed to dispersion force. It is the force molecular force which arises from the temporary dipole moment.




Fig. 1 to 5: Graphical representation of molar refraction ( $\mathbf{R m}$ ) versus change in Ethanol solvent percentage at constant (0.01M) ligand concentration




Fig. 6 to 10: Graphical representation of molar refraction (Rm) versus change in Methanol solvent percentage at constant (0.01M) ligand concentration
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