Mass transfer coefficient effect on vacuum drying process of porous medium modeling
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ABSTRACT

As the basic unit operation in chemical industry, drying is the key process in chemical, food and other related industry process. A lot of modeling methods and simulation technology has been used to reveal the heat and mass transfer process. The parameters of modeling are very important because the simulation results are decided by them. The mass transfer coefficient is condition boundary that is very difficult to gotten. Based on the theory of heat and mass transfer, a coupled model for the porous medium vacuum drying process is constructed. The model is implemented and solved using COMSOL software. The parameter sensitivity analyses of mass transfer coefficient were then examined. The temperature, pressure and moisture characteristics were shown.
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INTRODUCTION

As the basic unit operation in chemical industry, drying is the key process in chemical, food and other related industry process. The corn drying is used the air drying usually, but the vacuum drying has been used to corn in china [1–3]. Hypothesized the corn as the porous medium, the vacuum drying of corn is a complicated heat and mass transfer process. It has been the subject of intensive research [4–7]. A lot of modeling methods and simulation technology has been used to reveal the heat and mass transfer process [8-16]. As the porous medium, the heat and mass transfer in vacuum drying process has been studied with non-equilibrium method by us [17, 18]. In fact, the parameters of modeling are very important because the simulation results are decided by them. The mass transfer coefficient is condition boundary that is very difficult to gotten. But most of the modeling is gotten by the reference [8-11]. It must be clarify the impact of model parameters on the model predictions [19].

In this paper, heat and mass transfer of porous medium in the vacuum drying process is implemented by using a non-equilibrium method. The parameter sensitivity analyses of mass transfer coefficient were then examined.
EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

A physical one-dimensional (1D) model that explains the drying process is shown in Fig. 1. The heat and mass transfer is considered only in the y direction. The heat transfer is through the bottom surface of medium and mass transfer is through the top surface of medium. The total height of the porous medium is 1 cm.

Assumption

The porous medium consists of a continuous rigid solid phase, an incompressible liquid phase (free water), and a continuous gas phase that is assumed to be a perfect mixture of vapor and dry air, considered as ideal gases. For a mathematical description of the transport phenomenon in a porous medium, we adopt a continuum approach, wherein macroscopic partial differential equations are achieved through the volume averaging of the microscopic conservation laws. The value of any physical quantity at a point in space is given by its average value on the averaging volume centered at this point.

The moisture movement of the inner porous medium is liquid water and vapor movement; that is, the liquid water could become vapor, and the vapor and liquid water are moved by the pressure gradient. The heat and mass transfer theory could be found in everywhere [8].

![Figure 1. 1D model of porous medium with cortex](image)

The compressibility effects of the liquid phase are negligible, and the phase is homogeneous:

$$\bar{\rho}_w = \text{cste}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The solid phase is rigid and homogeneous:

$$\bar{\rho}_s = \text{cste}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The gaseous phase is considered an ideal gas. This phase ensures that

$$\bar{\rho}_u = \frac{m_u P_u}{RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\bar{\rho}_v = \frac{m_v P_v}{RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$\bar{P}_g = \bar{P}_u + \bar{P}_v$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$\bar{\rho}_g = \bar{\rho}_u + \bar{\rho}_v$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The assumption of the local thermal equilibrium between the solid, gas, and liquid phases involves

$$\bar{T}_s = \bar{T}_g = \bar{T}_w = \bar{T}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
GOVERNING EQUATIONS

Mass balance equation

Mass conservation equations are written for each component in each phase. Given that the solid phase is rigid, the following is given:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho_s}{\partial t} = 0
\]

The averaged mass conservation of the dry air yields

\[
\frac{\partial (\varepsilon \cdot S_g \bar{p}_s)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\bar{p}_s \bar{V}_s) = 0
\]

For vapor,

\[
\frac{\partial (\varepsilon \cdot S_g \bar{p}_v)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\bar{p}_v \bar{V}_v) = I
\]

For free water,

\[
\frac{\partial (\varepsilon \cdot S_g \bar{p}_w)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\bar{p}_w \bar{V}_w) = -I
\]

For water, the general equation of mass conservation is obtained from the sum of the conservation equations of vapor (v) and free water (l). The general equation is written as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{p}_s} (\bar{p}_s \bar{V}_s + \varepsilon \cdot S_g \bar{V}_v) \right\} = 0
\]

\[
W = \frac{\varepsilon \cdot S_g \bar{p}_v + \varepsilon \cdot S_g \bar{p}_v}{(1 - \varepsilon) \bar{p}_s}
\]

For the Darcy flow of vapor,

\[
\bar{p}_s \bar{V}_v = \bar{p}_g \bar{V}_g - \bar{p}_s \bar{D}_{eff} \cdot \nabla \bar{w}
\]

For the Darcy flow of air,

\[
\bar{p}_s \bar{V}_v = \bar{p}_g \bar{V}_g + \bar{p}_s \bar{D}_{eff} \cdot \nabla \bar{w}
\]

The vapor fraction in mixed gas is given by

\[
\bar{w} = \frac{\rho_v}{\rho_g}
\]

The saturation of free water and gas is

\[
S_g + S_w = 1
\]

Momentum balance equation

Where the gas and free water velocity is given by

\[
\bar{V}_g = -\frac{k_{m,g} \cdot k_{r,g}}{\mu_g} (\nabla \bar{p}_g - \rho_g \bar{g})
\]

\[
\bar{V}_w = -\frac{k_{m,w} \cdot k_{r,w}}{\mu_w} (\nabla \bar{p}_w - \rho_w \bar{g})
\]
The pressure moving the free water is given by
\[ \bar{p}_w = \bar{p}_w - \bar{p}_c \]  

(20)

Energy balance equation

By considering the hypothesis of the local thermal equilibrium, the energy conservation is reduced to a unique equation:
\[ \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\bar{\rho}_w \mathbf{v}_w \bar{T}_w + \bar{\rho}_v \mathbf{v}_v \bar{T}_v + \bar{\rho}_a \mathbf{v}_a \bar{T}_a) = \nabla (k_e \nabla \bar{T}) - \lambda \cdot \mathbf{l} \]  

(21)

\[ k_e = (1 - \epsilon) k_v + \epsilon \left( S_w + S_a \left( \omega k_v + (1 - \omega) k_a \right) \right) \]  

(22)

\[ \bar{p} \bar{T} = \bar{p}_v \bar{T}_v + \epsilon \cdot S_w \bar{p}_w \bar{T}_w + \epsilon \cdot S_a \bar{p}_a \bar{T}_w \]  

(23)

Boundary and Initial Conditions

The model was run for different parameters. It was heated from the bottom, and the air and vapor was escaped from the top surface. The other boundaries of the model are insulated and impermeable. The boundary conditions are then given as:

B.C. for Eq. (9): \[ \rho_{a, top} = \rho_{a, dryer} \]  

(24)

B.C. for Eq. (10) [15]: \[ n_{v, top} = -h_m \epsilon S_w (\rho_{v, top} - \rho_{v, dryer}) \]  

(25)

B.C. for Eq. (15): \[ n_{w, top} = -h_m \epsilon S_w (\rho_{v, top} - \rho_{v, dryer}) \]  

(27)

B.C. for Eq. (21) in bottom: \[ T_{bottom} = T_h \]  

(29)

B.C. for Eq. (21) in top: \[ q_{top} = h(T_{ext} - \bar{T}) + (\lambda + C_w \bar{T}) n_{w, top} + C_v \bar{T} n_{v, top} \]  

(30)

The initial moisture of the porous medium is represented by the liquid water saturation. And other initial condition is as follows.

I.C. for Eq. (9): \[ \rho_a = \rho_{a,0} = \frac{P_{amb,0}M_a}{RT_0} \]  

(31)

I.C. for Eq. (10): \[ \rho_v = \rho_{v,0} = \frac{P_{amb,0}M_v}{RT_0} \]  

(32)

I.C. for Eq. (11): \[ S_w = S_{w,0} \]  

(33)

I.C. for Eq. (21):
The detail value of boundary and initial condition is show in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial temperature</td>
<td>$T_0$</td>
<td>273+25</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial air pressure</td>
<td>$P_{\text{air},0}$</td>
<td>101325</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial saturation</td>
<td>$S_{w,0}$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor pressure of dryer</td>
<td>$P_{v,\text{dry}}$</td>
<td>2720</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air pressure of dryer</td>
<td>$P_{a,\text{dry}}$</td>
<td>$\begin{cases} 101325 - 1700t - 2720 &amp; t &lt; 58s \ 101325 - 1700(58s) &amp; t \geq 58s \end{cases}$</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature of dryer</td>
<td>$T_{\text{ext}}$</td>
<td>273+28</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NUMERICAL SOLUTION**

A two-dimensional (2D) grid was used to solve the equations using COMSOL Multiphysics 3.5a. Given the symmetry condition setting at the left and the right sides, the 2D is applied to the 1D model shown in Fig. 1. The mesh consists of 2 × 100 elements (2D), and time stepping is 0.1 (0 s to 10 s of solution), 1 (10 s to 100 s of solution), 10 (100 s to 10000 s of solution), 20 (10000 s to 20000 s of solution), 50 (20000 s to 20000 s of solution). Several grid sensitivity tests were conducted to determine the sufficiency of the mesh scheme and to ensure that the results are grid-independent. The maximum element size was established as $1e^{-4}$. A backward differentiation formula was used to solve time-dependent variables. Relative tolerance was set to $1e^{-6}$, whereas absolute tolerance was set to $1e^{-6}$. The simulations were performed using a Tongfang PC with Intel Core 2 Duo processor with 3.0 GHz processing speed, and 4096 MB of RAM running Windows 7.

**INPUT PARAMETER**

The parameter is listed in Table 2. The most parameter is gotten from the reference. The Intrinsic permeability is input for four groups in order to study the sensitivity.
Table 2. Parameters used in the simulation process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>$\rho_i$</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>$\rho_v$</td>
<td>Ideal gas</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor</td>
<td>$\rho_a$</td>
<td>Ideal gas</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>$\rho_s$</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat capacity</td>
<td>$C_W$</td>
<td>4187</td>
<td>J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>$C_V$</td>
<td>1840</td>
<td>J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor</td>
<td>$C_A$</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>$C_S$</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[8,12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity</td>
<td>$k_w$</td>
<td>W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[15]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>$k_v$</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor</td>
<td>$k_a$</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>$k_s$</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic permeability</td>
<td>$k_{in,w}$</td>
<td>4x10$^{-13}$</td>
<td>m$^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>$k_{in,g}$</td>
<td>4x10$^{-13}$</td>
<td>m$^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative permeability</td>
<td>$k_r,l$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>$k_r,g$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity</td>
<td>$\mu_l$</td>
<td>0.988x10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>Pa s</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>$\mu_g$</td>
<td>1.8x10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>Pa s</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat transfer coefficient</td>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>W m$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass transfer coefficient</td>
<td>$h_m$</td>
<td>0.01, 0.1, 1, 10 m s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[15]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent heat of vaporization</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>2.26x10$^6$ J kg$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[15]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td>$\epsilon$</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>m$^2$</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective diffusivity of gas</td>
<td>$D_{eff}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>m$^2$s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capillarity Pressure</td>
<td>$P_c$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Saturation of free water</td>
<td>$S_f$</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bound water diffusivity</td>
<td>$D_b$</td>
<td></td>
<td>m$^2$s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molar mass of air</td>
<td>$M_a$</td>
<td>29x10$^3$</td>
<td>kg mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molar mass of air</td>
<td>$M_v$</td>
<td>18x10$^3$</td>
<td>kg mol$^{-1}$</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The moisture curve of different mass transfer coefficient is shown in Figure1. The mass transfer coefficient $h_m = 10, 1,$ and 0.1 m s$^{-1}$ is similar in moisture curve. It just has a little different. It is shown that the mass transfer coefficient has
little effect on drying process; the drying process is controlled by inner heat and mass transfer. But when \( h_m = 0.01 \), the moisture curve is has larger different. It is shown that the drying process is controlled by outside condition. Compared the all moisture curve, the drying time is almost same including \( h_m = 0.01 \). It is shown that the drying process is controlled by inner process during later drying process when \( h_m = 0.01 \). The effect of mass transfer coefficient on moisture is so obviously.

![Figure 2. Moisture curve (d. b.) of different intrinsic permeability](image)

The temperature curve of different mass transfer coefficient at different height (5mm, 7.5mm and 10 mm) was shown in Figure 3. Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6. When \( h_m = 10, 1, \) and \( 0.1 \text{ m s}^{-1} \), the temperature curve is almost the same. But the obviously the \( h_m = 0.01 \) is very different.

![Figure 3. Temperature curve of different position with \( h_m = 10 \) (height of 5mm, 7.5 mm and 10mm)](image)
Figure 4. Temperature curve of different position with $h_m = 1$ (height of 5mm, 7.5 mm and 10mm)

Figure 5. Temperature curve of different position with $h_m = 0.1$ (height of 5mm, 7.5 mm and 10mm)

Figure 6. Temperature curve of different position with $h_m = 0.01$ (height of 5mm, 7.5 mm and 10mm)
In All Figures, the temperature is lift up and maintain some time, and then slowly down. At last, the temperature is slowly lift up and gotten the end temperature. But when, \( h_m = 0.01 \), the temperature is just lift up and maintain long time, and then lift up until gotten the end temperate.

CONCLUSION

A coupled model of porous medium vacuum drying with cortex effect based on the theory of heat and mass transfer was implemented in this paper. The parameter sensitivity analyses of mass transfer coefficient were then examined. The moisture and temperature characteristic is gotten. The results are shown that mass transfer coefficient has obviously effect on drying process. It would be affect the mass and heat transfer, and then the temperature curve is shown obviously different. The results has some meaningful for vacuum drying of food and chemical material for heat sensitivity.

Nomenclature

- \( B \): diagonal tensor
- \( D \): diffusivity (m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\))
- \( D_{\text{eff}} \): diffusion tensor (m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\))
- \( g \): gravity vector (m s\(^{-2}\))
- \( \dot{h} \): intrinsic averaged enthalpy (J kg\(^{-1}\))
- \( I \): water phase rate (kg s\(^{-1}\)m\(^{-3}\))
- \( k \): intrinsic permeability (m\(^2\))
- \( k_r \): relative permeability
- \( m \): mass (kg)
- \( n \): outer unit normal to the product
- \( P \): pressure (Pa)
- \( P_c \): capillary pressure (Pa)
- \( R \): universal Gas constant (J kmol\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\))
- \( S \): saturation
- \( t \): time (s)
- \( T \): temperature (K)
- \( W \): moisture content (in dry basis)

Greek letters

- \( \Delta H \): latent of phase change (J kg\(^{-1}\))
- \( \lambda_{\text{eff}} \): effective thermal conductivity tensor (W m\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\))
- \( \mu \): viscosity (kg m\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\))
- \( \rho \): density (kg m\(^{-3}\))
- \( \omega \): vapor fraction

Subscripts

- \( a \): dry air
- \( g \): gas
- \( w \): water
- \( s \): solid
- \( v \): vapor
- \( \text{sat} \): vapor saturation
- \( \text{in} \): intrinsic
- \( r \): relative

Mathematical operators

- \( \Delta \): gradient operator
- \( \nabla \cdot \): divergence operator
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