Anti-biofilm activities of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* against *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923
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ABSTRACT

*Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 could form biofilm in the medium containing glucose (1%) and K₂HPO₄ (0.1%). When *Lactobacillus* was incubated with planktonic *S. aureus* at the same time, *Lactobacillus* formed biofilm together with *S. aureus* and reduce biofilm formation about 15% on polystyrene surface of 96 well plates, consequently. However, if *Lactobacillus* was added into *S. aureus* biofilm, *Lactobacillus* could reduce approximately 83% *S. aureus* biofilm. Therefore, the study warned us to be careful when use *Lactobacillus* in inhibition of *S. aureus* biofilm in order to eliminate biofilm effectively.
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INTRODUCTION

*Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) belongs to a gram-positive group with a cocci shape. It was found in grape-like clusters. Frequently, *S. aureus* is mostly found in the anterior nares in humans which are the favorable conditions for maintenance and infection. Especially, *S. aureus* has characteristic of biofilm formation. When *S. aureus* enters into the circulatory system, it avoids the detection by immune system, binds to a specific surface including infection area and forms biofilm to survive in host [1]. Moreover, its biofilm can be created on both biotic and abiotic surface; so, *S. aureus* shows resistance to antibiotics that becomes a problem in treatment [2-7]. Some infectious diseases related to the *S. aureus* biofilm formation were arthritis, endocarditis, and cystic fibrosis [8-10].

Bacteria could have characteristics to form biofilm. The extracellular polymeric matrix is made from the combination of exopolysaccharides, proteins, teichoic acids, enzymes, and extracellular DNA [11-12]. Regarding to previous studies, the matrix’s structure is changed from strains to strains due to the environment and the conditions [13-14]. Biofilm may have a 3-dimension structure, but sometimes a single layer only [15-16]. By living in community, biofilms have various benefits and advantages from its parts and one of them is resistant to the immune system and antibiotics.

Nowadays, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* belongs to GRAS group showing antimicrobial activities. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* is gram-positive bacteria naturally living in human and animal digestive system. *Lactobacillus* is also used in dairy products including milk, yogurt… in combination with other microbes. *Lactobacillus* has potential to be used as an antibiotic medicine and a drug deliver [17].

As the above statement, the aim of the study of *Lactobacillus* was to know whether *Lactobacillus* inhibit *Staphylococcus* biofilm or not.
The adding Lactobacillus acidophilus into S. aureus biofilm formation assay

One colony of Staphylococcus aureus was inoculated in 5 mL of LB broth and cultured at 37°C for 18 to 20 hours to obtain a culture with 10^8 CFU/mL. The bacterial culture was diluted according to a ratio (1:100) with LB containing glucose (1%) and K_2HPO_4 (0.1%). 180 µL of diluted bacterial culture was added into the wells of 96-well plate. Add 20 µL (10^7 CFU/mL) of L. acidophilus to obtain 10^8 CFU/mL in each well containing S. aureus. 200 µL of bacteria in NaCl (0.9%) was put into other wells to be used as a control. Incubate the microliter plates at 37°C for 24 hours. After incubation, the broth in each well of the plate was discarded; then, the wells were washed three times with 200µl of sterile phosphate buffer saline, pH 7.4 (PBS). The wells were heated at 60°C for 60 minutes [19]. The wells were stained with 50µl of 0.1% crystal violet for 10 to 15 minutes at room temperature. The crystal violet was removed by micropipette; then, the wells were washed with 200 µL of sterile distilled water for three times to remove the excess crystal violet solution. The plate was dried at room temperature before adding 200 µL of 95% ethanol. The plate was incubated for 15 to 20 minutes at room temperature with gently agitation and measured the OD with the wavelength at 630nm. The assay was performed three times.

Evaluation of Lactobacillus acidophilus in S. aureus biofilm preformation

One colony of S. aureus was inoculated in 5 mL of LB broth and cultured at 37°C for 18 to 20 hours to obtain a culture with 10^8 CFU/mL. The bacterial culture was diluted according to a ratio (1:100) with LB containing glucose (1%) and K_2HPO_4 (0.1%). 180 µL of diluted bacterial culture was added into the wells of 96-well plate. Add 20 µL (10^7 CFU/mL) of L. acidophilus to obtain 10^8 CFU/mL in each well containing S. aureus. The bacteria were diluted at 1:100 with appropriate medium: (1) LB, (2) LB with 1% of glucose, (3) LB with 1% glucose and 0.1% of K_2HPO_4, (4) LB with 0.1% of K_2HPO_4, (5) LB with 0.1% of Na_2HPO_4. One colony of S. aureus was inoculated in 5 mL of LB broth and cultured at 37°C for 18 to 20 hours to obtain a culture with 10^8 CFU/mL. The bacterial culture was diluted according to a ratio (1:100) with LB containing glucose (1%) and K_2HPO_4 (0.1%). 180 µL of diluted bacterial culture was added into the wells of 96-well plate. Add 20 µL (10^7 CFU/mL) of L. acidophilus to obtain 10^8 CFU/mL in each well containing S. aureus. 200 µL of bacteria in NaCl (0.9%) was put into other wells to be used as a control. Incubate the microliter plates at 37°C in 24 hours.

After incubation, the plate was inverted and the remaining medium in the wells was removed. Wash the wells with PBS pH 7.4 for 3 times. Put the 96-well plate in the incubator at 60°C for 60 minutes to remain the attachment of the bacteria by being heat-fixed. After incubation, add 50µL of crystal violet solution (0.1%) to each well and keep it for 10 to 15 minutes at room temperature. Then, the wells were washed with distilled water for two or three times to remove the excessive crystal violet solution. Add 200 µL of absolute ethanol to each well, and incubate for 15 to 20 minutes at room temperature with gently agitation. Measure OD of the 96-well plate with the wavelength at 630 nm. The assay was performed three times.

Adding Lactobacillus acidophilus into S. aureus biofilm

The S. aureus biofilm was formed in 96-well plate at 37°C in LB medium with glucose (1%) and K_2HPO_4 (0.1%) for 24 hours with the method above. The bacterial biofilm attached at the bottom of the plate was treated with (10^7, 10^8 CFU/mL) L. acidophilus at 37°C for addition 24 hours. Measure the absorbance of biofilm before and after treated. The percentage of biofilm disruption was calculated.

Analysis

SPSS 16 version and Excel 2007 were used to analyze collected data. Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Staphylococcus aureus biofilm formation

S. aureus was cultured in five different growth media (Table 1) and time of incubation (24, 48 and 72 hours) to determine these influences on biofilm formation on polypropylene 96-well plate. The absorbance of biofilm formation was observed by spectrophotometer at wavelength 630 nm at different times and media (Table 1).

Table 1 represented the formation of biofilm of two S. aureus strains in 24, 48 and 72 hours in different medium. From table 1, bacterium produced the highest amount of biofilm at 24 hours and in LB containing glucose and K_2HPO_4.
K$_2$HPO$_4$. This supplemented medium stimulated the biofilm formation while LB medium didn’t support for biofilm formation due to the less substrate for biofilm formation, probably. Actually, LB medium is only a nitrogen source. Based on the general characteristics relating to biofilm producing capacity [20, 21], the environmental factors including temperature, pH, nutrient and glucose affect the biofilm formation [22]. From table 1, K$_2$HPO$_4$ and Na$_2$HPO$_4$ didn’t strongly influence on biofilm production but glucose showed the biofilm production, suggesting that the plastic device used to contain glucose for *S. aureus* infecting patients should be careful. In addition, LB containing glucose (1%) and K$_2$HPO$_4$ (0.1%) caused *S. aureus* to form the highest biofilm. It was meant that biofilm was formed in the existence of glucose and K$_2$HPO$_4$ that modified reaction together. With these results, LB containing glucose and K$_2$HPO$_4$ was selected to produce biofilm for study.

### Table 1: Absorbance of *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25329 at wavelength 630nm after incubated 24, 48 and 72 hours in different medium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (hours)</th>
<th>LB</th>
<th>LB + glucose</th>
<th>LB + glucose + K$_2$HPO$_4$</th>
<th>LB + K$_2$HPO$_4$</th>
<th>LB + Na$_2$HPO$_4$</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.18</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.13 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.15</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.05</td>
<td>4.58 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.10</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.10</td>
<td>4.85 ± 0.05</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Biofilm morphology study**

After incubated in 96-well plate, the biofilm of both *S. aureus* strains were observed under light microscope (Fig 2) to check the bacterial morphology. *S. aureus* illustrated the *S. aureus* biofilm under scanning electron microscope (SEM) after fixation and dehydration. As shown in fig. 2, the cells were covered by a layer that was thought as extra-polysaccharide produced by *S. aureus*. Glucose and K$_2$HPO$_4$ involved in extra-polysaccharide via some genes.

![Figure 2: Representative *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm observed under light microscope (A); Scanning Electron Microscope (B) x 5000 times](image)

**Evaluation of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* in *S. aureus* biofilm preformation**

In order to clearly understand the effects of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* in *S. aureus* biofilm preformation, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* was incubated together with *S. aureus*. After measuring the absorbance, *L. acidophilus* reduced biofilm formation insignificantly (Table 2).

### Table 2: Absorbance of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm containing *L. acidophilus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of <em>L. acidophilus</em> (CFU/mL)</th>
<th>OD of biofilm before treatment</th>
<th>OD biofilm after treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10$^7$</td>
<td>3.08±0.09</td>
<td>2.70±0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10$^8$</td>
<td>3.14±0.11</td>
<td>2.85±0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage of untreated biofilm was measured approximately 86-88%. Probably, *L. acidophilus* could inhibit *S. aureus* at the first stage and then produce biofilm in mixture of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *S. aureus* by microscope analysis (Fig. 2). The results warned us it will be carefully considered to use *Lactobacillus acidophilus* to preserve food contained in plastic bags as well as medical plastic tools and so on.

As that stated situation, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* was used to treat *S. aureus* after *S. aureus* formed biofilm. The percentage of untreated biofilm was measured approximately 53-61% (Table 3). From results as showed in table 2, table 3, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* could form biofilm in *S. aureus* planktonic cells due to they could produce some extracellular compounds that communicated together leading *Lactobacillus acidophilus* produced biofilm more than *Lactobacillus acidophilus* only.
CONCLUSION

Obviously, although *Lactobacillus acidophilus* belongs to general recognition of safety (GRAS) group that was used as probiotic, the dose of this bacterium and the way of use in biofilm treatment should be considered well to have the best treatment for human life.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of <em>L. acidophilus</em> (CFU/mL)</th>
<th>OD of biofilm before treatment</th>
<th>OD of biofilm after treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10^7</td>
<td>3.23±0.41</td>
<td>1.98±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10^8</td>
<td>3.72±0.17</td>
<td>2.15±0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>