Available online www.jocpr.com

Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2015, 7(10):368-378



Review Article

ISSN: 0975-7384 CODEN(USA): JCPRC5

Analytical method for determination of proton pump inhibitors in bulk and in different dosage forms

Rashmi R. Yadav, Darshil B. Shah* and Dilip G. Maheshwari

L. J. Institute of Pharmacy, Nr. Sanand Cross Roads, Sarkhej-Gandhinagar Highway, Ahmedabad Gujarat, India

ABSTRACT

Proton pump inhibitor is very effectively used in gastric disorder for reducing acid secretion. They very potent in nature and used only after therapy with histamine-2 (H2) receptor antagonists, known as H2 blockers, have been unsuccessful for symptoms of reflux. PPIs are inactivated by exposure to gastric acid, due to rapid degradation of these drugs in acidic and aqueous media, it is challenging to develop analytical method where in stability of drug is least hampered. This review entitles different methods developed for determination of PPIs like UV-Spectroscopy, liquid Chromatography and LC-MS.

Key words: Proton pump inhibitor (PPI), UV-Spectroscopy, High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), H2 receptor antagonists, Liquid Chromatography and Mass Spectroscopy (LCMS)

INTRODUCTION

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) inhibit the parietal cells in the lining of the stomach from producing too much acid by irreversibly binding to and inhibiting the hydrogenpotassium adenosine triphosphate (H+/K+ ATPase) enzyme system, otherwise known as the "proton pump"[1]

They are most potent inhibitors of acid secretion available that work by reducing the amount of stomach acid made by glands in the lining of your stomach. The most of these drugs are Benzimidazole derivatives, but new research indicates the Imidazopyridine derivatives may be a more effective means of treatment. These drugs are used in the treatment of many conditions, such as: Peptic ulcer disease, Dyspepsia, Laryngopharyngeal reflux, Gastro esophageal reflux disease (GERD) [1]

The proton pump is responsible for secreting H+ ions into the gastric lumen and thus PPIs target on gastric proton pump for inhibiting the acid secretion. Proton pump inhibitors irreversibly block the hydrogen/ potassium adenosine triphosphatase enzyme system (H+/K+ ATPase) of the gastric parietal cells. They are significantly more effective than H2 antagonists and reduce gastric acid secretion by up to 99%.

PPIs are inactivated by exposure to gastric acid, and are generally administered as enteric-coated tablets or capsules that pass through the stomach intact, and are absorbed in the proximal part of the small intestine. [2]

PPIs have a relatively short plasma half-life (approximately 1-2 hours). However, their duration of action is much longer because of their unique mechanism of action. PPIs are lipophilic weak bases that cross the parietal cell membrane, and enter the acidic parietal cell canaliculus. In this acidic environment, the PPI becomes protonated, producing the activated sulphenamide form of the drug that binds covalently with the H+/K+ ATPase enzyme, which results in irreversible inhibition of acid secretion by the proton pump. The parietal cell must then produce new proton pumps or activate resting pumps to resume its acid secretion. [2]

Proton Pump Inhibitors (PPI) includes Omeprazole, Lansoprazole, Pantoprazole, Rabeprazole, and Esomeprazole. This paper gives an overview of various analytical methods for estimation of proton pump inhibitors. Different methods have been developed for determination of PPI like UV-Spectroscopy, liquid Chromatography, HPTLC and LC-MS

Reported methods are categorized depending on the following considerations:

- 1. Single component PPI analyzed by UV-Spectroscopy methods and Chromatographic method.
- 2. Analysis of PPI from combination formulation by UV-Spectroscopy methods and Chromatographic method

Table I: Analysis of single component PPIs by UV-spectroscopy methods

Sr. No.	Drug	Method	Description	Ref. No.
1.	Pantoprazole in pharmaceutical dosage forms	Ultraviolet Spectroscopy	Wavelengths: 292 nm Solvent: water Linearity range: 5-70 μg/mL Correlation coefficient: 0.9998	3
2.	Lansoprazole In Bulk And Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms	Ultraviolet Spectroscopy	Wavelengths: 298 nm Solvent: 0.01 M Phosphate Buffer of pH 6.8 Linearity range: 5-30 µg/ml Correlation coefficient: 0.9996 % Recovery: 99.8 to 100.2 %	4
3.	Rabeprazole sodium tablet	Ultraviolet Spectroscopy	Wavelengths:284nm Solvent: Methanol Linearity range: 4.08- 24.5 μg/ml Correlation coefficient: 0.9992	5
4.	Omeprazole tablet	Ultraviolet Spectroscopy	Wavelengths: 301nm Solvent: Methanol Linearity range: 5-25µg/ml Correlation coefficient: 0.999 % Recovery ranges: 101.25%	6
5.	Ilaprazole in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form	UV spectroscopic	Wavelength: 307nm Solvent: Acetonitrile: ethanol (50:50) Linearity range: 2-12µg/ml Correlation coefficients: 0.999	7
6.	Esomeprazole and Domperidone	Ultraviolet Spectroscopy	Wavelength: 301 nm λ max of Esomeprazole and 284 nm λ max of Domperidone Solvent: methanol Linearity range: 5-20 μg/m for Esomeprazole and 8-30 μg/ml for Domperidone.	8
7.	Rabeprazole Sodium and Domperidone in combined dosage forms	simultaneous equation method by Ultraviolet Spectroscopy	Wavelengths: 249 nm for Rabeprazole sodium and 271.5 nm for Domperidone Solvent: 0.05 M MethanolicHCl Linearity range: 2 to 10 μg/ml for Rabeprazole Sodium and 3 to 15 μg/ml for Domperidone Correlation coefficient: 0.9999 for Rabeprazole Sodium and 0.9995 for Domperidone.	9
8.	Rabeprazole sodium and Aceclofenac capsule	Method-I: simultaneous equation method Method-II: formation of Q-absorbance equation	Method-I: Wavelengths: 283 nm (λmax of Rabeprazole) and 276 nm (λmax of Aceclofenac). Solvent: Methanol Method-II Wavelengths: 256nm (isoabsorptive point) and 276nm (λmax of Aceclofenac) Solvent: Methanol Linearity range: 10–60 μg/ml for both methods. Correlation coefficient: 0.9981 at 283nm for Rabeprazole sodium and 0.9997 at 276nm for Aceclofenac. % Recovery ranges: Method 1: 100.22 for Rabeprazole sodium and 99.96 for Aceclofenac. Method 2: 99.99 for Rabeprazole sodium and 100.05 for Aceclofenac.	10
9.	Naproxen And Esomeprazolein a Laboratory Mixture	Method 1: Simultaneous Equation Method 2: Absorption Correction Method 3: Absorption Ratio Method 4: Area Under Curve Methods	Method 1: Simultaneous Equation Wavelength: 232nm for naproxen and 301.5nm for Esomeprazole. Method 2:Absorption Correction Wavelength: 232nm λmax of naproxen, 239.2nm isoabsorptive point of Naproxen & Esomeprazole. Method 3: Absorption Ratio Wavelength: 301.5nm Method 4: Area Under Curve Methods Wavelength: 227-237nm for naproxen and 296.5-	11

				T
			306.5nm for Esomeprazole. Solvent: Methanol	
			Linearity range: 5µg/ml for naproxen and 4-12µg/ml	
			for Esomeprazole.	
			% Recovery: 98.23% for naproxen and 98.87% for	
			Esomeprazole. Method-I:	
			Wavelengths: 267 nm (λmax of Cinitapride) and 302	
			nm (λmax of Omeprazole).	
			Solvent: Methanol	
		Method-I: simultaneous	Method-II Wavelengths: 283 nm (isoabsorptive point) and 267nm	
10.	Omeprazole and Cinitapride in	equation method	(λmax of Cinitapride)	12
	combined dosage	Method-II: formation of Q-absorbance equation	Solvent: Methanol	
		Q dosorounce equation	Linearity range: 3-18 μg/ml for both Omeprazole and Cinitapride for both methods.	
			Correlation coefficient: 0.999 at 267nm for Cinitapride	
			and 0.997 at 302nm for Omeprazole.	
			% Recovery ranges : 99.25 to 102 for both methods	
			Method 1: Wavelength: 234nm λmax for Levosulpiride and 300nm	
		Method-1: simultaneous	for Esomeprazole	
11.	Levosulpiride and Esomeprazole in	equations and Method-2:	Solvent: Methanol	13
11.	Capsule Dosage Form	Q-absorbance Ratio	Method 2:	13
		method	Wavelength: 234nm λmax for Levosulpiride and 300nm for Esomeprazole and 241 nm is isobestic point	
			Linearity range: 1-20 µg/ml at isobestic point.	
			Wavelengths: 248 nm for Granisetron and 291 nm for	
			Pantoprazole. Solvent: Methanol	
	Constitution		Linearity range: 2-20µg/ml for Granisetron and over 5-	
12.	Granisetron and Pantoprazole in Synthetic Mixture	Derivative Spectroscopy	100 μg/ml for Pantoprazole	14
	Symmetre Minimize		LOD: 0.40 µg/ml for Granisetron and 0.62 µg/ml for Pantoprazole	
			LOQ: 1.22 µg/ml for Granisetron and 1.89 µg/ml for	
			Pantoprazole	
	Language and Dahamanala	Simultaneous equation	Wavelength: 284nm for Rabeprazole sodium and 232	
13.	Levosulpiride and Rabeprazole sodium Tablet	method,	nm for Levosulpiride Solvent: methanol	15
	Source Tubici	Derivative Spectroscopy	Linearity range: 1-20 µg/ml for both drugs.	
			Method-I:	
			Wavelengths: 287 nm (λmax of Pantoprazole sodium) and 231nm (λmax of Levosulpiride)	
		M 4 11 1 1	Solvent: Methanol	
	Pantoprazole and Levosulpiride in	Method-1: simultaneous equations and Method-2:	Method-II	
14.	combined dosage form	Q-absorbance Ratio	Wavelengths: 287 nm (λmax of Pantoprazole sodium)	16
	-	method	and 231nm (λmax of Levosulpiride) and 248 nm (isoabsorptive point)	
			Solvent: Methanol	
			Linearity range: 5-30 µg/ml for both methods	
			Correlation coefficient: 0.999 for both methods Wavelengths: 301.0 nm for Esomeprazole and 262.0 nm	
	Esomeprazole and		for Naproxen	
15.	Naproxen in bulk and tablet dosage	Ultraviolet Spectroscopy	Solvent: Distilled water	17
	form		Linearity range: 5-50 μg/ml for Esomeprazole and 5-50 μg/ml for naproxen	
			Wavelengths: 284 nm for Lansoprazole and 271 nm for	
			Naproxen.	
			Solvent: methanol Linearity range:10-35µg/ml for Naproxen and 5-	
			30µg/ml for Lansoprazole	
16.	Lansoprazole and naproxen tablet	Ultraviolet Spectroscopy	Coefficient correlation: 0.999 for naproxen and 0.999	18
			for Lansoprazole.	
			LOD: 0.04µg/ml for naproxen and 0.5µg/ml for Lansoprazole	
			LOQ: 0·15μg/ml for naproxen and 1·7μg/ml for	
			Lansoprazole	
			Method 1: Q-Absorption ratio method Wavelength: 299.2 nm isoabsorptive point and 276.6	
	Agnisis and Languages later and C	Method 1: Q-Absorption	nm λmax of Aspirin.	
17.	Aspirin and Lansoprazole in synthetic mixture	ratio method	Method 2: Dual Wavelength Method	19
		Method 2: Dual Wavelength Method	Wavelength: Aspirin at the absorbance difference between 272.28 nm and 286.41 nm and Lansoprazole at	
		,, avelengui iviculou	the absorbance difference between 269.20 nm and 294	
			nm	

		T		
			Linearity range :33.3-166.6 μg/ml for Aspirin and 5-25μg/ml for Lansoprazole % Recovery: 98 to 102%.	
			Wavelengths: 300 nm for Omeprazole and 287 nm for	
			Domperidone	
	Omeprazole magnesium and		Solvent: ethanol	
18.	Domperidone from combined solid	Ultraviolet Spectroscopy	Linearity range: 4-45µg/ml in both drugs	20
	dosage form	1 17	Correlation coefficient: 0.99	
	-		% Recovery ranges: 101.4% for Omeprazole and	
			104.9% for Domperidone	
			Wavelength: 244.12 nm for Itopride Hydrochloride and	
			278.12nm for Lansoprazole	
19.	Itopride Hydrochloride and	First order Derivative	Solvent: methanol	21
	Lansoprazole in Synthetic Mixture		Linearity range: 5-25µg/ml for both of the drug Coefficient correlation: 0.999 for Itopride	
			Hydrochloride and 0.996 for Lansoprazole	
			Stationary phase: Hypersil ODS column	
			Mobile phase: 0.01 M phosphate buffer of pH 7 and	
			Acetonitrile as eluent	
	D D. 1-4- d I		Flow rate: 1 ml/min	
20.	Process-Related Impurities In Pantoprazole Bulk Drug And	Stability Indicating	Detection wavelength:290 nm	22
20.	Formulations	HPLC	Linearity range: 0.1 to 2 µg/ml	22
	1 officiations		Correlation coefficient: 0.999	
			5.%Recovery : 97.9-103%	
			L6.OD: 0.043-0.047 μg/ml	
 			LO7.Q:0.13-0.14 µg/ml Statio8.nary phase: RP-C18 column (150mm x 4.6	
1			m9m I.D, 5 μm particle size)	
			Mobile phase: methanol: water65:35 v/v	
			Flow rate: 0.8 ml/min	
21.	Rabeprazole in Pure and Tablet	RP-HPLC	Wavelength: 284 nm	23
	Dosage Form		Retention time: 4.41±0.05	
			Linearity range: 0.25-20 µg/ml	
			Correlation coefficient: 0.9999	
			LOD: 100 ng/ml	
			Stationary phase: Thermo HyPURITY C18 column	
			(150×2.1 mm, 5 μm)	
			Mobile phase: 10 mmol/L Ammonium formate water-Acetonitrile solution (50:50, v/v)	
	Ilaprazole and its metabolites in		Flow rate: 0.25 mL/min	
22.	human plasma	LC-MS/MS	Linearity range: 0.23–2400.00 ng/mL for Ilaprazole,	24
	naman piasma	Le May Ma	0.05–105.00 ng/mL for Ilaprazolethiol ether and	2.
			0.06–45.00 ng/mL for Ilaprazole sulfone	
			Lower limit of quantification (LLOQ): 0.23ng/mL for	
			Ilaprazole, 0.05ng/mL Ilaprazole thiol ether and	
			0.06ng/mL for Ilaprazole sulfone.	
			Stationary phase: Phenomenex Luna C8, (5µ, 250 mm	
			× 4.6 mm id)	
			Mobile phase: disodium hydrogen phosphate buffer of pH 3.0, and Acetonitrile (30: 70)	
23.	Lansoprazole tablet	RP-HPLC	Wavelength: 285 nm	25
1			Flow rate: 1.0 ml / min	
1			Linearity range: 40-60 µg/ml	
			Retention time: 8.82 min	
			Stationary phase: silica gel 60 F ₂₅₄	
			Mobile phase: Tolune :Ethyl Acetate: Methanol:	
			AceticAcid (7:2:1:0.1v/v/v/v)	
24.	Pantoprazole in injection	HPTLC	Wavelength: 290nm	26
1			Linearity range: 50-800 nano/spot % Recovery ranges: 98.16-100.5%	
			% Recovery ranges: 98.16-100.5% LOD: 8.45 ng/spot	
			LOQ:25.60 ng/spot	
			Stationary phase: Chiralcel ODH	
			analytical column (250mm \times 4.6mm, 5 μ m particle size)	
			Mobile phase: 85% of n hexane, 8% of methanol and	
			7% a mixture of isopropylalcohol and ethanol (85:15,	
			v/v).	
	Omeprazole enantiomers in the enteric		Flow rate: 0.75 ml/min	
25.	coated formulations	NP-HPLC	Injection volume: $5 \mu l$	27
			Wavelength: 301nm	
			Linearity range: 0.39800µg/ml	
			Correlation coefficient: 0.999 for (S) and (R)Omeprazole	
1			R) Omeprazole Recovery ranges: 93.5 to 104 for (R) Omeprazole	
			LLOD: (R)Omeprazole 0.39 μ g/ml	
		<u>L</u>	220D. (π)omoprazoic 0.37 μg/mi	

			LLOQ: (R)Omeprazole 0.78 μg/ml	
26.	Omeprazolein bulk and capsule dosage forms	RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: Novapak C18, (250 x 4.6 mm, 5µ) Mobile phase: Phosphate buffer (pH 7.4): Acetonitrile (60:40, v/v) Flow rate: 1.0 ml/min Injection volume: 20 µl Wavelength: 302 nm. Retention time: 7.71 min. Linearity range: 20-60 ppm	28
27.	Esomeprazole in Bulk and Pharmaceutical Dosage Form	RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: C18 analytical column (250 mm × 4.6 mm i.d., 5.0 μm) Mobile phase: Acetonitrile and phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) in ratio of 50:50 v/v Flow rate: 1.0 ml/min Wavelength: 302nm Retention time: 6.5min Linearity range: 25-150μg/ml Correlation coefficient: 0.9991 LOD: 0.015μg/ml LOQ: 0.04μg/ml	29
28.	Omeprazole Enantiomers In The Enteric-Coated Formulations	Stability Indicating Chiral-HPLC	Stationary phase: Chiralcel OD-H analytical column (250mm × 4.6 mm, 5μm particle size) Mobile phase: Isopropylalcohol and ethanol (85:15, v/v) Flow rate: 0.75 ml/min Detection wavelength: 301nm Linearity range:0.39-800μg/ml Correlation coefficient: 0.999 for (S)- and (R)- omeprazole %Recovery: 93.5 to 104 % LLOD: 0.39μg/ml LLOQ: 0.78 μg/ml	30
29.	Lansoprazole and its Impurities in Bulk Drug and Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms	Stability-Indicating UPLC	Stationary phase: BEH C18 column Mobile phase: Mobile phase A: pH 7.0 phosphate buffer and methanol (90: 10 v/v) Mobile phase B: methanol and Acetonitrile (50:50 v/v) Wavelength: 285 nm Flow rate: 0.3 mL/min	31
30.	Lansoprazole Tablet	RP-UPLC	Stationary phase: Phenomenex Luna C18 (5µm ~25cm ~4.6mm) Mobile phase: methanol: water (80:20 v/v) Wavelength: 284 nm Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min Linearity range: 50-30 µg/ml Retention time: 3.905 min Correlation coefficient: 0.998	32
31.	Pantoprazole tablet	RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: BDS Thermohypersil Symmetry C8 column(250 x 4.6mm x 5 μ) Mobile phase: Methanol: Dipotassium hydrogen phosphate buffer(pH-9) (50:50) Flow rate: 1.2ml/min Wavelength: 226mm Retention time: 4.189min Linearity range:50-150 μg/ml Correlation coefficient: 0.999 LOD: 0.1958μg/ml LOQ: 0.5934μg/ml	33
32.	Haprazole Pharmaceutical dosage forms	RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: Hypersil BDS C18 (4.6 x 250 mm) column Mobile phase: Methanol: Water 70:30 pH-3.0 Flow rate: 1.0 ml/min Detection wavelength: 237 nm Retention time: 4.4 minutes Linearity range: 5-25 µg/ml	34
33.	Ilaprazole And Its Related Compounds In Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms	UPLC	Stationary phase: Acquity BEH SHIELD RP18 column (1.7 μm, 2.1 mm × 150 mm) Mobile phase: Acetonitrile: Methanol and ammonium acetate buffer (0.05 M; pH 8.5 adjusted with NaOH solution) Flow rate: 0.25 mL/min Detection wavelength: 305 nm Linearity range: 0.05 to 0.60 μg/mL LOD: 0.015 to 0.021 μg/mL	35

34.	Ilaprazole in bulk drug and tablets	Stability indicating HPLC	Stationary phase: Kinetex C-18 100A (5µ, 250×4.6 mm) Mobile phase: Acetonitrile: water (50:70v/v) for 1 min then changed to 70:30v/v in next 6 min and finally equilibrated back to initial composition in 14min Flow rate: 1.0ml/min Injection Volume: 20µl Detection wavelength: 305 nm Linearity range: 5-15 µg/ mL LOD: 0.05µg/ml LOQ: 0.14 µg/ mL %Recovery: 99.27%	36
35.	Domperidone and Lansoprazole capsule	HPTLC	Stationary phase: pre-coated silica gel plate 60 F 254 Mobile phase: toluene: isopropyl alcohol: chloroform: Acetonitrile (4:3:6:2) Wavelength: 254 nm R _f values: 0.22 for Domperidone and 0.76 for Lansoprazole	37
36.	Lansoprazole and Domperidone	RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: RP-C18 column Mobile phase: Acetonitrile: Methanol (81:19) Flow rate: 1 ml/min Retention time: 2.8min for Lansoprazole and 1.57 min for Domperidone Wavelength: 280 nm Linearity range: 8-24µg/ml of Lansoprazole and 8- 24µg/ml of Domperidone Coefficient correlation: 0.9977 for Lansoprazole and 0.9992 for Domperidone.	38
37.	Pantoprazole and Domperidone Tablets	RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: Hypersil, BDS, C-18 (150×4.6 mm, 5 micron) Mobile phase: Potassium dihydrogen phosphate buffer - Acetonitrile (720:280v/v) Flow rate: 1.0 ml/min Wavelength: 280 nm Linearity range: 10-60 μg/ml for Pantoprazole and 5-30 μg/ml for Domperidone	39
38.	Naproxen and Esomeprazole in pharmaceutical formulations	Stability indicating RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: Xterra RP-18 column (150 × 4.6 mm, 5μ) Mobile phase: Buffer, Acetonitrile and Methanol in the ratio of (70:20:10) v/v/v Flow rate: 1.5 ml/min Wavelength: 305 nm Linearity range: 100.28 to 902.520 μg per ml for Naproxen and 9.6 to 45.6 μg per ml for Esomeprazole.	40
39.	Esomeprazole and Naproxen in Binary Combination	RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: Phenomanex, Luna C18 column (5 μm, 150mm × 4.60mm) Mobile phase: Acetonitrile: phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) Acetonitrile: phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). Flow rate: 0.5 ml/min Wavelength: 300 nm Retention time: 2.67 ±0.014min for Esomeprazole and 5.65 ±0.09 min for Naproxen.	41
40.	Rabeprazole Sodium and Aceclofenac in Bulk Drug and Formulation	HPTLC	Stationary Phase: Precoated silica gel 60F254 aluminum plate. Mobile Phase: Toluene: Ethyl Acetate: Methanol: Acetic Acid 6: 4: 1: 0.2 (v/v/v/v) Wavelength: 279nm Linearity range: 100 to 200 ng/spot for Rabeprazole sodium and 1000 to 2000 ng/spot for Aceclofenac Correlation Coefficient: 0.997 for Rabeprazole sodium and 0.998 for Aceclofenac % Recovery: 99.12 % for Rabeprazole sodium and 99.99 % for Aceclofenac.	42
41.	Rabeprazole sodium and Aceclofenac in tablet	RP-HPLC	Stationary Phase: Pursuit C-18 column (250 mm x 4.6 mm i.d., 5 μm) Mobile Phase: methanol: Acetonitrile: water (60: 10: 30 v/v/v) Flow Rate: 1 ml/min Wavelength: 280 nm Retention Time: 5.611 min for Rabeprazole Sodium. And 2.102 min for Aceclofenac. Linearity range: 1-10 μg/ml for Rabeprazole Sodium and 3-15 μg/ml for Aceclofenac. LOD: 0.091μg/ml for Rabeprazole sodium and	43

			0.043μg/ml for Aceclofenac.	
42.	Esomeprazole Magnesium and Domperidone in Combined Dosage	RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: Hyper chrome C-18 (4.6′150 mm, 5µ particle size) Mobile phase: Acetonitrile: phosphate buffer (pH 5.0) (60:40 (v/v)) Flow rate: 1.0 ml/min Wavelength: 290 nm Retention time: 3.91 min for Esomeprazole Magnesium and 2.92min Domperidone Linearity range: 10-50 µg/ml for Esomeprazole Magnesium and 5-25 µg/ml for Domperidone Coefficient correlation: 0.999 for both the drugs. 'Recovery: 99.38% for Esomeprazole Magnesium and 96.26% for Domperidone	44
43.	Aspirin and Esomeprazole Magnesium Tablet	RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: Hyper Chrom ODS-BP C18 column (200 mm × 4.6 mm; 5.0 μμm) Mobile phase:Acetonitrile: Methanol: 0.05 M phosphate buffer at pH 3 (25 : 25 : 50, v/v) Flow rate:1 mL/min Wavelength: 230 nm Retention time: 4.29 min for aspirin and 6.09 min for Esomeprazole magnesium Linearity range:10–70 μg/mL for aspirin and 10–30 μg/mL for Esomeprazole magnesium Coefficient correlation: 0.9986 for aspirin and 0.9973 for Esomeprazole magnesium %Recovery: 99.80–100.57% for aspirin and 99.70–100.83% for Esomeprazole magnesium.	45
44.	Levosulpiride and Esomeprazole Capsule	RP-HPLC	Stationary phase:C-18 (5μm, 250×4.6 mm) HPLC column Mobile phase: Methanol: Buffer (pH 3) (65:35% <i>v/v</i>) Flow rate: 1.0 ml/min Wavelength: 260 nm. Retention time: Levosulpiride at 2.7 min and Esomeprazole at 5.7 min. Linearity range: 5 to 30 μg mL-1 for Esomeprazole and 10 to 60 μg/ mL for Levosulpiride. Coefficient correlation:0.9995 for Esomeprazole and 0.9993 for Levosulpiride.	46
45	Levosulpiride and Rabeprazole sodium Tablet	UV and RP-HPLC	Method I: Simultaneous equation method Wavelength: 232 nm (λmax of Levosulpiride) and 284 nm (λmax of Rabeprazole Sodium) Solvent: Methanol Method II: 1st order derivative method Wavelength: 247 nm for Levosulpiride and 291.60 nm for Rabeprazole Sodium Solvent: Methanol Method III:RP-HPLC method Stationary phase: Phenomenexluna ODS C18 (250mm X 4.6 mm i.d., 5 μm particle size) Mobile phase: Acetonitrile: 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 5 (55:45 v/v.) Flow rate:1.0 ml/min, Injection volume: 20μl Detection wavelength: 288 nm Retention time: Levosulpiride 2.31±0.1min and Rabeprazole Sodium 3.85 ±0.1min, Linearity range: 5-30 μg/ml for Levosulpiride and 2-12 μg/ml for Rabeprazole Sodium	47
46.	Lafutidine And Rabeprazole Sodium tablet	RP-HPLC	Stationary Phase: Thermo Hypersil, C18 column, 250 mm × 4.6 mm Mobile Phase: Acetonitrile:0.02M Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate pH 7.2 (50:50 v/v) Flow Rate: 1.5ml/min Wavelength: 215 mm Retention Time: 2.99 min for Rabeprazole Sodium and 8.13 min for Lafutidine. Linearity range: 40-120 μg/ml for Lafutidine and 80-240 μg/ml for Rabeprazole Sodium.	48
47.	Pantoprazole sodium and Itopride hydrochloride in its bulk dosage forms	RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: c18 column (150mm× 4.6mm ,5mm) Mobile phase: Acetonitrile: Phosphate buffer (40:60) Flow rate: 1ml/min Wavelength: 207nm	49

		T		1
			Retention time: for Pantoprazole sodium 3.52min and for Itopride Hydrochloride 2.51 min Linearity range: 2.6-13 mg/ml for Pantoprazole sodium and 10-60 mg/ml Itopride Correlation coefficient: 0.999 Resolution: 5.314min	_
48.	Omeprazole and Ketoprofen in a Developed Tablet Formulation	HPTLC	Stationary phase:coated with silica gel 60F ₂₅₄ Mobile phase: chloroform: methanol 9:1 (v/v) Wavelength: 283 nm Resolution: Rf value 0.45± 0.02 for OME and 0.32± 0.02 for KET Linearity range: 30-120 ng/ band for OME and 150-600 ng/ band for KET Correlation coefficient: 0.999 for both OME and KET % Recovery ranges: 98.9-100.8%	50
49.	Rabeprazole, Pantoprazole, and Itopride	RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: Phenomenex C18 (Luna) column (250 mm ×4.6 mm, dp ¼ 5 mm) with C18 guard column (4 mm ×3 mm ×5 mm) Mobile phase: 10 mM Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (adjusted to pH 6.8): Acetonitrile (70:30 v/v) Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min Wavelength: 288 nm Retention time: 5.35min Rabeprazole, 7.92min Pantoprazole, and 11.16min Itopride. Linearity range: 2.5-25μg/ml for Rabeprazole, 1-30μg/ml for Pantoprazole and 3-35μg/ml for Itopride. Correlation coefficient: 0.994 for Rabeprazole, 0.978 for Pantoprazole, and 0.991 and Itopride. LOD: 1μg/ml for Rabeprazole, 0.3 for Pantoprazole, and 1 μg/ml for Itopride LOQ: 2.5μg/ml for Rabeprazole, 1μg/ml for Pantoprazole, and 3 mg/ml for Itopride.	51
50.	Clopidogrel, Pantoprazole and Rosuvastatin in human plasma	RP-UFLC	Stationary phase: Phenomenex C8 (250 × 4.6 mm, 5μm) Mobile phase: Phosphate buffer (pH-2.5) and Acetonitrile (45: 55 v/v) Flow rate: 1.2 mL/min Injector volume: 20 μl Wavelength: 254mm for Clopidogrel, 243nm for Pantoprazole and 220nm for Rosuvastatin Retention time: 2.566min for Clopidogrel, 5.002min for Pantoprazole and 9.301min for Rosuvastatin Linearity range: 5 to 50μg/mL of Clopidogrel, Pantoprazole &Rosuvastatin.	52
51.	Rabeprazole Sodium And Mosapride Citrate In Bulk And Formulation	Stability Indicating RP- HPLC	Stationary Phase: Thermo Inert Silca, C (250 X 4.6 Mm I. D., 5 M) Mobile Phase: Methanol: Buffer (Ammonium Acetate Ph 6.5): Acetonitrile (50:20:30 %) Flow Rate: 1.0 ml/min Wavelength: 245 nm Retention Time: 2.951 min For Rabeprazole Sodium and 4.195 min For Mosapride Citrate Correlation Coefficient: 0.999 For both of the drug % Recovery: 97-103 % For Rabeprazole Sodium and 98-102 %, For Mosapride Citrate	53
52.	Rabeprazole Sodium and Lafutidine in Bulk and Pharmaceutical dosage	RP-UPLC	Stationary Phase: Phenomanex, C18 column, 150 × 2.5 mm Mobile Phase: Acetonitrile: buffer (0.01 M Potassium di-hydrogen orthophosphate) pH 6.8 (60:40% v/v) Flow Rate: 1.2ml/min Wavelength: 215 nm Retention Time: 3.1min for Rabeprazole Sodium and 5.8min for Lafutidine Linearity range: 40-120µg/ml, for Lafutidine and 80-240µg/ml for Rabeprazole Sodium	54
53.	Levosulpiride and Rabeprazole sodium Tablet	Stability indicating RP-HPLC	Stationary phase: Hypersil BDS C18 250mm × 4.6mm ×5/m Mobile phase: Buffer: Acetonitrile (72:28) Flow rate: 1.5ml/min Wavelength: 282nm Retention time: Levosulpiride 2.23 min and Rabeprazole sodium 7.27min	55
54.	Levosulpiride and Esomeprazole	HPTLC	Stationary phase: Precoated aluminum plates with	56

	Capsule		silica gel 60 F254	
	Capsule		Mobile phase: ethyl acetate: methanol: ammonia (9:	
			1: 0.5, v/v/v)	
			Wavelength: 216 nm. Retardation factor (Rf): 0.30 ± 0.02 for Levosulpiride	
			and 0.64 ± 0.02 for Esomeprazole.	
			Linearity range: 100-1000 ng band-1 for both	
			Levosulpiride and Esomeprazole	
			Stationary phase: Phenomanex C18 column (25 cm × 4.6 mm i.d., 5 μ)	
			Mobile phase: Acetonitrile ,water and Triethanol amine	
	Lansoprazole and Metronidazole in		(40:60:1 v/v)	Į.
55.	Pharmaceutical	RP-HPLC	Flow rate: 1.0 ml/min injection volume was 20 µl Retention time: 14min for Lansoprazole and 10.13 min	57
	Dosage Form		Metronidazole	
			Wavelength: 290 nm.	
			Linearity range: 5-25 μg/ml for Metronidazole and 2-10 μg/ml for Lansoprazole	
			Stationary phase: Phenomenex C18 column (150 X 4.6	
			mm i.d, 5μ particle size)	
			Mobile phase:64.89% of Acetonitrile and 35.11 % of Ammonium acetate buffer (14.18mM)	
			Flow rate: 1.2 mL/min	
			Detection wavelength: 286nm	
	Domperidone	Chemo metrics assisted	Retention time: 1.661 min for Domperidone and 2.420 min for Ilaprazole	
56.	And Ilaprazole capsule	RP-HPLC for the simultaneous estimation	Linearity range: 30-90µg/ml for Domperidone and 10-	58
		Simultaneous estilliation	30 μg/ml for Ilaprazole	
			Correlation coefficient: 0.9997 for Domperidone and 0.9995 for Ilaprazole.	
			LOD: 58.12ng/ml for Domperidone and 101.68 ng/mL	
			for Ilaprazole	
			LOQ: 479.16ng/mL for Domperidone and 308.12ng/mL for Ilaprazole.	
			Stationary phase: Inertsil C-18 column, (5 μm, 250mm	
			x 4.6mm i.d) LC-20 AT Mobile phase: Phosphate Buffer (pH 3): Methanol	
			(40:60 v/v)	
			Flow rate: 1.0 ml/min	
			Retention time: 4.21min for Ilaprazole and 6.4min for Domperidone	
	Honorolo and Domestic day		Resolution: 9.636	
57.	Ilaprazole and Domperidone in their Combined Dosage Form	RP-HPLC	Linearity range: 5 – 15 μg/ml for Ilaprazole and 15 -	59
			45 µg/ml for Domperidone Correlation coefficient: 0.999 for both of the drug	
			Detection wavelength: 229 nm isobestic point of	
			Ilaprazole and Domperidone	
			LOD: 0.347µg/ml for Ilaprazole and 1.04µg/ml for Domperidone.	
			LOQ: 1.05μg/ml for Ilaprazole and3.16μg/ml for	
			Domperidone. Stationary phase: Agilent TC 1120 RP 18 column	
			Mobile phase: Methanol : Acetonitrile	
			(60:40 v/v)	
	Lansoprazole		Flow rate: 1.5 mL/min Retention time: 2.2 min for Lansoprazole and 5.76 min	
58.	and PaliperidonePalmitate in Bulk	RP-HPLC	for Paliperidone Palmitate	60
	Drugs		Wavelength: 285 nm.	
			Linearity range: 30-70 ppm for Lansoprazole and 120-280 ppm for Paliperidone Palmitate	
			Coefficient correlation: 0.997±0.257 for Lansoprazole	
			and 0.998±0.359 for Paliperidone Palmitate.	
			Stationary phase: Phenomenax-luna C18 (250 x 4.6mm, 5 μm)	
			Mobile phase: Acetonitrile : Tris buffer : Methanol	
59.	Aspirin and Lansoprazole	RP-HPLC	(30:40:30 % v/v/v)	61
	_		Flow rate: 1.2 ml/min Wavelength: 280 nm	
			Linearity range: 13.2 – 66.0 µg/ml for Aspirin and 2 -	
			10 μg/ml for Lansoprazole.	

CONCLUSION

This review represents the reported spectrophotometric and chromatographic methods; developed and validated for determination of proton pump inhibitors According to the literature review I concluded that for Proton pump inhibitor (Pantoprazole, Lansoprazole, Omeprazole, Rabeprazole, Esomeprazole and Ilaprazole) in single component and its combination with other drug spectroscopy and chromatography method available. This all methods found to be simple, accurate, economic, precise, and reproducible in nature. Comparing various validation parameters of already reported methods, it can be concluded that different analytical methods like spectrophotometric, HPTLC and HPLC can be developed for PPIs showing its simplicity, sensitivity (low LOD and LOQ values) linearity and accuracy. Most of the workers have used the reversed-phase HPLC and UV absorbance detection because this provided with best available reliability, repeatability, analysis time and sensitivity. Most common combination of PPIs is with Levosulpiride and Domperidone. There is a great scope for development of newer analytical methods for latest drugs such as Ilaprazole

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Dr. K. Pundarikakshudu, Director of L. J. Institute of Pharmacy, Ahmedabad, India for providing all the facilities and encouragement to carry out the work.

REFERENCES

- [1] MR Ghadge; VS Tambe; PG Darade. International Journal of Pharma Research & Review, 2014, 3(1), 45-57.
- [2] H Smith. S Afr Pharm J, 2014, 81(5), 13-16.
- [3] R Kumar; H Singh; P Singh. J Chem Pharm Res, 2011, 3(2), 113-117.
- [4] AA Kumar. International Journal of Pharmaceutical, Chemical and Biological Sciences, 2012, 2(4), 524-528.
- [5] G Pandey. Int Res J Pharm, **2013**, 4 (3), 193-195.
- [6] GV Sudhakararao; T Pedababu. Int J Pharm, 2014, 4(1), 247-51.
- [7] D Devu. International Journal of Advances in Pharmaceutical Analysis, 2014, 4(4), 130-3.
- [8] A Shriwaiker. Indian J Pharm, Sci 2008, 70(1), 128–131.
- [9] RG Baldha, VB Patel, M Bapna. International Journal of Pharmtech Research, 2010, 2(2), 1563-1568.
- [10] RL Sawant. *Pharm Methods*, **2011**, 2 (3), 193–197.
- [11] NA Jain. International Journal of Pharma Sciences and Research, 2011, 2(5), 130-4.
- [12] SD Bhuva, MM Patel. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research, 2012, 5(4), 40-42.
- [13] MS Jain. Asian J Pharm Ana, 2012, 2(4), 106-109.
- [14] SR Patel. International Journal for Pharmaceutical Research Scholars, 2012, 1(2), 115-21.
- [15] N Agrawal; B Jagadeesh. *Nt J Pharm Bio Sci*, **2012**, 3(4), 718 726.
- [16] TJ Chaudhary; S Bhadani. Am J Pharmtech Res, 2013, 3(2),347-54.
- [17] SP Mahaparale. International Journal of Research and Development in Pharmacy and Life Sciences February, **2013**, 2(2), 377-382.
- [18] S Singh. Scholars Research Library Der Pharma Chemica, 2013, 5(2), 67-74.
- [19] KC Thula; JF Chodvadiya. Am. J. PharmTech Res, 2015, 5(1), 556-578.
- [20] DY Kumar. An International Journal of Advances in Pharmaceutical Science, 2011, 2(3), 207-10.
- [21] AI Bhim; FV Buchiy; HA Raj; VC Jain. PharmaTutor, 2015, 3(7), 38-45.
- [22] S Pandey; P Pandey; D Mishra; UK Singh. Brazilian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2013, 49(1), 176-184.
- [23] AL Rao. E-Journal of Chemistry, 2008, 5(2), 1149-1153.
- [24] H DENG. Acta Pharmacologica Sinica, 2009, 30, 1330–1336.
- [25] T Rajkumar. J Chem Pharm Re, **2010**, 2(6), 291-295.
- [26] SA Patel. International Research Journal of Pharmacy, 2011, 2(8), 135-137.
- [27] S Vyas, A Patel, KD Ladva, HS Joshi, Bapodra AH. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences*, **2011**, 3(2), 310–14.
- [28] SN Kalakonda; BD Mohammad; K Pragallapati; DK Kumar. *International Current Pharmaceutical Journal*, **2012**, 1(11), 366-369.
- [29] TK Muhammad; U Muhammad; GM Khan; BA Sattar; Bibi Hafsa; A Siddiqua. *International Journal of Drug Development & Research*, **2012**, 4(4), 252-256.
- [30] S Vyas. J Pharm Bioallied Sci, 2012, 3(2), 310–314.
- [31] PV Rao. Sci Pharm, 2013, 81, 183-93.
- [32] S Singh. Int J Pharm Sci Drug Res, 2013, 5(3), 105-7.
- [33] B Siddartha; SI Babu. Der Pharma Chemica, 2013, 5(4), 99-104.
- [34] SS Patil. WJPR, 2014, 3(4), 1569-1576.

- [35]B Satheeshab; D Saravanana; KR Gundu; S Sivananthanb. *Journal OF Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies*, **2013**, 36(20), 2968-81.
- [36] PG Shelke; AV Chandewar. Eurasian J Anal Chem, 2015, 10(1), 1-9.
- [37] MV Aanandhi. Rasyan J Chem, 2009, 2(1), 15-17.
- [38] Z Dedania. Asian J. Research Chem, 2009, 2(2).
- [39] PB Reddy; NK Reddy. International Journal of Chemtech Research, 2009, 1(2), 275-277.
- [40] PS Reddy; S Shakil; G Vasudevmurthya; V Badri; P Vure; SJ Reddy. Scholars Research Library *Der Pharma Chemica*, **2011**, 3(6), 553-564.
- [41] DK Jain; N Jain; R charde. Pharm Methods, 2011, 2(3), 167–172.
- [42] MP Rajput; JR Rao; VV Bharekar; TS Mulla; SS Yadav. *International Journal Of Comprehensive Pharmacy*, **2011**,2 (5),1-4
- [43] SL Khokra; B Choudhary; H Mehta. International Current Pharmaceutical Journal, 2012, 1(12), 410-413.
- [44] N Jain; R Jain; D Jain; SK Jain. Bulletin of Pharmaceutical Research, 2012, 2(3), 134-9.
- [45] D Patel; NK Patel; R Vaishy; V Patel; C Solanki; M Patel. Journal of Chemistry, 2013, 1-5.
- [46] RR Jain; PO Patil; SB Bari, J. Chil. Chem. Soc., 2013, 58(3), 1846-9.
- [47] DG Thakkar, JPSBR, 2013, 3(3), 108-114.
- [48] HD Antala, Int J Pharm Pharm Sci, 2013, 5(4), 128-35.
- [49] AK Kampati; SV Janadharanan, Int J Pharm Pharm Sci, 2013, 5(1), 190-194.
- [50] V Tambe; V Vichare; R Kolte. Int J Pharm Tech Res, 2014,6(2),634-40
- [51] SS Perumal; SP Ekambaram; R Samundeswari. Journal of Food and Drug Analyses, 2014, 22, 520-526.
- [52] JB Nagavi; BM Gurupadayya. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2014, 6(9), 480-486.
- [53] G Saravanan; M Padmaja; J Geethanjali; D Visagaperumal. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci, 2014, 6(11), 265-269.
- [54] G Saravanan; M Padmaja; J Geethanjali; D Visagaperumal. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci, 2014, 6(11), 265-269.
- [55] DD Patil; PR Shetty. Journal of the Association of Arab Universities for Basic and Applied Sciences, **2014**, 15, 53–60.
- [56] SY Gabhe; PD Pawer; SE Potawale. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci, 2014, 6(4), 347-350.
- [57] SY Kane; B Snehalatha. International Journal of Chemical and Analytical Science, 2014, 5(2), 80-85.
- [58] T Sivakkumar. Scholars Research Library Der Pharmacia Lettre, 2014, 6(4), 376-385.
- [59] PK Pradhan. Journal of Drug Delivery & Therapeutics., 2014, 4(4), 43-48.
- [60] N Yandamuri. An International Journal of Advances in Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2015, 6(1), 2704-2708.
- [61] KC Thula. International Journal of Recent Scientific Research, 2015, 6(4), 3385-3390.