An attitude error correction method based on MARG sensor array
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ABSTRACT

Applying EKF (extended Kalman filter) based integrated navigation to correct the measurement error of MEMS (Micro-electromechanical Systems) suffers great computational load, slow convergence and implementation infeasibility. Based on steepest decent algorithm and complementary filter theory, this paper presents an attitude error correction method using MARG (Magnetic, Angular Rate, and Gravity) sensor array. This method uses the Jacobian matrix to substitute the recurrence formula of EKF, which significantly reduces the computational complexity. It only requires to tune two filter gains which are determined by the gyroscope measurement error leading to an ease of implementation. The experimental results of MARG/EKF simulation and MARG/turntable indicate that our proposed method has lower computational complexity and faster convergence than the EKF method. In addition, our proposed method can achieve high accuracy when MARG sensors are operated at low sampling rates, which is very desirable for applications constrained by low power consumption or limited processing resources.
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INTRODUCTION

The limited driving force and load capacity of micro air vehicles (MAVs) require a small, light weighted and low power consumption attitude measurement system. MEMS (Micro-electromechanical Systems) sensors have been widely used in miniature attitude system due to their small size, low cost, and low power consumption. However, noise and sensor drift can cause large attitude error in a short period of time when MEMS sensors are used alone.

Common approach to solve this problem is to use Kalman filter to fuse the information from different navigation devices, i.e., integrated navigation. Theoretically, for linear state and observation equations, the result calculated from Kalman filtering algorithm is the optimal unbiased estimate. In other words, Kalman filtering algorithm is the optimal information fusion method for linear system [9]. For actual integrated navigation problems, the observation function characterized by quaternion or direction cosine matrix equations is nonlinear with respect to attitude. A compromise approach is to use linear Kalman filtering method, i.e., extended Kalman filtering (EKF) algorithm [3], [5], [10], [11]. EKF algorithm would result in linearization error inevitably. It also needs to solve the Jacobian matrix of the observation equation, which is computation expensive for high dimensional data. In addition, linearization would lead to some undesirable results, such as sensitive to initial conditions, error drift, and slow convergence [2]. An alternative is using nonlinear filtering methods, such as unscented Kalman filtering algorithm [7], [8], particle filter [1] and etc. However, the computational expensive nature of nonlinear filtering methods makes them impractical for engineering applications [6].
In this paper, MARG (Magnetic, Angular Rate, and Gravity) sensor array consisting of MEMS three-axis gyroscope, accelerometer and magnetometer are used to capture three-dimensional acceleration, magnetic field and angular velocity. Based on steepest descent method and complimentary filter theory, we propose to use ground magnetic and gravity field observations to correct the attitude error of the gyroscope. Our proposed algorithm is denoted as MARG algorithm. To evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm, we carry out gyro/accelerometer/magnetometer integrated navigation simulation experiment and MARG/turntable experiment. Experimental results indicate superior performance of our method over the traditional Quaternion extended Kalman filter (QKF) method.

PROPOSED MARG ALGORITHM

Attitude angle of the rotated carrier can be represented by rotation quaternion. The parameters of quaternion can be solved by minimizing the error function of quaternion. Steepest descent method is an ideal iterative algorithm for minimization which was first introduced by Cauchy in 1847. It can find the local minima of an objective function and is widely explored in engineering applications since it has the advantages of small workload, memory efficient and easy to implement [4]. The search path of the steepest descent method appears to be serrated. In the first a few steps, the objective function decreases rapidly. When it approaches the local minima, the convergence speed becomes slow. Usually steepest descent method is used with other techniques for fast convergence. Based on steepest descent algorithm and complementary filter theory, we propose an attitude error correction method by fusing information from different sensors. The block diagram of the proposed method is shown in Fig. 1.

The discrete function of body rotation quaternion and angular velocity can be expressed as

\[ b_nq_{w,t} = b_n\hat{q}_{est,t-1} + b_n\hat{q}_{w,t}\Delta t, \]

where \(\Delta t\) is the sampling interval, \(b_nq_{w,t}\) is the quaternion at time \(t\), \(b_n\hat{q}_{w,t}\) is the rate of change of quaternion. \(b_n\hat{q} = [q_t, q_s, q_i, q_d]\) is the rotation quaternion from navigation coordinate system \(n\) to body coordinate system \(b\). \(n\hat{d} = [0, d_z, d_y, d_x]\) and \(b\hat{s} = [0, s_x, s_y, s_z]\) represent the measurement of accelerometer and magnetometer in the navigation coordinate system and body coordinate system, respectively. The quaternion error function is defined as follows:

\[ f(b_n\hat{q}, n\hat{d}, b\hat{s}) = b_n\hat{q} \otimes n\hat{d} \otimes b_n\hat{q} - b\hat{s}. \]

The error function can be solved using steepest descent method in (3) and (4).
\[ b_n q_{k+1} = b_n q_k - \mu \frac{\nabla f(b_n \hat{q}_k, \hat{d}, b_n \hat{s})}{\| \nabla f(b_n \hat{q}_k, \hat{d}, b_n \hat{s}) \|} \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]  
\[ \nabla f(b_n \hat{q}_k, \hat{d}, b_n \hat{s}) = J^T(b_n \hat{q}_k, \hat{d}) f(b_n \hat{q}_k, \hat{d}, b_n \hat{s}) \]  

Wherein \( \mu \) indicates step size, \( \nabla f \) indicates gradient of the objective function, and \( J \) is the Jacobian matrix. In the beginning, the carrier is in still state, the measurements of accelerometer and magnetometer are \( n \hat{g} = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] \) and \( n \hat{b} = [0 \ b_x \ 0 \ b_y] = [0 \ \sqrt{h_i^2 + h_j^2} \ 0 \ h_i] \), respectively. After some arbitrary changes in attitude, the measurements become \( b \hat{a} = [0 \ a_x \ a_y \ a_z] \) and \( b \hat{m} = [0 \ m_x \ m_y \ m_z] \), respectively. Then, objective function \( f \) and its Jacobian matrix can be formulated as

\[ f_{g,b}(b_n \hat{q}, n \hat{g}, b \hat{a}, b \hat{m}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2(q_1 q_4 - q_2 q_3) - a_x \\ 2(q_1 q_2 + q_3 q_4) - a_y \\ 2(\frac{1}{2} - q_2^2 - q_3^2) - a_z \\ 2b_x (0.5 - q_2^2 - q_3^2) + 2b_z (q_2 q_4 - q_3 q_3) - m_x \\ 2b_x (q_3 q_3 - q_1 q_2) + 2b_z (q_2 q_3 - q_4 q_4) - m_y \\ 2b_x (q_1 q_3 + q_2 q_4) + 2b_z (0.5 - q_2^2 - q_3^2) - m_z \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ J_{g,b}(b_n \hat{q}, n \hat{g}, b \hat{a}, b \hat{m}) = \begin{bmatrix} -2q_3 & 2q_4 & -2q_1 & 2q_2 \\ 2q_2 & 2q_1 & 2q_4 & 2q_3 \\ 0 & -4q_2 & -4q_3 & 0 \\ -2b_x q_3 & 2b_x q_4 & -4b_x q_1 - 2b_y q_1 & -4b_x q_1 + 2b_y q_2 \\ -2b_x q_4 + 2b_y q_2 & 2b_x q_1 + 2b_y q_1 & 2b_x q_2 + 2b_y q_4 & -2b_x q_4 + 2b_y q_3 \\ 2b_x q_3 & 2b_x q_4 - 4b_y q_2 & 2b_x q_1 - 4b_y q_3 & 2b_x q_2 \end{bmatrix} \]  

Substitute (5) and (6) to (3) and (4) can obtain the rotation quaternion at time \( t \) as

\[ b_n q_{\chi,t} = b_n q_{\chi,t-1} - \mu \frac{\nabla f}{\| \nabla f \|} \]  

The step size \( \mu \) can be obtained via

\[ \mu_t = \alpha \| b_n \hat{q}_{\omega,t} \| \Delta t, \alpha > 1, \]  

where \( \Delta t \) is the sampling interval, \( b_n \hat{q}_{\omega,t} \) is the quaternion for angular rate measured by gyroscope, \( \alpha \) is a constant determined by the measurement noise of accelerometer and magnetometer. The rotation quaternion calculated in (1) and (7) respectively can be fused using complementary filter algorithm as

\[ b_n q_{\chi,t} = \gamma_t b_n q_{\chi,t} + (1 - \gamma_t) b_n q_{\omega,t}, 0 \leq \gamma_t \leq 1, \]
where $b_nq_{est,t}$ represents quaternion solved using angular rate and $b_nq_{V,t}$ represents quaternion solved using steepest descent method. $\gamma_t$ and $(1 - \gamma_t)$ are weights which satisfy the following equation.

$$(1 - \gamma_t)\beta = \gamma_t \frac{\mu}{\Delta t} \tag{10}$$

Assume $\alpha$ is large, (9) becomes

$$b_nq_{est,t} = b_nq_{est,t-1} + b_nq_{est}\Delta t \tag{11}$$

by substituting (8) to (10). $b_nq_{est,t-1}$ is the rotation quaternion at time $t - 1$, $b_nq_{est} = b_nq_{V,t} - b_nq_{est}\Delta t$ is the rate of change for rotation quaternion, and $b_nq_{est}$ is the error caused by measurement noise. Proportional gain $\beta$ and integral gain $\zeta$ can be calculated using (12) and (13) with $\omega_\beta$ indicating the zero drift of gyroscope, $\omega_\beta$ indicating the drift rate, and $\hat{q}$ being an arbitrary quaternion.

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} \hat{q} \otimes \left[ 0 \quad \omega_\beta \quad \omega_\beta \quad \omega_\beta \right] = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} \omega_\beta \tag{12}$$

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2} \hat{q} \otimes \left[ \omega_\zeta \quad \omega_\zeta \quad \omega_\zeta \right] = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} \omega_\zeta \tag{13}$$

**RESULTS**

**SIMULATION AND RESULT**

To evaluate the performance of our proposed MARG algorithm, we carried out four simulation experiments. EKF algorithm is used for comparison. We design a track generator following the procedure as described in [12] and add a triaxial magnetometer emulator. The variance of random noise of the gyroscope is set to $10^{-5}/h$. The variance of the first-order Markov process noise is set to $4^{-1}/h$ with time duration of 1h. The variance of the first-order Markov process noise is set to $10^{-4}g$ with time duration of 2h for the accelerometer. The variance of the first-order Markov process noise is 100nt with time duration of 1h. The sampling rate of the MARG sensor array is 100Hz.

Picard angle increment method is used to obtain the quaternion for the track generator. The calculated attitude angle is the same as the ground truth.

Fig. 2 shows a comparison between the calculated attitude angle using MARG algorithm with additional noise and the ground truth. From the figure, we can see that the calculated value begins to deviate the true value after 20s with additional noise added to the simulation. With the simulation time progresses, the error increases. The average error is over 10°. We can conclude from the experiment that noise has a significant influence on the results. However, MARG sensors inevitably subjected to measurement noise and environment noise in real application. Therefore, measurement data filtering is necessary before calculating the attitude.

The attitude angle calculated using EKF is shown in Fig. 3. We can see that the calculated value is quite unstable due to the random initial state and the filtering covariance matrix. After 40s of the simulation, the calculated value is able to track the true value. The average error is approximately 0.1°. 

Fig. 4 displays a comparison between the attitude angle calculated from MARG algorithm and the true angle. From the figure, we can observe that the calculated value is able to track the true value after 10s in simulation. The average error is about 0.15°. Both EKF and MARG algorithms have good convergence rate. Although MARG algorithm achieves similar accuracy as EKF algorithm, MARG algorithm converges much faster than EKF. It takes
40s for EKF to converge but only 10s for MARG, which is very desirable in real time application. The convergence time, computational complexity and processing time for EKF algorithm and our proposed MARG algorithm are compared in table 1.

**Fig. 2:** Track generator simulation results with noise

**Fig. 3:** The simulation results for EKF

**Fig. 4:** The simulation results for MARG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Yaw(s)</th>
<th>Pitch(s)</th>
<th>Roll(s)</th>
<th>Add</th>
<th>Sub</th>
<th>Mul</th>
<th>Div</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>N=48000</th>
<th>Processing time(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EKF</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>80.508587</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARG</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>50.667737</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TURNTABLE EXPERIMENT AND RESULTS**

In the turntable experiment, MPU6050 chip and HMC5883L chip are used for MARG sensor array. I2C bus is used for communication between MARG sensor array and STM32F103RBT6 ARM processor. The experimental parameters are listed in table 2.
Table 2: The parameters for turntable experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Axis X</th>
<th>Axis Y</th>
<th>Axis Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gyro zero bias (deg/s)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drift rate of gyro (deg/s)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The complementary filter gain $\beta$</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The integral gain $\zeta$</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The calculated attitude angle after complementary filtering is compared with the measured value from turntable platform. The results are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. The complementary filtering algorithm needs 277 time of scalar operation for each update at 10Hz sampling rate. The global variables take 72 bytes space while local variables take 260 bytes. Roll angle, pitch angle and yaw angle has the standard deviation of 0.31°, 0.20° and 0.39°, respectively. As compared to the actual measurements from the turntable experiment, the error convergence after 100s.

Fig. 5: Error of attitude angle after filtering

Fig. 6: Error comparison of non-filtering and filtering

CONCLUSION

In the paper, we propose an error correction method using MARG sensor array. We compared the filtering performance with the traditional EKF algorithm. The experiment results indicate that both algorithms have similar filtering accuracy, but MARG algorithm can reduce the convergence time significantly. EKF is computational expensive with more than 7 times of scalar operations than our proposed MARG algorithm. Under low sampling rate (10Hz), the error calculated from our method is less than 0.5°.
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